



Multilateral Fund

for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol

OBJECTIVE

To develop, optimize, validate and disseminate low-cost systems for the use of hydrocarbons (HCs) in the manufacture of polyurethane (PU) rigid insulation and integral skin foam



DESCRIPTION

The project identified options for cost reduction by:

- 1) Pre-blending at the supplier level which would avoid the need for a pre-blender plus ancillary equipment (e.g., storage tanks, piping)
- 2) Direct injection of HCs, which also removes the need for pre-blender systems
- 3) Introducing more recently developed HC blends, which would allow for lower foam densities.

The project was implemented with the assistance of SAIP/Pozzi and Dow in Italy and Egypt. The project was executed in three steps:

- 1) Equipment Development: A three-module high-pressure dispenser capable of processing fully formulated systems, direct injection of flammable as well as non-flammable blowing agents selected through standard procurement procedures by a qualified equipment supplier
- 2) System Development: Six different systems were used (three HCFC-141b-based and three HC-based) for trials at a local foam manufacturer or systems house
- 3) Information dissemination through inter-regional workshop.

DEMONSTRATION OF LOW-COST OPTIONS FOR THE USE OF HYDROCARBONS IN THE MANUFACTURING OF POLYURETHANE FOAM

Project title	Validation/Demonstration of low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons as foaming agent in the manufacture of polyurethane foam
Country	Egypt
Agency	UNDP
Sector	Foam
Subsector/application	Polyurethane (PU) foam: rigid and integral skin foam
Enterprise/systems house	SAIP/Pozzi-Ariozo
Baseline technology	HCFC-141b
Alternative technology	Cyclopentane, n-pentane
GWP (alternative technology)	Negligible
Potential safety issues	Flammable
ODS phase-out (mt)	0
ODS phase-out (ODP tonnes)	0

RESULTS

The project produced the following results:

- 1) Physical and chemical stability of cyclopentane systems under standard conditions for up to six months was confirmed. Normal pentane systems were not stable beyond one month
- 2) Savings in equipment were demonstrated by using pre-blended HCs. Although direct injection did not produce the same savings in the cost of equipment as pre-blending, the compact design for direct injection could result in savings in layout and storage
- 3) If the lower free density can be “translated” into lower applied density, operating savings of between 6 and 8% (or 10% with direct injection) can be expected, as compared to HCFC-141b systems. However, transportation costs may increase
- 4) Pre-blended HC showed a k factor that was 5 to 8% higher than that of HCFC-141b foam, but equal to conventional cyclopentane foam. Direct injection of n-pentane showed a higher k factor, leading to the conclusion that this substance should not be used in critical thermal insulation applications.

EXAMPLE OF RESULTS OF OVERALL PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS TESTED IN COMMERCIAL APPLIANCE SYSTEMS

System	A	B	B	B	B
HCFC141b (pbw on top of 100pbw polyol blend)	18,7				
c-pentane (pbw on top of 100pbw polyol blend)		13	15	13	15
Type of pentane addition		PRE-BLENDED		THIRD STREAM	
Reactivity CT, GT (sec)	7; 60	5; 52	4; 56	5; 59	5; 64
Free rise density (kg/m3)	25,2	23,5	22,9	22,7	21,9
Minimum fill density MFD (kg/m3)	34,1	31,3	30,3	30,5	29,9
Applied density (kg/m3)	37,8	34,6	33,5	35	34,6
Average density distribution	0,66	0,5	0,4	0,53	0,58
Compressive strenght CS (kPa)	167	136	137	129	127
k-factor 10°C	18,4	20	20,2	20,3	20,6
Adhesion as TBS (kPa)	140	178	191	163	138
Dimensional Stability +80°C (delta vol %)	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Dimensional Stability -25°C (delta vol %)	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Cycle time: post expansion % at 9' DMT (on 100mm thickness)	4%	2%	1,8%	1,6%	1,2%

COST ANALYSIS

Simplified safety requirements (less exhaust, less sensors and less piping) from pre-blended HC and direct injection compared to an in situ blended system could represent savings of between US \$50,000 and US \$100,000. With regard to capital and operational costs required for the end-user, in general, retrofits are lower in cost when taking the direct injection approach. However, when the entire dispenser has to be replaced, pre-blending is lower in cost. For end-users with low-pressure dispensers, retrofit would be feasible but would probably not provide the intense blending required for both pre-blends and direct injection.

CONCLUSION

The key conclusions of the demonstration project are the following:

- 1) Pre-blended cyclopentane systems are sufficiently stable and can be commercially used
- 2) Pre-blended n-pentane systems are unstable and not recommended for commercial use, except when they are used through a direct-injection system
- 3) Direct injection with cyclopentane can achieve the same effectiveness as pre-blended systems in view of density and thermal insulation when using optimized equipment
- 4) Any performance differences between polyols blended in situ and those blended in a systems house is most likely related to blending and handling operations with the systems houses being more precise
- 5) The use of pre-blended HCs or direct injection could represent capital savings to enterprises converting from HCFC-141b
- 6) Both pre-blending and direct injection allow for a simplified safety system, requiring less exhaust, less sensors and less piping due to the absence of a pre-blender.

FINAL REPORT AND SECRETARIAT'S COMMENTS

<http://www.multilateralfund.org/66/English/1/6617.pdf>

(paragraphs 120 to 134)

<http://www.multilateralfund.org/75/English/1/7520.pdf>

(paragraphs 48 to 57 and Annex III in page 31)