



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/1/Add.1\*  
6 July 2011

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Sixty-fourth Meeting  
Montreal, 25-29 July 2011

**ANNOTATED PROVISIONAL AGENDA**

**1. Opening of the meeting**

Opening remarks by the Chairperson of the Executive Committee.

**2. Organizational matters**

**(a) Adoption of the agenda**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/1 contains the provisional agenda for the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee might wish to adopt the agenda of the meeting on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/1 and, if needed, as amended verbally at the plenary.

**(b) Organization of work**

The Chairperson will propose to the plenary the organization of work.

**3. Secretariat activities**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/2 presents a report on the activities of the Secretariat since the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee. The document includes information on the follow-up activities implemented by the Secretariat on the outcome of the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting, the preparations for the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting, as well as information on the missions undertaken by the Chief Officer and the staff of the Secretariat during this reporting period.

\*Re-issued for technical reasons

Pre-session documents of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol are without prejudice to any decision that the Executive Committee might take following issuance of the document.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to take note of the report on the Secretariat activities.

#### **4. Status of contributions and disbursements**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/3 provides information on the status of the Fund as recorded at UNEP as of 3 June 2011. At that date, the Fund balance stood at US \$148,146,657. This is the net balance after taking into account all the funds approved by the Executive Committee, up to and including the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements and the information on promissory notes as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/3; and
- (b) To urge all Parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible.

#### **5. Status of resources and planning**

##### **(a) Report on balances and availability of resources**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/4 presents a summary of the financial adjustments indicated by the bilateral and implementing agencies and agreed by the Fund Secretariat. The document includes statistical data from projects with balances that have been held for over the allowable 12-month period following completion of the project and indicates the level of resources available to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed:

- The need to return in full the remaining un-obligated balances on completed projects as per decision 31/2(a)(i) and (ii).

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report on balances and availability of resources contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/4;
- (b) To note that the net level of funds being returned by the implementing agencies to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting was US \$976,400 against projects, which included the return of US \$538,420 from UNDP, US \$403,062 from UNEP, and US \$34,918 from UNIDO;
- (c) To note that the net level of support costs, being returned by the implementing agencies to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting was US \$499,244 against projects, which included the return of US \$58,920 from UNDP, US \$46,538 from UNEP, US \$3,080 from UNIDO and US \$390,706 from the World Bank;
- (d) To note that implementing agencies had balances totalling US \$1,804,588 excluding support costs, from projects completed over two years previously, which included

US \$333,721 for UNDP, US \$268,110 for UNEP, US \$546,436 for UNIDO, and US \$656,321 for the World Bank;

- (e) To note that bilateral agencies had balances totalling US \$139,340 excluding support costs from projects completed over two years attributed to France and Japan;
- (f) To note the net level of funds and support costs being returned by the Canada to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting is US \$23,736;
- (g) To approve the transfer of the preparation of the HCFC phase-out management plan in Argentina (ARG/PHA/55/PRP/157) from UNDP to UNIDO, in accordance with decision 63/5(i) at the amount of US \$43,657 in project cost plus US \$3,274 in support cost; and
- (h) To reiterate decision 31/2 (a)(i) and (ii) and request UNIDO to update the Committee at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting on the date at which the remaining un-obligated balances on completed projects would be returned in full.

**(b) 2011-2014 business plans and annual tranche submission delays**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/5 considers business planning in light of approvals at the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting, submissions to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting, and allocations in the 2011-2014 business plans. Firstly, it addresses decisions taken at the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting with respect to the 2011-2014 business plans, and presents the value of activities that were not submitted to either the 63<sup>rd</sup> or 64<sup>th</sup> meetings but were included in the 2011 business plans, and identifies projects required for compliance. It also assesses the forward commitments approved to-date as well as those submitted for approval to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting in an addendum, and concludes with observations and recommendations. This document includes information on submission delays, namely the submission of tranches that were due at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting, and presents recommendations for consideration by the Executive Committee.

Issues to be addressed:

- US \$16.9 million of the US \$275.4 million 2011 budget was not allocated and approvals at the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting were US \$7.5 million below their values in the business plans;
- 2011 values in the submissions to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting exceed their business plan values by US \$37.5 million; and
- The total value of multi-year agreements (MYAs) in the 2011-2014 business plans exceeds their values in the business plans by US \$127.4 million.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

- (a) Noting:
  - (i) The report on the status of the 2011 business plans as contained in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/5 and the fact that US \$64.9 million in activities that were required for compliance had not been submitted to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting;
  - (ii) The information on annual tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements (MYAs) submitted to the Secretariat by the World Bank as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/5;

- (iii) That three of four annual tranches of MYAs due for submission had been submitted on time to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting; and
- (b) Requesting the Secretariat to send a letter to the Government of India to urge the signing of the agreements with the CFC producers for the accelerated CFC production sector closure project as soon as possible to enable the submission of the second tranche of the agreement to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- (c) **Status of implementation of delayed projects and prospects of Article 5 countries in achieving compliance with the next control measures of the Montreal Protocol**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/6 contains five parts. Part I has been prepared in response to decisions 32/76(b) and 46/4, which requested the Secretariat to prepare an update for each meeting of the Executive Committee on the status of compliance of Article 5 countries. Part II contains information on those Article 5 countries that are subject to decisions of the Parties and recommendations of the Implementation Committee on compliance. Part III presents data on the implementation of country programmes, including an analysis of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) consumption data, by sector. It also contains a section that addresses the characteristics of national ODS phase-out programmes. Part IV presents information on country programme (CP) web-based data reporting. Part V addresses those projects with implementation delays and those projects for which additional status reports had been requested.

Issues to be addressed:

- 4 countries reported 2010 data for CFCs that appear to be in non-compliance;
- Of the 33 issues before the Implementation Committee in 2010, 3 have been resolved as per Article 7 (A7) data and information from the Ozone Secretariat, and an additional 13 have been resolved based on CP reports or implementing agencies;
- Data on the implementation of CPs indicate that:
  - 29 countries submitted data using the web-based system for 2010;
  - For the 60 countries reporting 2009 and 2010 data, HCFC consumption decreased by one per cent;
  - Of the countries reporting information on licensing systems, 134 out of the 144 countries with reporting requirements reported them as being operational (54 of the 57 countries that reported 2010 data had operational licensing systems with 98.1 per cent of them functioning 'satisfactorily' or 'very well'); and
- Need for additional status reports.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

- (a) Noting:
  - (i) With appreciation, the status reports on projects with implementation delays submitted to the Secretariat by the Governments of Canada, France and the four implementing agencies, addressed in UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/6;
  - (ii) That 29 country programme (CP) reports for the year 2010 were submitted through the web-based system, which was initiated on 25 April 2007;
  - (iii) The completion of one of the 15 projects listed with implementation delays;

- (iv) That the Secretariat and the implementing agencies would take established actions according to the Secretariat's assessments (progress or some progress) and report to and notify governments and implementing agencies as required;
- (b) Requesting:
- (i) Additional status reports on the projects listed in Annexes VIII and IX to the present document;
- (ii) That letters of possible cancellation should be sent in respect of the following project:

Agency	Code	Project Title
France	AFR/SEV/53/TAS/39	African customs enforcement networks for preventing illegal trade of ODS in the African sub-regional trade organizations (CEMAC, COMESA, SACU and UEMOA)

- (iii) An update of the delay report required for the following projects during the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting:

Agency	Code	Project Title
IBRD	ARG/REF/18/INV/39	Elimination of CFC in the manufacturing plant of domestic refrigerators of Neba, S.A.
UNIDO	ALG/REF/44/INV/62	Conversion of CFC-11 to HCFC-141b and CFC-12 to HFC-134a technology in the last group of commercial refrigerator manufactures (refrigeration sector terminal project)

- (iv) The Governments of Israel, Japan and Portugal to provide their implementation delays reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee; and
- (c) Urging the Governments of Ethiopia and Lesotho to approve their licensing systems as soon as possible.

## 6. Programme implementation

### (a) Monitoring and evaluation

#### (i) Strategy for the dissemination and communication of lessons learned

Document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/7](#) contains a description of the strategy for the dissemination and communication of lessons learned. The strategy will be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting as per decision 63/11(d)(i).

#### Issues to be addressed:

- Purpose and justification of a strategy for the dissemination and communication of lessons learned; and
- Dissemination approaches and tools.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider noting the proposed dissemination and communication strategy on lessons learned, and request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to start its implementation and have the database and electronic newsletter fully functional by the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(ii) Report on the review of document “Regulations to Control Ozone Depleting Substances: a Guide Book (2000)”**

Document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/8](#) contains a brief description and analysis of the document entitled “Regulations to Control Ozone Depleting Substances: a Guide Book (2000)” as requested by the Committee at its 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting in order to assist deciding on the opportunity and necessity of an evaluation of the legislation and quotas as suggested in the draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for 2012.

Issues to be addressed:

- Content of the document; and
- Conclusions related to the opportunity and necessity of an evaluation of the legislation and quotas.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

- (a) Recommending an evaluation of legislation, regulations and quotas as suggested in the 2012 draft monitoring and evaluation work programme. The process could be expedited by using the Regulations to Control Ozone Depleting Substances: a Guide Book (2000) as a background document, and carrying out several case studies which may yield further information on how the legislation process has evolved as well as on sustainability issues; each case study will take into account the specific national conditions and circumstances in which legislation is drafted and implemented;

And/or

- (b) Requesting UNEP to consider preparing an update of the guidelines in the near future to include new developments in legislation and regulations.

**(b) Progress reports as at 31 December 2010**

**(i) Consolidated progress report**

Document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/9](#) summarises the progress and financial information on the implementation of projects and activities supported by the Fund up to 31 December 2010. The report consists of three parts and three annexes: Part I is a summary of implementation progress at the country level; Part II is a summary of activities to the end of 2010; and Part III contains comments and recommendations made by the Fund Secretariat. Annex I contains project implementation data, by country, and Annex II is an analysis of data from the progress reports.

Issues to be addressed:

- Status of completion of MYAs for terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs), national phase-out plans (NPPs) and refrigerant management plans (RMPs) for CFC phase-out;
- HPMP and HCFC phase-out project preparation and initiation of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) approved up to 2010;
- Implementation delays and ongoing investment projects for CFC phase-out;
- Institutional strengthening;
- ODS disposal demonstration and project preparation activities;
- Chiller projects;
- Metered-dose inhaler (MDI) projects;
- Incomplete reporting;

- Planned dates of completion to reflect actual project completion; and
- Administrative costs.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note:
- (i) The consolidated progress report of the Multilateral Fund as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/9;
  - (ii) That:
    - a. Project document/agreement signatures had not been reported for 26 of the 61 approved HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs);
    - b. Twenty-one countries with approved institutional strengthening (IS) do not have signed project document/agreements that are needed to initiate project implementation and eight countries have outstanding progress and financial reports due to agencies to enable the release of funding;
    - c. Co-financing has been obtained for chiller demonstration projects;
    - d. Metered-dose inhaler (MDI) CFC phase-out projects are progressing but will largely be completed after 2011;
- (b) To urge:
- (i) The following countries that plan to complete their refrigerant management plans (RMPs), terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs) and national phase-out plans (NPPs) for CFC phase-out by 2012 to make every effort to integrate these activities into HPMPs as appropriate: Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Kuwait, Maldives, Myanmar, Nicaragua, Peru, Sri Lanka, Suriname and Yemen;
  - (ii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to expedite the necessary signing of project document/agreement to enable the initiation of approved HPMPs;
  - (iii) The following countries whose HPMPs appear to be at an initial stage of preparation to expedite their efforts to submit their HPMP as soon as possible to enable the initiation of activities to facilitate compliance with the 2013 freeze and 2015 control measures for HCFC phase-out: Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Eritrea, Haiti, Mauritania, Peru and the Philippines;
  - (iv) The following countries to expedite the completion of their individual CFC phase-out investment projects that are planned to be completed after 2011:
    - a. Argentina, Bangladesh, Colombia, China, India (2) and Pakistan with respect to their MDI projects;
    - b. China with respect to the pharmaceutical aerosol sector project;
  - (v) The following countries with outstanding preparatory activities for ODS disposal demonstration projects to expedite the submission of their requests: Algeria,

Brazil, China, Colombia, India, Indonesia, Lebanon, Mexico (World Bank project), Nigeria, the Philippines, and Turkey;

- (c) To request:
- (i) The Fund Secretariat to review financial penalties applicable to non-reporting for projects in comparable financial mechanisms and other international organizations in the context of the review of administrative costs pursuant to decision 62/25(c) with a view to providing an incentive to timely and responsive reporting along with a financial penalty for non-performance;
  - (ii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to indicate planned completion dates for activities completed prior to the submission of the annual progress and financial report that reflect actual project completion; and
- (d) To consider whether any further guidance should be provided with respect to the review of administrative costs in the light of the changing roles of the four implementing agencies.

**(ii) Bilateral agencies**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/10 contains the progress report on activities up to 31 December 2009 implemented under bilateral cooperation by: Australia, Canada, the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Portugal, Spain, and Sweden.

Issues to be addressed:

- Progress reports not submitted by Israel and Switzerland; and
- Additional status reports due to low disbursement, the identification of co-financing options; agreement for a training institutions, and demonstration project and project preparation completion.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note with appreciation the progress reports submitted by the Governments of Australia, Canada, the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Portugal, Spain and Sweden contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/10;
- (b) To request the Governments of Israel and Switzerland to provide their progress reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (c) To request the Governments of Australia, Canada, France, Italy, Japan, Portugal, and Spain to provide reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the projects with implementation delays listed in Table 2 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/10; and
- (d) To request the submission of additional status reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the following projects:
  - (i) The CFC phase-out plan in the Lao People's Democratic Republic (LAO/PHA/61/INV/21) under French implementation to monitor fund disbursement;

- (ii) The “strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan (the))” (AFR/REF/48/DEM/36) under French implementation, on the identification of co-financing options in each of the participating countries;
- (iii) The “African customs enforcement network in the African sub-regional trade organizations (CEMAC, COMESA, SACU and UEMOA)” (AFR/SEV/53/TAS/39) under French implementation, on an agreement for a training institution for the project;
- (iv) The “demonstration project to validate the use of super-critical CO<sub>2</sub> in the manufacture of sprayed polyurethane rigid foam” in Colombia (COL/FOA/60/DEM/75) under Japanese implementation, on the completion of the project if it is not completed by the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (v) The “strategic demonstration project for accelerated conversion of CFC chillers in 5 African countries (Cameroon, Egypt, Namibia, Nigeria and Sudan (the))” (AFR/REF/48/DEM/35) under Japanese implementation, on the identification of co-financing options in each of the participating countries;
- (vi) The project preparation for a demonstration project on ODS disposal in the Asia and Pacific region (ASP/DES/54/PRP/53) under Japanese implementation, if the request for funding is not submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(iii) UNDP**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/11 contains the progress report of UNDP for activities up to 31 December 2010 and the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on the report.

Issues to be addressed:

- 17.4 per cent of funds transferred to UNDP were for administrative costs;
- Need for project document signatures for HPMPs, IS, ODS destruction and MDI projects;
- Need for providing required reporting for IS;
- Low disbursement for CFC phase-out projects, halon banking projects, HCFC demonstration projects, and chiller projects;
- Delays in RMP activities, ODS disposal preparation and process agent project; and
- Low disbursement and/or delays in MYAs.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note UNDP’s progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/11;
- (b) To request the Secretariat to take into account the changing portfolio of UNDP in its report on the administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium to be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting pursuant to decision 62/25(c);
- (c) To request the submission of additional status reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the following projects:

- (i) Due to low rates of disbursements of approved funds in CFC phase-out projects in:
  - a. Guatemala (GUA/PHA/56/INV/35);
  - b. Haiti (HAI/PHA/58/INV/14);
  - c. Maldives (MDV/PHA/53/INV/15);
  - d. Peru (PER/PHA/55/INV/41);
- (ii) To monitor the signing of project documents for HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) activities in:
  - a. Armenia (ARM/PHA/62/INV/06);
  - b. Belize (BZE/PHA/62/INV/26);
  - c. Cambodia (KAM/PHA/61/INV/24);
  - d. Maldives (MDV/PHA/60/INV/20);
  - e. Nigeria (NIR/PHA/62/INV/128);
  - f. Sri Lanka (SRL/PHA/62/INV/40);
- (iii) To monitor the signing of the project document for “Conversion from HCFC-141b to cyclopentane technology in manufacturing refrigeration equipment insulation foam at Walton Hi-Tech Industries Limited” in Bangladesh (BGD/FOA/62/INV/38);
- (iv) To monitor the signature of project documents for the institutional strengthening (IS) projects in:
  - a. Bangladesh (BGD/SEV/61/INS/37);
  - b. Nigeria (NIR/SEV/62/INS/130);
  - c. Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) (VEN/SEV/62/INS/117);
- (v) To monitor the status of completion of the reporting requirements for the IS in Sri Lanka (SRL/SEV/61/INS/38);
- (vi) To monitor the status of implementation of the refrigerant management plan (RMP) in Barbados (BAR/REF/43/TAS/11 and BAR/REF/43/TAS/12);
- (vii) To monitor the status of the bidding for the recovery and recycling (R&R) equipment for the RMP in Brunei Darussalam (BRU/REF/44/TAS/10);
- (viii) To monitor the resolution of procedural problems with respect to the subcontract with the R&R centres and the bidding for the R&R equipment for the RMP in Guinea-Bissau (GBS/REF/43/TAS/07);
- (ix) To monitor the RMP component for recovery and recycling of CFC-12 refrigerant in Haiti (HAI/REF/39/TAS/04) and the RMP monitoring component in Haiti (HAI/REF/39/TAS/06) in light of the problems associated with the earthquake in Haiti bearing in mind decision XXII/12;
- (x) To monitor the status of disbursement of funds for the halon banking project in the Dominican Republic (DOM/HAL/51/TAS/39) and Chile (CHI/HAL/51/TAS/164);

- (xi) Due to low rates of disbursements of approved funds in HCFC demonstration projects in:
  - a. Brazil (BRA/FOA/58/DEM/292);
  - b. China for HFC-32 (CPR/REF/60/DEM/498) and for ammonia/CO<sub>2</sub> technology (CPR/REF/60/DEM/499);
  - c. Egypt (EGY/FOA/58/DEM/100);
  - d. Turkey (TUR/FOA/60/DEM/96);
- (xii) To monitor the project document signature for the ODS destruction demonstration project in Cuba (CUB/DES/62/DEM/46);
- (xiii) To monitor the status of the HPMP project preparation in Cuba (CUB/PHA/56/PRP/40) and Peru (PER/PHA/55/PRP/40) which are planned for completion in 2012;
- (xiv) To monitor the status of completion of ODS disposal preparation activities in the following countries if the projects are not submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting:
  - a. Brazil (BRA/DES/57/PRP/288);
  - b. Colombia (COL/DES/59/PRP/74);
  - c. India (IND/DES/61/PRP/437);
- (xv) Due to low disbursement for the following chiller projects in:
  - a. Brazil (BRA/REF/47/DEM/275);
  - b. Colombia (COL/REF/47/DEM/65);
- (xvi) To monitor the completion of the signature of the required company agreements for the MDI project in Pakistan (PAK/ARS/56/INV/71);
- (xvii) To monitor the status of installation of equipment for the process agent project in Colombia (COL/PAG/48/INV/66); and
- (d) To note that UNDP would report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on up to seven projects with implementation delays including five projects that have been classified as such in 2009.

**(iv) UNEP**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/12 contains the progress report of UNEP for activities up to 31 December 2010 and the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on the report.

Issues to be addressed:

- Need for agreement signatures for HPMPs and IS;
- Need to provide required reporting for IS;
- Possible cancellation and return of funds for an IS project;
- HPMPs for six countries are planned for submission in 2012 or are at an initial stage of implementation; and
- TPMP verification reports have not been completed in two countries.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) UNEP's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/12;
  - (ii) The report on expenditures for the 2010 CAP budget and the return of funds pursuant to decision 35/36(d);
- (b) To request the Secretariat to take into account the changing portfolio of UNEP in its report on the administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium to be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting pursuant to decision 62/25(c);
- (c) To request the submission of additional status reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting:
  - (i) To monitor the signing of the grant agreements for the terminal phase-out management plans (TPMPs) in:
    - a. Ecuador (ECU/PHA/61/TAS/52);
    - b. Peru (PER/PHA/55/TAS/42);
  - (ii) To monitor the signing of the project documents for the following HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) activities:
    - a. Armenia (ARM/PHA/62/TAS/07);
    - b. Burundi (BDI/PHA/62/TAS/26);
    - c. Gabon (GAB/PHA/62/TAS/26);
    - d. Grenada (GRN/PHA/62/TAS/18);
    - e. Serbia (YUG/PHA/62/TAS/39);
  - (iii) To monitor the signing of the agreements for the institutional strengthening (IS) projects in the following countries:
    - a. Algeria (ALG/SEV/57/INS/69);
    - b. Benin (BEN/SEV/62/INS/24);
    - c. Burkina Faso (BKF/SEV/62/INS/31);
    - d. Cape Verde (CBI/SEV/57/INS/14);
    - e. Côte d'Ivoire (IVC/SEV/62/INS/35);
    - f. Democratic Republic of the Congo (the) (DRC/SEV/58/INS/31 and DRC/SEV/62/INS/34);
    - g. Ecuador (ECU/SEV/59/INS/43);
    - h. Gabon (GAB/SEV/62/INS/28);
    - i. Guatemala (GUA/SEV/61/INS/41);
    - j. Kenya (KEN/SEV/62/INS/51);
    - k. Mauritania (MAU/SEV/57/INS/23);
    - l. Morocco (MOR/SEV/59/INS/63);
    - m. Sudan (the) (SUD/SEV/62/INS/27);
    - n. Togo (TOG/SEV/56/INS/18);
    - o. Zambia (ZAM/SEV/57/INS/24);

- (iv) On the following IS projects for which the financial and progress reports are outstanding:
  - a. Antigua and Barbuda (ANT/SEV/44/INS/11);
  - b. Bahrain (BAH/SEV/60/INS/24);
  - c. El Salvador (ELS/SEV/56/INS/24);
  - d. Grenada (GRN/SEV/60/INS/17);
  - e. Guyana (GUY/SEV/59/INS/17);
  - f. Iraq (IRQ/SEV/57/INS/05);
  - g. Mauritania (MAU/SEV/49/INS/17);
  - h. Suriname (SUR/SEV/59/INS/17);
- (v) To monitor the last two IS extensions in Dominica (DMI/SEV/56/INS/12 and DMI/SEV/62/INS/20) and the signing of the agreement for the last extension (DMI/SEV/62/INS/20);
- (vi) To monitor the HPMP project preparation activities that are at initial stage and/or are planned for completion in 2012 in:
  - a. Barbados (BAR/PHA/55/PRP/18);
  - b. Brunei Darussalam (BRU/PHA/55/PRP/11);
  - c. Ecuador (ECU/PHA/59/PRP/44);
  - d. Eritrea (ERI/PHA/55/PRP/07);
  - e. Haiti (HAI/PHA/57/PRP/13);
  - f. Mauritania (MAU/PHA/55/PRP/20);
- (vii) To monitor the signing of the agreements for the HPMP preparation activities in Timor-Leste (TLS/PHA/59/PRP/03 and TLS/PHA/61/PRP/05);
- (viii) On the approval of the ODS regulations in English-Speaking African countries by the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) (AFR/SEV/45/TAS/33);
- (ix) On the TPMP verification activities in Kuwait (KUW/PHA/57/TAS/15) and Zambia (ZAM/PHA/57/TAS/25) unless the verification reports are submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (d) To request the return of the US \$22,774 that has not been accounted for in the IS project in Uganda (UGA/SEV/13/INS/02), cancel the project, and allow the return of funds to be deducted from the next two-year extension of IS for Uganda; and
- (e) To note that UNEP has five projects classified with implementation delays, including one that was classified as such last year, and that a report on these projects should be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- (v) **UNIDO**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/13 contains the progress report of UNIDO for activities up to 31 December 2010 and the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on the report.

Issues to be addressed:

- Lack of information on project initiation for HPMPs and HCFC projects approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting;
- Need for project document/agreement signature for two IS projects;
- There have been delays in the completion of three individual CFC phase-out projects, one involving the conversion to HCFCs;
- There are delays in the preparation of methyl bromide (MB) and ODS disposal projects, chiller, MDI conversion, and solvent projects; and
- Incomplete reporting.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note UNIDO's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/13;
- (b) To request the Fund Secretariat to take into account the changing portfolio of UNIDO in its report on the administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium to be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting pursuant to decision 62/25(c);
- (c) To request the submission of additional status reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting:
  - (i) Due to low rates of disbursements of approved funds in multi-year agreements (MYAs) for the:
    - a. Methyl bromide (MB) project in Chile (CHI/FUM/60/INV/171);
    - b. MB project in Guatemala (GUA/FUM/59/INV/38);
    - c. CFC phase-out plan in Ecuador (ECU/PHA/61/INV/47, 49, and 51);
  - (ii) To ascertain if the project document/grant agreement has been signed for the:
    - a. TPMP in Burundi (BDI/PHA/62/INV/27);
    - b. MB project in Iraq (IRQ/FUM/62/INV/13);
  - (iii) To ascertain if the project document/memorandum of understanding/grant agreement has been signed, if an action plan has been established or if any activities have been conducted for the following HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) or HCFC projects:
    - a. Burkina Faso (BKF/PHA/62/INV/30);
    - b. Chad (CHD/PHA/62/INV/22);
    - c. Egypt (EGY/FOA/62/INV/104, 109, and 110);
    - d. Gabon (GAB/PHA/62/INV/27);
    - e. Madagascar (MAG/PHA/62/INV/22);
    - f. Malawi (MLW/PHA/62/INV/32);
    - g. Morocco (MOR/FOA/62/INV/67);
    - h. Saudi Arabia (SAU/FOA/62/INV/11 and SAU/FOA/62/INV/13);
    - i. Serbia (YUG/PHA/62/INV/38);
    - j. Sudan (the) (SUD/FOA/62/INV/28);
    - k. Syrian Arab Republic (SYR/REF/62/INV/103);
    - l. Turkey (TUR/FOA/62/INV/97);
    - m. Turkmenistan (TKM/PHA/62/INV/08);
    - n. Togo (TOG/PHA/62/INV/22);

- (iv) To monitor project document signature for the institutional strengthening projects in Armenia (ARM/SEV/62/INS/08) and Qatar (QAT/SEV/59/INS/15);
- (v) To monitor the completion of the selection of national experts for the MB demonstration project in Algeria and Tunisia (AFR/FUM/54/DEM/40);
- (vi) To monitor the selection of a facility for testing for the refrigeration sector terminal project in Algeria (ALG/REF/44/INV/62);
- (vii) To monitor the status of bidding for the Al Hadi foam project in Iraq (IRQ/FOA/57/INV/06);
- (viii) To monitor the resumption of activities at the company for the CFC phase-out foam project in Croatia (CRO/FOA/59/INV/34);
- (ix) To monitor project preparation if projects are not submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting, for:
  - a. The MB activities in Algeria (ALG/FUM/34/PRP/50), Ethiopia (ETH/FUM/54/PRP/18), Kenya (KEN/FUM/60/PRP/50) and Tunisia (TUN/FUM/33/PRP/41);
  - b. The ODS disposal demonstration projects in China (CPR/DES/59/PRP/494), Lebanon (LEB/DES/61/PRP/72), Nigeria (NIR/DES/60/PRP/126), and Turkey (TUR/DES/57/PRP/92);
- (x) To monitor chiller projects in the Europe and Central Asia region with respect to the reallocation of the supplied chiller in Serbia to another beneficiary (EUR/REF/47/DEM/06); in the Africa region with respect to the identification of co-financing in each of the participating countries (AFR/REF/48/DEM/37); in Syrian Arab Republic on the status of bidding (SYR/REF/47/DEM/93); and in Côte d'Ivoire on the status of the concept to implement the project (IVC/REF/57/INV/32);
- (xi) To monitor the status of conversion of existing production lines for the metered-dose inhaler (MDI) project in China (CPR/ARS/56/INV/473) including information on those enterprises with conversion projects that are adopting existing technologies and those that are developing their own formulations;
- (xii) To monitor the completion of the training project component for the CTC and TCA project in Kenya (KEN/SOL/57/TAS/47);
- (xiii) To respond to the Secretariat's questions with respect to:
  - a. The HCFC demonstration projects in China (CPR/REF/61/DEM/502 and CPR/REF/61/DEM/503) as to the agreement of working arrangements and the contracts agreed;
  - b. The terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) in Benin (BEN/PHA/59/INV/23) with respect to equipment delivery;
  - c. The TPMP in Ecuador (ECU/PHA/61/INV/47, 49, and 51) with respect to the training of operators and the "operationalisation" of the recovery and recycling system; and

- (d) To note that UNIDO will report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on up to seven projects with implementation delays including two projects that were classified as such in 2009.

**(vi) World Bank**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/14 contains the progress report of the World Bank for activities up to 31 December 2010 and the comments and recommendations of the Fund Secretariat on the report.

Issues to be addressed:

- Only US \$1.97 million was approved for Bank implementation in 2010 while administrative costs amounted to US \$1.87 million;
- Three HPMPs to be submitted in 2012;
- Low disbursement in MYAs and chillers;
- Possible need for agreements in institutional strengthening (IS) projects; and
- Delays in MB and ODS disposal preparation projects.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the World Bank's progress report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/14;
- (b) To request the Secretariat to take into account the changing portfolio of the World Bank in its report on the administrative cost regime for the 2012-2014 triennium to be submitted to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting pursuant to decision 62/25(c);
- (c) To request the submission of additional status reports to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on:
- (i) The restructuring of the World Bank's grant agreement with Antigua and Barbuda;
  - (ii) The reimbursement of additional purchase orders for chillers in the national phase-out plan in Argentina;
  - (iii) The procurement of recovery and recycling equipment for the national ODS phase-out plan in Tunisia;
  - (iv) The need to amend the grant agreement for the next institutional strengthening renewal and if so, the status of the amendment to the grant agreement for the IS project in Tunisia;
  - (v) The purchase of ovens for the testing of a variation of the cold treatment technique for cotton and citrus crops for the methyl bromide demonstration project in Argentina;
  - (vi) The World Bank's global chiller project in the light of the fact that low disbursement has been reported to-date;
  - (vii) The ODS disposal preparatory activities in Indonesia, Mexico and the Philippines;

- (viii) The submission of the HCFC phase-out management plans for the Philippines and Thailand, and the HCFC refrigeration sector plan in the Philippines; and
- (d) To note that the World Bank would report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on a total of four projects with implementation delays including one project that was classified as such in 2009.
- (c) **Evaluation of the implementation of the 2010 business plans**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/15 presents the evaluation of the 2010 business plans of the implementing agencies using the performance indicators adopted in decision 41/93, the revised weightings adopted by decision 47/51 for all agencies, the targets that were adopted for the 2010 business plans by the Executive Committee (decisions 61/7 to 61/10) and the agencies' progress and financial reports submitted to this meeting. This document also presents a trend analysis for each of the nine performance indicators used in previous years' evaluations and the results of the qualitative assessment of the performance of implementing agencies based on input received from national ozone unit (NOU) officers. It concludes with the Secretariat's observations and recommendations.

Issues to be addressed:

- Quantitative assessment was slightly lower than 2009;
- One bilateral and three implementing agencies received less than satisfactory qualitative assessments from NOUs; and
- Need for discussions with NOUs on areas of less than satisfactory assessments.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The evaluation of the implementing agencies' performance against their 2010 business plans as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/15;
  - (ii) The quantitative assessment of the performance of the implementing agencies for 2010 on a scale of 100 as follows: UNDP (74), UNEP (72), UNIDO (95), and the World Bank (76); and
- (b) To request:
  - (i) Germany to have an open and constructive discussion with the National Ozone Unit (NOU) in Lesotho about the areas where Germany services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and to report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the results of its consultations with the country on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments;
  - (ii) UNDP to have an open and constructive discussion with the NOUs in Argentina, Togo and Trinidad and Tobago about the areas where UNDP's services were perceived to be "less satisfactory" and "unsatisfactory" and to report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the results of its consultations with these countries on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments by the respective countries;
  - (iii) UNEP to have an open and constructive discussion with the NOU in Lesotho and Swaziland about the areas where UNEP's services were perceived to be "less

satisfactory” and to report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the results of its consultations with these countries on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments by the respective countries; and

- (iv) UNIDO to have an open and constructive discussion with the NOU in Senegal about the areas where UNIDO services were perceived to be “less satisfactory” and to report to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting on the results of its consultations with the country on the implementation matters raised in the qualitative performance assessments by the respective countries.

## **7. Report on implementation of approved projects with specific reporting requirements**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/16 consists of progress reports on the implementation of NPPs/TPMPs in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Maldives, Nepal and Samoa and those on CTC consumption and production projects in China and India; and reports on HCFC demonstration and investment projects where specific reporting requirements are contained in the agreements. The document also addresses the projects not received as per specific decisions of the Executive Committee taken between the 59<sup>th</sup> and 62<sup>nd</sup> meetings.

### Issues to be addressed:

- Progress reports not received on NPPs/TPMPs as per decisions of the Committee;
- Progress reports not received on HCFC demonstration and investment projects regarding collection of accurate data on incremental capital cost, incremental operating costs or savings and data relevant to the application of the technologies.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

### *Reports on the implementation of national phase-out plans/terminal phase-out plans*

- (a) Requesting the relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to submit to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting complete progress reports on the implementation of the national phase-out plans and terminal phase-out management plans that are due as per specific decisions taken between the 59<sup>th</sup> and 62<sup>nd</sup> meetings and have not yet been submitted
- (b) With regard to Afghanistan:
  - (i) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the 2010 work programme of the national phase-out plan (NPP) for Afghanistan;
  - (ii) To request UNEP to submit the verification reports for the years 2009 and 2010 and report no later than to the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (c) With regard to Bangladesh:
  - (i) To note with appreciation the progress report on the implementation of the fifth and sixth tranches of the national ODS NPP, the efforts made by Bangladesh to reach zero consumption of CFCs, CTC and TCA in 2010 in all sectors except for the manufacture of metered-dose inhalers (MDIs), and the prompt implementation of the MDI investment project that resulted in a consumption estimated at 47.9 ODP tonnes, which is 108.8 ODP tonnes below the 156.7 ODP tonnes of CFCs authorized by the Parties as essential uses for 2010;

- (ii) To request UNDP to submit the verification report of 2009 and 2010 CFC consumption; and
  - (iii) To request the Government of Bangladesh, with the assistance of UNDP and UNEP, to submit a report on the finalization of the implementation of its NPP to the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee;
- (d) With regard to Maldives:
- (i) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for Maldives; and
  - (ii) To request UNEP and UNDP to expedite the completion of the end-user investment component of the TPMP, noting that it will assist to sustain zero consumption of CFCs and to facilitate the phase out of HCFCs, and to report to the Executive Committee at its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the completion of the implementation of this remaining component;
- (e) With regard to Nepal:
- (i) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for Nepal;
  - (ii) To note with appreciation the efforts of the Government of Nepal to expedite the ratification of the remaining amendments to the Montreal Protocol, with the expectation that the amendments will be ratified in the near future; and
  - (iii) To request UNEP and UNDP to expedite the completion of the end-user investment component of the TPMP, and to report to the Executive Committee at its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the completion of the implementation of this remaining component;
- (f) With regard to Samoa:
- (i) To take note of the progress report on the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plan (TPMP) for Samoa; and
  - (ii) To request UNEP and UNDP to expedite the completion of the end-user investment component of the TPMP, and to report to the Executive Committee at its 66<sup>th</sup> meeting on the completion of the implementation of this remaining component;

*Reports on CTC consumption and production projects*

- (g) With regard to China:
- (i) To note the report on the description of the system for monitoring CTC use in China provided by the World Bank;
  - (ii) To request the World Bank to submit, not later than the second meeting of every year, an implementation report about the activities undertaken in the PA II sector plan in the previous year, until the implementation of the project has been completed; and

- (iii) To request the World Bank to submit the following reports at least 8 weeks before the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting:
  - a. Verification report for the year 2010 for the PA I sector plan;
  - b. Verification report for the year 2010 for the PA II sector plan; and
  - c. Implementation report for the PA II sector plan and implementation plan for the remaining funding;
- (h) With regard to India:
  - (i) To take note of the verification of the CTC production and consumption for the year 2010; and
  - (ii) To request the World Bank to provide an implementation report for the year 2010 in time for the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee; and to include in the submission any necessary revision to the implementation plan approved at the 61<sup>st</sup> meeting;

*Reports on HCFC demonstration and investment projects*

- (i) Urging the relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to submit to the Fund Secretariat reports on the HCFC demonstration and investment projects that were previously approved separately from the HCFC phase-out management plans of the relevant Article 5 countries, addressing the issues pertaining to the collection of accurate data on incremental capital cost, the incremental operating cost or savings and data relevant to the application of the technologies, in line with the objectives of decision 55/43(b), and as requested in the relevant approval decision for each project as reflected in Annex I to document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/16.

## **8. Project proposals**

### **(a) Overview of issues identified during project review**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/17 contains four sections: an analysis of the number of projects submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting; issues identified during the project review process; projects and activities submitted for blanket approval; and investment projects for individual consideration.

Issues to be addressed:

- HPMPs that propose to address more than 10 per cent of the baseline by 2015;
- Funding for countries with HCFC consumption between 361 and 400 metric tonnes in the servicing sector;
- Countries that have total HCFC consumption above 360 metric tonnes and should address consumption in the manufacturing sector first to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures; and
- Flexibility provision under HCFC phase-out management plans.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

*Projects and activities submitted for blanket approval*

- (a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex [] to the final report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee; and
- (b) To agree that, for projects related to renewal of institutional strengthening, blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments contained in Annex [] to the final report;

*HPMPs that propose to address more than 10 per cent of the baseline by 2015*

- (c) To continue discussing the issue as pertinent;

*Funding for countries with HCFC consumption between 361 and 400 metric tonnes in the servicing sector*

- (d) To consider providing funding for non-low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries with HCFC consumption in the servicing sector between 361-400 metric tonnes (mt) at a level equal to but not less than the maximum allowable funding for LVC countries whose consumption is between 300 and 360 mt (i.e. US \$198,000 to meet the 2015 control measures and US \$630,000 to meet the 2020 control measures).

*Countries that have total HCFC consumption above 360 metric tonnes and should address consumption in the manufacturing sector first to meet the 2013 and 2015 control measures*

- (e) To consider the suggested proposals that were presented at the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting and summarized in the paragraph 16 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/17 and/or any other proposals arising during the discussions.

*Flexibility provision under HCFC phase-out management plans*

- (f) To consider:
  - (i) Reiterating its expectation that, within the framework of performance-based agreements, each annual implementation plan will be implemented as approved, and that the aggregated annual implementation plans will achieve, as a minimum, the phase-out specified in the agreement;
  - (ii) Stipulating that requests for change of technology in approved phase-out plans:
    - a. Should constitute a “major change” that should be documented in advance in annual implementation plans, together with documentation on any resultant change in incremental costs;
    - b. Should achieve a reduction in the impact on the climate equal to or higher than that of the technology that was originally approved;

- c. Should be submitted to the Executive Committee as part of the annual implementation plan on a case-by-case basis for individual consideration, together with the Secretariat's review and recommendations; and
- (iii) Stipulating that the agreement between Government of the Article 5 country concerned and the Executive Committee will be amended based on any savings associated with the proposed change of technology at the time the change in technology is approved by the Executive Committee.

**(b) Bilateral cooperation**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/18 provides an overview of requests from bilateral agencies, and whether they are eligible for approval by the Executive Committee in light of the maximum level of bilateral cooperation available for 2011. All nine projects submitted by bilateral agencies to the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting are addressed in the respective country project proposals.

Issues to be addressed:

- Amounts in excess of the 2009-2011 bilateral allocation cannot be approved for Germany at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting; since 2011 is the last year of the triennium.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral projects approved at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting as follows:
  - (i) [US \$XXX] (including agency fees) against the balance of Australia's bilateral contribution for 2011;
  - (ii) A maximum amount of US \$2,092,159 (including agency fees) against the balance of Germany's bilateral contribution for 2009 – 2011, to stay within the 2009 – 2011 allocation of Germany bilateral allocation; and
  - (iii) [US \$XXX] (including agency fees) against the balance of Japan's bilateral contribution for 2011.

**(c) Amendments to work programmes for 2011**

**(i) UNDP**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/19 contains three activities submitted by UNDP including two requests for renewal of the IS projects, and one request for preparation of a demonstration project in ODS bank management/destruction. The requests for renewal of the IS projects were recommended for blanket approval and were considered under agenda item 8(a). The preparation of the demonstration project is for individual consideration.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider the request for project preparation for a pilot project for ODS disposal in Georgia in line with decision 58/19.

**(ii) UNEP**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/20 contains 21 activities submitted by UNEP, including requests for 19 IS projects, one request for project preparation for an HPMP and technical assistance for a regional workshop. Eighteen activities were recommended for blanket approval and were considered under agenda item 8(a). Three are for individual consideration and are described in this work programme.

Issues to be addressed:

- Special request for retroactive funding due to the Committee's deferral of renewal of IS project;
- Lack of licensing system in place as required by decision 43/37;
- Project not required for compliance.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider:

- (a) The requests for extension of institutional strengthening projects for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and Timor-Leste in view of the Secretariat's comments contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/20; and
- (b) Whether or not to approve the requests for three regional technical workshops for methyl bromide alternatives for Africa at the requested funding level of US \$150,000 plus support costs of US \$19,500 in view of the fact that it is not required for compliance.

**(iii) UNIDO**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/21 contains four activities submitted for funding by UNIDO including requests for renewal of an IS project, additional funding for HPMP preparation and two project preparation of investment activities for the phase-out of HCFs in the PU foam sector and in the commercial refrigeration sector. All four requests were recommended for blanket approval and were considered under agenda item 8(a).

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: None.

**(iv) World Bank**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/22 contains two activities submitted for funding by the World Bank including one request for renewal of an IS project and the other for project preparation of HPMP for investment activities in the foam sector. The two requests were recommended for blanket approval and were considered under agenda item 8(a).

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: None.

**(d) Investment projects**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/17 contains a list of projects for individual consideration (see Tables 2 to 4). All other investment projects were recommended for blanket approval and were considered in agenda item 8(a).

Issues to be addressed: In the tables below, each of the projects should be considered for approval individually. Project descriptions and the comments of the Secretariat can be found in the relevant country project document referred to in the tables.

**Table 2. HPMPs for LVC countries submitted for individual consideration**

Country	Project	Agency	ExCom	Issue
<b>HPMPs with no outstanding issues</b>				
Albania	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO/UNEP	64/23	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Germany/UNDP	64/24	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Cape Verde	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP	64/27	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Central African Republic	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP/UNIDO	64/28	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Comoros	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNEP	64/30	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Costa Rica	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP	64/31	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
El Salvador	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP/UNEP	64/32	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Guatemala	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO/UNEP	64/33	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Jamaica	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP/UNEP	64/35	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Lesotho	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Germany	64/38	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Rwanda	HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP/UNIDO	64/41	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Saint Kitts and Nevis	HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP/UNDP	64/42	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Saint Lucia	HCFC phase-out management plan (first tranche)	UNEP/UNIDO	64/43	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	HCFC phase-out management plan (first tranche)	UNEP/UNIDO	64/44	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Zambia	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO/UNEP	64/48	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed

**Table 3. HPMPs for non-LVC countries submitted for individual consideration**

Country	Project	Agency	ExCom	Issue
Brazil	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Germany/UNDP	64/25	Technical and cost issues under discussion
Cameroon	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	64/26	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Indonesia	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	Australia/UNDP/UNIDO/World Bank	64/34	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Jordan	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	64/36	Technical and cost issues under discussion
Lebanon	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNDP	64/37	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Mexico	HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche)	UNIDO	64/39	Technical and cost issues under discussion
Trinidad and Tobago	HCFC phase-out management plan (first tranche)	UNDP	64/46	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed
Uruguay	HCFC phase-out management plan (first tranche)	UNDP/UNIDO	64/47	All issues have been satisfactorily addressed

**Table 4. HCFC phase-out activities in China (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/29)**

Phase-out activity	Agency
HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China: Overarching strategy summary	UNDP
Sector plan for phase-out of HCFC-141b in the foam sector (phase I)	World Bank
Sector plan for phase-out of HCFCs in the extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam sector (phase I)	Germany/UNIDO
Demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-22/HCFC-142b technology to CO <sub>2</sub> with methyl formate co-blowing technology in the manufacture of XPS foam at Feininger (Nanjing) Energy Saving Technology Co. Ltd.	UNDP
Demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-22 to butane blowing technology in the manufacture of XPS foam at Shanghai Xinzhao Plastic Enterprises Co. Ltd.	UNIDO/Japan
Sector plan for HCFC phase-out in the industrial and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning sectors (stage I)	UNDP
HCFC-22 phase-out management plan for the room air-conditioner manufacturing sector	UNIDO
Demonstration project on HCFC management and phase-out in the refrigeration servicing sector	UNEP/Japan
Demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-141b-based technology to iso-paraffin and siloxane (KC-6) technology for cleaning in the manufacture of medical devices at Zhejiang Kindly Medical Devices Co. Ltd.	UNDP/Japan

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish to consider whether to approve each of the projects listed in the tables above on the basis of the discussions held under agenda item 8(a), information provided in the relevant project proposal document including the Secretariat's comments, any additional documents circulated in accordance with decision 41/80 and, any information provided at the meeting by the Secretariat or the relevant implementing/bilateral agency.

## 9. Report on implementation of disposal projects (decision 58/19)

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/49 contains the report from the Secretariat pursuant to decision 58/19 which, *inter alia*, requested the Secretariat to provide a report to the second meeting of the Executive Committee in 2011 on the experience gained in the implementation of the disposal projects, using reports from bilateral and implementing agencies and other relevant sources of information.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To note the report on the use of the interim guidelines for the funding of demonstration projects for the disposal of unwanted ODS while mindful that there is as yet very little experience in the implementation of the full pilot projects;
- (b) To request implementing agencies to provide an update to the Secretariat on how these guidelines were used in carrying out the approved pilot ODS disposal projects as their implementation progresses, no later than the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (c) To request the Secretariat to prepare a report for the consideration of the Executive Committee at the 69<sup>th</sup> meeting based on (b) above, and summarizing the experiences gained with recommendations for future action; and
- (d) To request the Secretariat to continue using the interim guidelines and applying them also to pilot projects for low-volume-consuming (LVC) countries until the Committee has considered the report in (c) above.

**10. Report on the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (decisions 59/45, 62/62 and 63/62)**

Document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/50](#) is submitted by the Secretariat pursuant to decision 63/62 whereby the Committee, after discussing and noting the report submitted to the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting, decided to continue discussion of the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII) at its 64<sup>th</sup> meeting. The report has been updated to reflect the discussion during the 63<sup>rd</sup> meeting and contains a description of the results from the application of the MCII to a subset of project submissions, from the 60<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, as per decision 59/45.

Issues to be addressed: None.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To take note of the report on the experience gained in implementing the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII);
- (b) To request the Secretariat to finalize the development of the MCII for the different sectors as outlined in document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/50](#);
- (c) To request the Secretariat to inform the Executive Committee about progress made and experience gained in applying the MCII to project submissions not later than the 67<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (d) To request the Secretariat to apply the climate indicator to the relevant projects and sub-projects submitted to allow the climate impact of the technological options presented in the submissions to be measured; and
- (e) To request the Secretariat to present a fully developed version of the MCII to the Executive Committee available not later than the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting, in order to enable an assessment of whether this can be applied as a fully integrated tool for the preparation and assessment of project submissions in order to calculate the climate impact of the HCFC consumption projects of the Multilateral Fund.

**11. Provisional 2010 accounts**

Document [UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/51](#) presents the provisional 2010 accounts of the Multilateral Fund, the four implementing agencies and the Secretariat as contained in Schedules 1.1 through 1.7 of the document and includes the adjustments made to the implementing agencies' 2009 provisional statements as requested by decision 62/64(c).

Issues to be addressed:

- Inclusion of the loss of the 2010 fixed-exchange-rate mechanism in the 2010 Secretariat expenditures.

Action expected from the Executive Committee: The Executive Committee may wish:

- (a) To take note of the Fund's 2010 provisional accounts;
- (b) To note that the 2010 final accounts of the Fund will be submitted to the Committee at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting and that further adjustments will be introduced if required;

- (c) To note the actions taken by the Treasurer in 2010 to reflect the adjustments resulting from the reconciliation of the 2009 accounts exercise; and
- (d) To request the Treasurer to reverse the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism loss presently recorded as Secretariat expenditure, as appropriate.

**12. Report of the Sub-group on the Production Sector**

Document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/52 will be issued during the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting and it will provide a report of the meeting of the Production Sector Sub-group to take place in the margins of the meeting. The document will contain an account of the Sub-group's consideration of a provisional agenda, an update on the status of the technical audit of the HCFC production sector in China and work to-date on remaining elements of a final decision with respect to the HCFC production sector (documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/64/SGP/1-3).

**13. Other matters**

If substantive issues are agreed for inclusion under agenda item 2(a), these will be taken up under this agenda item.

**14. Adoption of the report**

The Committee will have in front of it the draft report of the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting for its consideration and adoption.

**15. Closure of the meeting**

The meeting is expected to be closed on Friday, 29 July 2011.

-----