



**United Nations  
Environment  
Programme**

Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
15 November 2014

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF  
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
Seventy-third Meeting  
Paris, 9-13 November 2014

**REPORT OF THE SEVENTY-THIRD MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Introduction

1. The 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol was held at the headquarters of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), in Paris, France, from 9 to 13 November 2014.
2. The meeting was attended by representatives of the following countries, members of the Executive Committee in accordance with decision XXV/18 of the Twenty-Fifth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol:
  - (a) Parties not operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: Australia, Belgium, Italy, Japan, the Russian Federation, Sweden and the United States of America (Vice-Chair); and
  - (b) Parties operating under paragraph 1 of Article 5 of the Protocol: China, the Comoros, Grenada, Mauritius (Chair), Nicaragua, Saudi Arabia and Uruguay.
3. In accordance with the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its Second and Eighth Meetings, representatives of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) both as the implementing agency and as Treasurer of the Fund, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and the World Bank attended the meeting as observers.
4. The Executive Secretary and staff of the Ozone Secretariat, the President and Vice-President of the Implementation Committee under the Non-Compliance Procedure for the Montreal Protocol, one of the Co-Chairs of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) and a member of the TEAP's Replenishment Task Force were also present.
5. Representatives of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) Secretariat, the Alliance for Responsible Atmospheric Policy, the Environmental Investigation Agency and Shecco also attended as observers.

## **AGENDA ITEM 1: OPENING OF THE MEETING**

6. The meeting was opened by the Chair, Mr. Premhans Jhugroo, who welcomed members to the last meeting of 2014, noting that it was also the last of the current triennium and the last before the year in which Article 5 countries had to reduce their consumption and production of HCFCs by 10 per cent. He pointed out that it was the final opportunity for members to ensure that the goals set by the Parties for the 2012 to 2014 funding triennium were successfully met before turning their attention to the new triennium. Furthermore, decisions taken on policy matters and project approvals would have an impact on the final outcome of the discussions to be held the following week by the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on replenishment of the Multilateral Fund for 2015 to 2017.

7. The Chair stated that, owing to the current scenario of two meetings per year, members were being asked to consider a number of items additional to those usually addressed during the final meeting of the year, in particular the progress reports of the bilateral and implementing agencies and their business plans for the period 2015 to 2017. In this regard, he drew attention to the over-programming of activities for the years 2015 to 2017 based on the current budget for the 2012-2014 triennium, and the Secretariat's suggestions for dealing with the issue. The provisional agenda for the present meeting also included an update on implementation of the current business plan and cash-flow availability, consideration of tranche implementation delays and a review by the Secretariat of the administrative cost regime for the 2015-2017 triennium. In the area of programme implementation, members were to consider the consolidated project completion report, status reports and compliance, and monitoring and evaluation issues, including the draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for 2015.

8. Among the project proposals and activities to be considered, which amounted to US \$70 million, were stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for one country, stage II of an HPMP for one country, the 2015 Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) for UNEP and core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank for 2015. Noting that the Secretariat had once again brought to the Committee's attention the issue of submission of stage II of an HPMP of which stage I was still under way, and had proposed a template agreement for stage II for the Committee's consideration, he urged members to come to a decision on the matter.

9. Recalling that two agenda items had been under consideration by the Executive Committee for several meetings already, namely the draft criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs and the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII), he also expressed the hope that members would come to a conclusion on these items on the basis of new information provided at the meeting. He added that there was a need for the Sub-group on the Production Sector to continue its deliberations on the HCFC production sector guidelines.

## **AGENDA ITEM 2: ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS**

### **(a) Adoption of the agenda**

10. The Executive Committee adopted the following agenda for the meeting on the basis of the provisional agenda contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/1:

1. Opening of the meeting.
2. Organizational matters:
  - (a) Adoption of the agenda;
  - (b) Organization of work.

3. Secretariat activities.
4. Status of contributions and disbursements.
5. Status of resources and planning:
  - (a) Report on balances and availability of resources;
  - (b) 2014-2016 business plans and cash flow availability;
  - (c) Tranche submission delays.
6. Programme implementation:
  - (a) Monitoring and evaluation:
    - (i) 2014 consolidated project completion report;
    - (ii) Desk study on the evaluation of HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector;
    - (iii) Draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for the year 2015.
  - (b) Progress report as at 31 December 2013:
    - (i) Consolidated progress report;
    - (ii) Bilateral agencies;
    - (iii) UNDP;
    - (iv) UNEP;
    - (v) UNIDO;
    - (vi) World Bank;
  - (c) Evaluation of the implementation of the 2013 business plans;
  - (d) Status reports and compliance.
7. 2015-2017 business plans:
  - (a) Consolidated business plan of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (b) Business plans of the bilateral and implementing agencies:
    - (i) Bilateral agencies;
    - (ii) UNDP;
    - (iii) UNEP;

- (iv) UNIDO;
  - (v) World Bank.
- 8. Project proposals:
  - (a) Overview of issues identified during project review;
  - (b) Bilateral cooperation;
  - (c) Amendments to work programmes for 2014:
    - (i) UNDP;
    - (ii) UNEP;
    - (iii) UNIDO;
    - (iv) World Bank;
  - (d) UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2015;
  - (e) 2015 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank;
  - (f) Investment projects.
- 9. Review of the administrative cost regime for the 2015-2017 triennium (decision 71/45).
- 10. Disbursement of funds for the HCFC phase-out management plan for China (decision 72/38).
- 11. Draft criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HCFC phase-out management plans (decision 72/39).
- 12. Report on the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (decision 69/23).
- 13. Accounts of the Multilateral Fund:
  - (a) Final 2013 accounts;
  - (b) Reconciliation of the 2013 accounts;
  - (c) Fund transfers from the Treasurer to the implementing agencies (decision 72/42(b)(ii) and (iii)).
- 14. Approved 2014, 2015 and 2016 and proposed 2017 budgets of the Fund Secretariat.
- 15. Review of the operation of the Executive Committee (decision 70/23(d)).
- 16. Draft report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol.
- 17. Report of the Sub-group on the Production Sector.

18. Other matters.
19. Adoption of the report.
20. Closure of the meeting.

**(b) Organization of work**

11. The Executive Committee agreed to consider under agenda item 8(d), UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme budget for 2015, the audit of the UNEP OzonAction Branch, and under agenda item 18, Other matters, the dates and venues of the Executive Committee meetings to be held in 2015.

12. The meeting agreed to reconvene the Sub-group on the Production Sector with the following composition: Australia (facilitator), China, Italy, Japan, Mauritius, Nicaragua, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, the United States of America, and Uruguay.

**AGENDA ITEM 3: SECRETARIAT ACTIVITIES**

13. The Chief Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/2, which provided an overview of the work done by the Secretariat since the 72<sup>nd</sup> meeting.

14. Providing an update on Secretariat staff changes, he said that the job opening for the P5 Senior Programme Management Officer was being advertised in the United Nations Inspira system with a closing date of 28 November 2014, while the three P2 posts were being advertised with closing dates in December 2014. Completion of the recruitment process for all four posts was anticipated in early 2015. Another post – G7 Human Resources Assistant – would be advertised later in the current month. Interviews for the post of Deputy Chief Officer had been concluded, and the Secretariat would inform the Executive Committee as soon as it received news on that and all other recruitment processes.

15. The Chief Officer then briefly described the various meetings attended and missions undertaken by the staff of the Secretariat since the issuing of the document. He informed the Committee that he had participated in the technical expert meeting on non-CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gases in the context of the second session of the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action in Bonn, Germany, where he had given a presentation on the Multilateral Fund. He had also held bilateral discussions with the representative of the Secretariat of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Additionally, in October 2014, he had attended the 47<sup>th</sup> meeting of the GEF Council, where he met representatives of other multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) and held bilateral discussions with a representative of the GEF on further collaboration between the two secretariats. The Deputy Chief Officer for Financial and Economic Affairs had recorded a statement for the meeting of UNIDO donors on 3 November 2014.

16. Finally, the Chief Officer said that a draft document on the delegation of authority to the Chief Officer had been revised by the office of the Executive Director of UNEP based on input from the Secretariat and would be presented to the Executive Committee for its consideration once it had been finalized.

17. In the ensuing discussion, a number of members praised the Secretariat for the preparation of the present meeting and the high quality of the related documents. Several members commended the Chief Officer and other members of the Secretariat for the efforts made to engage with the representatives of other organizations, the GEF and MEAs, and encouraged the Secretariat to continue to nurture and promote such linkages and synergies, and to explore possibilities to further enhance cooperation. Participation in the technical expert meeting on non-CO<sub>2</sub> greenhouse gases under the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action was of particular importance. The Secretariat was also encouraged to foster climate-

related contacts with, for example, representatives of the Climate Technology Centre and Network of UNEP and the Green Climate Fund.

18. On the matter of the delegation of authority, several members said that the final draft of the document in question should be reviewed by the Committee before being signed, and urged that any agreed arrangements should ensure that the independence, integrity and proper authority of the Multilateral Fund and its Secretariat were maintained.

19. On the matter of the draft report of the Joint Inspection Unit of the United Nations on activities and resources devoted to addressing climate change in United Nations organizations, in which the Multilateral Fund participated, some members requested that the report be made available to members of the Committee as soon as it was completed.

20. In response to the request by one member for information on consultations held with the Russian Federation, the Chief Officer said that he and the Treasurer had met with the representative of the Russian Federation during the meeting of the Thirty-fourth Meeting of the Open-ended Working Group of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol in July 2014, and had discussed issues related to the payment of the country's contributions. On the matter of the draft report of the Joint Inspection Unit of the United Nations, he said that all members of the Committee would be informed when it was completed. Regarding the delegation of authority, the Executive Committee would be informed when the document was finalized, and would be given an opportunity to review it before it was signed by the Chief Officer and the Executive Director of UNEP.

21. On the matter of collaboration with relevant institutions and organizations, he said that the Secretariat would continue to foster opportunities to collaborate with other institutions, and would report to the Committee on those efforts.

22. The Executive Committee took note with appreciation of the report on Secretariat activities, and the clarifications provided by the Chief Officer.

#### **AGENDA ITEM 4: STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND DISBURSEMENTS**

23. The Treasurer introduced the report on the status of contributions and disbursements (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/3 and Corr.1) and provided updated information on countries' contributions to the Multilateral Fund as at 8 November 2014. Since the publication of the report, additional contributions of US \$8.25 million had been received, composed as follows: the Government of Canada had made a voluntary contribution of US \$1.29 million towards funding the 2013 cost differential of having the Secretariat in Montreal instead of in Nairobi; the Government of the United States of America had contributed US \$1.51 million; and the Government of Germany had provided a promissory note for US \$5.45 million. She said that the Fund's balance stood at US \$85.2 million, that 59 per cent of the pledges for 2014 had been paid, and that the gain of the fixed-exchange-rate mechanism stood at US \$19.3 million. The Fund's balance was composed of US \$52.9 million in cash and, after taking into account the encashment of two promissory notes from the United States of America, US \$32.3 million in promissory notes. Some of the promissory notes were due for encashment in 2015 and 2016. She also reported that the Treasurer and the Secretariat had continued to address the issue of outstanding contributions from the Holy See and Kazakhstan.

24. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report of the Treasurer on the status of contributions and disbursements and the information on promissory notes, contained in Annex I to the present report;

- (b) To urge all Parties to pay their contributions to the Multilateral Fund in full and as early as possible; and
- (c) To request the Treasurer, in consultation with the Secretariat, to continue the discussions with the Holy See and the Government of Kazakhstan on their respective outstanding contributions to the Multilateral Fund and to report back to the Executive Committee at its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 73/1)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 5: STATUS OF RESOURCES AND PLANNING**

### **(a) Report on balances and availability of resources**

25. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/4 and provided updated information on the return of balances from ongoing projects. Since the document had been issued, UNDP had returned an additional US \$50,058, plus agency support costs of US \$3,753, from the preparation of stage I of the HPMP for the Philippines (PHI/REF/59/PRP/87), and UNEP had returned US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$26,000, from an ongoing project with the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) (GLO/SEV/66/TAS/314). She also reported that UNIDO had returned to the present meeting US \$1,265,248 from completed projects and projects completed by decision of the Executive Committee, which included the partial return of US \$250,000, plus agency support costs of US \$18,750, from a project in Tunisia (TUN/PHA/68/INV/54). Taking into account the updated information on the amounts being returned by the implementing agencies, and the Fund's balance as reported by the Treasurer, the total level of resources available stood at US \$90.2 million. There would, therefore, be sufficient funding available for the Executive Committee to approve all the projects under consideration at the present meeting, as well as the budget of the Secretariat and the monitoring and evaluation activities.

26. Several members requested additional information on the consultations that had taken place with UNDP and UNIDO on the funds that they continued to hold on completed projects. One member said that the Government of France intended to offset the balances from completed and closed projects against future projects, and suggested text for a recommendation on that issue.

27. Subsequently the representative of the Secretariat said that, following consultations with the implementing agencies, UNDP would be returning an additional US \$26,150, plus agency support costs of US \$2,354, from a completed project for Barbados (BAR/REF/43/TAS/12) and US \$34,137, plus agency support costs of US \$2,560, from a completed project for Bolivia (Plurinational State of) (BOL/FOA/57/PRP/34). The World Bank had agreed to return an unobligated balance of US \$56,874, plus agency support costs of US \$4,266, from a project in India (IND/PHA/58/INV/434). Consequently, all of the implementing agencies had returned additional balances to the present meeting. The implementing agencies had, however, also explained that none of them was able to return obligated balances at the present time. With the additional amounts mentioned, the total amount returned to the Fund at the present meeting had increased to US \$5,153,497.

28. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The report on balances and availability of resources contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/4;
  - (ii) That the net level of funds being returned to the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting by the implementing

agencies against completed projects was US \$4,139,113, which included: US \$244,378, plus agency support costs of US \$41,057, from UNDP; US \$271,563, plus agency support costs of US \$27,974, from UNEP; US \$767,378, plus agency support costs of US \$58,978, from UNIDO; and US \$2,143,080, plus agency support costs of US \$584,704, from the World Bank;

- (iii) That the net level of funds being returned to the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting by the implementing agencies against projects completed by decision of the Executive Committee was US \$1,014,384, which included: US \$34,137, plus agency support costs of US \$2,560, from UNDP; US \$422,703, plus agency support costs of US \$54,952, from UNEP; US \$408,252, plus agency support costs of US \$30,640, from UNIDO, including the partial return of US \$250,000, plus agency support costs US \$18,750, from a project for Tunisia (TUN/PHA/68/INV/54); and US \$56,874, plus agency support costs of US \$4,266, from the World Bank;
  - (iv) That UNIDO had balances totalling US \$30,192, excluding support costs, from projects completed over two years previously;
  - (v) That the Government of France held balances from four completed projects and one project closed over two years previously, totalling US \$378,985, excluding support costs, which would be offset against France's future approved bilateral activities;
  - (vi) That the Government of Italy held balances from one project completed over two years previously, totalling US \$2,677, excluding support costs;
  - (vii) That the Government of Israel held balances for one project completed over two years previously, totalling US \$68,853, excluding support costs;
- (b) To request:
- (i) Bilateral and implementing agencies with projects completed over two years previously to return the balances to the Fund by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting;
  - (ii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to liquidate or cancel obligations not needed for completed projects and projects completed by decision of the Executive Committee in order to return balances to the Fund by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting as follow-up to decisions 70/7(b)(ii) and (iii) and 71/11(b);
  - (iii) Bilateral and implementing agencies to return balances from all closed projects to the Fund by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting; and
  - (iv) UNEP to return all unobligated balances to the Fund by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 73/2)**

**(b) 2014-2016 business plans and cash flow availability**

29. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/5, which indicated that approximately US \$27 million could be carried forward into the next triennium, although that amount did not make provision for the final value of approved projects and any additional balances or interest that might have accrued since the preparation of the document.

30. Following a request for updated information, the representative of the Secretariat said that the additional interest and balances reported since the preparation of the documents would increase the carry-over by at least US \$2 million.

31. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the update on implementation of the 2014-2016 business plan and cash-flow availability (decision 72/3(b)) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/5; and
- (b) To add to the 2015-2017 business plan the activities from the 2014 business plan that had not been approved in 2014, as contained in Annex II to the present report.

**(Decision 73/3)**

**(c) Tranche submission delays**

32. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/6, indicating that 20 of the 53 tranches of multi-year agreements due for consideration at the present meeting had not been submitted, including 11 owing to delays in meeting the 20 per cent disbursement threshold. Another 10 tranches had been submitted but subsequently withdrawn for various reasons. He stressed, however, that the implementing agencies had indicated that the countries in question appeared to have achieved compliance with the 2013 HCFC consumption freeze.

33. The implementing agencies confirmed to the Committee that the countries were in compliance, but indicated concern about the possible implications of submission delays for the achievement of future targets.

34. Noting that 2015 was a key year for compliance, one member expressed concern regarding the impact of waiting until the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting to approve the next tranche submissions, and called for a mechanism for authorization during the intersessional period. Others recalled discussions on the matter at a previous meeting, and suggested that it would be more appropriate to discuss the question under agenda item 15, Review of the operation of the Executive Committee (decision 70/23(d)).

35. Another member said that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold should be reconsidered, as it was not an accurate reflection of the progress achieved in project implementation. The reasons behind the delay should be taken into account, for example, the time it took to prepare a good project, which could delay disbursement, despite substantial progress in terms of procedures and project execution.

36. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The report on tranche submission delays contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/6;
  - (ii) The information on tranche submission delays under multi-year agreements submitted to the Secretariat by UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank;
  - (iii) That 33 out of 53 activities related to tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMPs) due for submission had been submitted on time to the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting and that 10 of those tranches had been withdrawn after discussion with the Secretariat;

- (iv) That the relevant implementing agencies had indicated no impact or unlikely impact on compliance from the late submission of the tranches of HPMPs due for submission to the second meeting of 2014 and that there had been no indication that any of those countries was in non-compliance with the 2013 freeze of HCFC consumption; and
- (b) To request the Secretariat to send letters on the decisions on tranche submission delays to the governments listed in Annex III to the present report.

**(Decision 73/4)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 6: PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION**

### **(a) Monitoring and evaluation**

#### **(i) 2014 consolidated project completion report**

37. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/7.

38. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the 2014 consolidated project completion report (PCR) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/7, including the schedule for submission of the PCRs due and the lessons learned;
- (b) To request the World Bank:
  - (i) To ensure that, by the end of December 2014, the PCR data in the Inventory of approved projects and in the annual progress reports were fully consistent;
  - (ii) To provide the Secretariat, by the end of December 2014, with the information still missing in a number of PCRs;
  - (iii) To clear, by the end of December 2014, the backlog of PCRs on projects;
- (c) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to submit outstanding PCRs in line with decisions 23/8 and 24/9; and
- (d) To invite all those involved in the preparation and implementation of projects to take into consideration the lessons learned drawn from PCRs when preparing and implementing future projects.

**(Decision 73/5)**

#### **(ii) Desk study on the evaluation of HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector**

39. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/8.

40. Members thanked the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer for the desk study report, and made a number of comments that they requested be taken into account in a second stage of the evaluation, including more context-related information concerning approval of the project and an annex containing

the terms of reference. Several members felt that the study did not provide the level of cost-related information called for in the terms of reference, and one member requested that the study include a comparison of the estimated cost-effectiveness at the time of the decision and the actual cost effectiveness following project implementation, both in terms of ODP and climate benefits. The section on alternative technologies was singled out by one member as being particularly important, which should be emphasized in the final report, while another asked for information on the regional or international availability of the alternative technologies mentioned. Another member said that methyl formate technology could not gain worldwide acceptance, as foam enterprises in some sectors did not accept it as an alternative to HCFC-141b. The value of the section on policy and institutional framework was questioned as it mainly reiterated Executive Committee decisions; rather, it should provide information on policies and institutions relevant to the foam sector. Furthermore, the conclusion section should provide meaningful conclusions that synthesized the key elements of the study.

41. The Executive Committee decided to note the desk study on the evaluation of the phase-out of the HCFC in the foam sector contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/8.

**(Decision 73/6)**

**(iii) Draft monitoring and evaluation programme for the year 2015**

42. The Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/9.

43. In the ensuing discussion, a number of members expressed reservations regarding various elements of the proposed programme. It was felt that fewer field visits were required for the evaluation of HCFC phase-out in the foam sector, given that field visits were undertaken in the desk study phase, and that the choice of countries to be visited should be modified to include countries for which major foam sector projects had been approved and countries with completed projects. The evaluation of licensing and quota systems was generally not considered justified, as the Executive Committee had not directly funded the implementation of such activities. Several members expressed surprise at the inclusion of a methyl bromide (MB) evaluation activity, as one had been suggested very recently, but the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer explained that the new evaluation had a global rather than regional focus and had been proposed at the suggestion of a member of the Executive Committee.

44. One member, supported by a number of others, proposed that the work programme be strengthened by the addition of a desk study on the phase-out of HCFCs in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sector and an evaluation of institutional strengthening projects. Another member suggested a desk study on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction.

45. Following the discussion, the members agreed to discuss the matter further in an informal group.

46. Following the informal discussions, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the draft monitoring and evaluation work programme for the year 2015 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/9/Rev.1;
- (b) To request the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer to submit the terms of reference for the desk studies on refrigeration and air-conditioning (RAC) manufacturing projects and on pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction, indicated in the table in sub-paragraph (c) below, for consideration by the Executive Committee at its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting; and

- (c) To approve the 2015 monitoring and evaluation work programme, budgeted at US \$91,285, as follows:

<b>Description</b>	<b>Amount (US \$)</b>
<b>Completion of the evaluation of the phase-out of HCFC in the foam sector</b>	
Field visits (3 countries)	
(1 consultant*7 days*3 countries*US \$500/day)	10,500
-Per diem (21 days*US \$351)	7,371
-Travel (3 countries*US \$6,000)	18,000
-Report writing (3 countries*5 days*US \$500)	7,500
-Synthesis report (6 days*US \$500/day)	3,000
<b>Desk study of RAC manufacturing projects</b>	
Desk study	
(1 consultant*24 days*US \$500/day)	12,000
<b>Evaluation of pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction</b>	
Desk study	
(1 consultant*24 days*US \$500/day)	12,000
Staff travel to 2 countries for field visits for the evaluation of HCFC phase-out in the foam sector (2 countries*US \$6,000; per diem 14 days*US \$351)	16,914
Miscellaneous	4,000
<b>Total 2015</b>	<b>91,285</b>

**(Decision 73/7)**

**(b) Progress report as at 31 December 2013**

**(i) Consolidated progress report**

47. The representative of the Secretariat introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/10 and Corr.1.

48. In the ensuing discussion, several members expressed concern at changes made to the completion dates of certain projects without the Executive Committee being consulted. One member said that such changes could be considered as major changes, given the potentially significant impact, and should come before the Committee for consideration. Another member said that in circumstances where a delay in changing the completion date would lead to implementation difficulties, a possible solution would be for the Committee to request the Secretariat to approve a change upon notification by the implementing agency concerned. Another member said that due account should be taken of the considerable logistical and other problems with which countries and implementing agencies might be faced. These might become apparent only once the project was under way and thus necessitate revision of the completion date. It was noted that the problem arose only for older projects dealing with the phase-out of CFCs, carbon tetrachloride (CTC) and halons, and would not occur in the context of decisions taken by the Committee on newer projects.

49. With regard to changes in project completion dates, one member said that, in future, the Executive Committee should be notified of any request to change a completion date, but agreed that, with regard to the countries in question at the present meeting, the particular national circumstances warranted the requested change.

50. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
- (i) The consolidated progress report of the Multilateral Fund as at 31 December 2013 contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/10 and Corr.1;
  - (ii) With appreciation, the efforts undertaken by implementing agencies in reporting the 2013 activities and submitting reporting formats, thus ensuring smooth implementation of decision 70/7(b)(i);
  - (iii) That the implementing agencies would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on 15 projects with implementation delays and 78 projects recommended for additional status reports, as contained in Annexes IV and V, respectively, to the present report;
- (b) That the implementing agencies should not be allowed to revise planned completion dates of CFC, carbon tetrachloride and halon projects beyond those dates decided by the Executive Committee, except when the Executive Committee had so decided, or where the Executive Committee had agreed that funds could be transferred to a HCFC phase-out management plan; and
- (c) To allow the extension of the approved completion dates of the national phase-out plans for Iraq, until December 2015, and for Yemen, until June 2015, owing to the political and security situation within those countries.

**(Decision 73/8)**

**(ii) Bilateral agencies**

51. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/11. Subsequent to the document being issued, the Government of Switzerland had submitted its progress report as at 31 December 2013.

52. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
- (i) With appreciation, the progress reports as at 31 December 2013 submitted by the Governments of Australia, Canada, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan and Spain contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/11, as well as the progress report for Switzerland that was submitted during the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting; and
  - (ii) That Spain would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on one project with implementation delays, as indicated in Annex IV to the present report, and Germany on two projects, Italy on one project and Spain on one project recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annex V to the present report.

**(Decision 73/9)**

**(iii) UNDP**

53. The representative of UNDP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/12.

54. One member expressed appreciation for the work that UNDP had accomplished, noting that it had exceeded many of its targets, but expressed concern that the average delay time for implementing investment projects was widening, and hoped that they would begin to narrow in future.

55. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

(i) The progress report of UNDP as at 31 December 2013 as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/12;

(ii) That UNDP would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on two projects with implementation delays and on nine projects recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annexes IV and V, respectively, to the present report; and

(iii) That the cumulative fund balance estimated at US \$208,291 had been obligated by UNDP for the three refrigerant management plan activities in Brunei Darussalam (BRU/REF/44/TAS/10), Maldives (MDV/REF/38/TAS/05) and Sri Lanka (SRL/REF/32/TAS/15).

**(Decision 73/10)**

**(iv) UNEP**

56. The representative of UNEP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/13.

57. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

(i) The progress report of UNEP as at 31 December 2013 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/13; and

(ii) That UNEP would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on five projects with implementation delays and on 43 projects recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annexes IV and V, respectively, to the present report.

**(Decision 73/11)**

**(v) UNIDO**

58. The representative of UNIDO introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/14.

59. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

(i) The progress report of UNIDO as at 31 December 2013 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/14; and

- (ii) That UNIDO would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on six projects classified with implementation delays and 17 projects recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annexes IV and V, respectively, to the present report.

**(Decision 73/12)**

**(vi) World Bank**

60. The representative of the World Bank introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/15.

61. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

- (i) The progress report of the World Bank as at 31 December 2013 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/15;
- (ii) That the World Bank would report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on one project with implementation delays, which had also been classified as such in 2012, and on five projects recommended for additional status reports, as indicated in Annexes IV and V, respectively to the present report; and

(b) To request the World Bank and the Secretariat to report on resolution of the issue of the difference in phase-out of 11,000 ODP tonnes in the consumption sector in the Inventory of approved projects and 7,000 ODP tonnes in the production sector in the World Bank's progress report.

**(Decision 73/13)**

**(c) Evaluation of the implementation of the 2013 business plan**

62. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/16.

63. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

- (i) The evaluation of the implementing agencies' performance against their 2013 business plans contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/16;
- (ii) With appreciation, that all implementing agencies had exceeded 85 on a scale of 100 in the quantitative assessment of their performance for 2013;
- (iii) That the trend analysis performance had improved in 2013 in comparison with that in 2012, noting also that the performance with respect to indicators such as "ODS to be phased out", "ODS phased out", "net emissions due to delays" and "funds disbursed for non-investment projects" might be improved by means of careful targeting in future;

(b) To request the following implementing agencies to report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting on their open and constructive discussions with the respective national ozone unit (NOU) as follows:

- (i) UNDP, on the ratings in the qualitative performance assessment from: Belize, with respect to involvement of the NOU in decision-making; and the Dominican Republic, with respect to the service provided by the UNDP country office;
  - (ii) UNEP, on the ratings in the qualitative performance assessment from: Afghanistan, with respect to consultations on how policy tools could be adjusted; Guyana, with respect to the return of unspent project preparation funds; and Sao Tome and Principe, with respect to the choice of refrigeration identifiers and technicians' tool kits;
  - (iii) UNIDO, on the ratings in the qualitative performance assessment from: Madagascar, with respect to administrative procedures; and South Africa, with respect to funding criteria and decisions on regulations and training; and
- (c) To request the Government of Germany to provide the Executive Committee, by its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, with responses regarding the ratings in the qualitative performance assessment from Afghanistan and from the Plurinational State of Bolivia.

**(Decision 73/14)**

**(d) Status reports and compliance**

64. The Executive Committee had before it documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17 and Add.1 which contained nine parts.

Part I: Status of compliance of Article 5 (A5) countries that are subject to the Montreal Protocol's control measures (decisions 32/76(b) and 67/6(c))

Part II: A5 countries that are subject to decisions of the Parties on compliance

Part III: Data on the implementation of country programmes (CPs) on sectoral distribution of HCFCs and prices of HCFCs

65. The representative of the Secretariat introduced parts I to III of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17.

66. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The document on status reports and compliance contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17;
  - (ii) That 93 of the 122 countries that had provided 2013 data had submitted their country programme implementation reports through the web-based system;
- (b) To request:
  - (i) UNEP to report, by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, on the establishment of licensing systems in Botswana and South Sudan, and UNIDO to report on the licensing system in Libya;

- (ii) The relevant implementing agencies to provide an update, by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, on the actions taken by Dominica and Mauritania to include in their licensing systems the accelerated HCFC-control measures agreed in 2007; and
- (iii) The relevant implementing agencies to assist Article 5 countries in addressing discrepancies between 2013 Article 7 and country programme data as indicated in Table 9 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17.

**(Decision 73/15)**

67. The Executive Committee then addressed the issues covered in the six parts contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1.

Part IV: HCFC demonstration projects

68. The Chair introduced part IV on HCFC demonstration projects.

69. One member reminded the Executive Committee that, when undertaking the second part of the evaluation of the HCFC phase-out projects in the foam sector, it was important that the Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer take into consideration information on the cost-effectiveness and other relevant aspects arising from the demonstration projects on foams that had been completed and reported to the Executive Committee.

*China: Demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-22/HCFC-142b technology to CO<sub>2</sub> with methyl formate co-blowing technology in the manufacture of extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam at Feininger (Nanjing) Energy Saving Technology Co. Ltd.*

70. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the final report on the demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-22/HCFC-142b technology to CO<sub>2</sub> with methyl formate co-blowing technology in the manufacture of extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam at Feininger (Nanjing) Energy Saving Technology Co. Ltd. in China, submitted by UNDP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and
- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to consider the information contained in the final report mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above, together with information on other alternatives, when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing projects for the phase-out of HCFCs in XPS foam applications.

**(Decision 73/16)**

*China: Final report on the demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-22 to propane at Midea Room Air Conditioner Manufacturing Company and Guangdong Meizhi Co.*

71. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the final reports on the demonstration projects for conversion from HCFC-22 to propane (HC-290) at Midea Room Air Conditioner Manufacturing Company and at Guangdong Meizhi Co. in China, submitted by UNIDO, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1;

- (b) To request the bilateral and implementing agencies to consider the information contained in the final reports mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing projects for the phase-out of HCFC-22 in the room air-conditioning manufacturing sector; and
- (c) To request UNIDO to continue monitoring the demonstration projects and report on the incremental operating costs of HC-290-based compressors and air-conditioners to the 75<sup>th</sup> meeting as part of the progress report of the room air-conditioner manufacturing sector plan of the HCFC phase-out management plan for China.

**(Decision 73/17)**

*China: Report on the demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-141b-based technology to iso-paraffin and siloxane technology for cleaning in the manufacture of medical devices at Zhejiang Kindly Medical Devices Co. Ltd.*

72. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the final report on the demonstration project for conversion from HCFC-141b to iso-paraffin and siloxane (KC-6) technology at Zhejiang Kindly Medical Devices Co. Ltd in China, submitted by UNDP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and
- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to consider the information contained in the final report mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above when assisting Article 5 countries in preparing projects for the phase-out of HCFC-141b in medical cleaning applications in the solvent sector.

**(Decision 73/18)**

*Egypt: Low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons (HCs) in the manufacture of polyurethane foams. An assessment for the application in Multilateral Fund projects*

73. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the supplementary progress report on the implementation of the demonstration project on low-cost options for the use of hydrocarbons in the manufacture of polyurethane foams in Egypt, submitted by UNDP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and
- (b) To request UNDP to submit, to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, the final report on the demonstration project mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above.

**(Decision 73/19)**

Part V: Financial audit reports for the halon, CFC production, foam, process agent II, solvent and CFC refrigeration servicing sectors in China

74. The representative of the Secretariat introduced Part V of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1. He noted that, subsequent to the document being issued, information had been received explaining that the difference in interest was due to the fact that funds for the servicing sector had been invested in a Chinese renminbi account that had yielded an interest rate over

six times greater than the funds that had been invested in US dollar accounts associated with sector plans implemented by the World Bank.

75. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note, with appreciation, the financial audit reports, work plans and progress reports provided for the CFC production, halon, polyurethane (PU) foam, process agent II, refrigeration servicing, and solvent sectors in China, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1;
- (b) To request the Government of China and the relevant bilateral and implementing agencies:
  - (i) To submit annual progress reports, audit reports, and interest accrued during the implementation of the CFC production, halon, PU foam, process agent II, refrigeration servicing sector and solvent sector plans, until the completion of all related activities planned no later than 31 December 2018, and
  - (ii) To submit project completion reports for the CFC production, halon, PU foam, process agent II, refrigeration servicing sector and solvent sector plans to the Executive Committee no later than the first meeting in 2019.

**(Decision 73/20)**

Part VI: National CFC phase-out plans

76. The Chair introduced part VI on national CFC phase-out plans.

*The Islamic Republic of Iran: Implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan: policy and regulatory component*

77. The Executive Committee decided to note that UNEP would return, by the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, US \$60,000 from the refrigeration-servicing-sector component of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for the Islamic Republic of Iran that had not been accounted for in the national CFC phase-out plan (IRA/PHA/63/TAS/200), on the understanding that the corresponding activities of the HPMP would be implemented using the Government's own resources.

**(Decision 73/21)**

*The Philippines: Financial report for the national CFC phase-out plan (NCPP)*

78. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the audited financial report for the national CFC phase-out plan for the Philippines, submitted by UNEP on behalf of the Government of the Philippines, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1;
- (b) To request UNEP to return the balance of US \$15,411, plus agency support costs of US \$2,003, as soon as possible, and no later than the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, in line with decision 72/14(d)(i); and

- (c) To note that UNEP would submit the project completion report for the project no later than the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, as required by decision 72/14(d)(ii).

**(Decision 73/22)**

Part VII: Methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project in Guatemala

79. The Chair introduced part VII on the MB phase-out project in Guatemala.
80. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To note the progress report on the implementation of the methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project in Guatemala submitted by UNIDO on behalf of the Government of Guatemala contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and
- (b) To request UNIDO and UNEP to submit to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting a progress report, including any potential risk of non-compliance of Guatemala with the 2015 control measure for MB.

**(Decision 73/23)**

Part VIII: ODS waste management and disposal

81. The Chair introduced part VIII on ODS waste management and disposal.
82. In response to a request to compile the key elements and cost-effectiveness components of the reports on ODS demonstration projects, on lessons learned and guidance, once further ODS disposal projects had been completed, the representative of the Secretariat explained that, in relation to the current reports, the report submitted by UNIDO on the project to demonstrate a regional strategy for ODS waste management and disposal in the Europe and Central Asia region was in response to a requirement pursuant to decision 69/19(b)(ii). She also clarified that the reports submitted by the World Bank for Indonesia and the Philippines were not for demonstration projects, but were studies to look into opportunities to leverage co-financing for ODS disposal. While the studies might be of interest for other countries with ODS projects, there had not been a comprehensive discussion on the cost-effectiveness of the ODS disposal projects in these countries.
83. Several members said that it would be useful if, in future reports on ODS disposal projects, the costs associated with the projects were described, as that information would be helpful to other Parties with similar projects. At some point, an effort should be made to consolidate the lessons learned.
84. The representative of the World Bank clarified that information on the cost elements was available in the reports.

*Indonesia and the Philippines: Final reports of ODS disposal projects*

85. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To note the reports submitted by the World Bank on ODS disposal projects for Indonesia and the Philippines contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and

- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to consider the information contained in those reports on the establishment of a private-public financing system for disposal of ODS when providing advice to Article 5 countries on ODS waste management and disposal.

**(Decision 73/24)**

*Europe and Central Asia region: Demonstration of a regional strategy for ODS waste management and disposal*

86. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report submitted by UNIDO on the actual amounts of ODS waste collected, by substance, for the project to demonstrate a regional strategy for ODS waste management and disposal in the Europe and Central Asia region in line with decision 69/19(b)(ii) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1; and
- (b) To request UNEP and UNIDO to submit the project completion report for the pilot demonstration project, no later than the first meeting in 2016.

**(Decision 73/25)**

**Part IX: Resource mobilization**

87. The Chair introduced part IX on resource mobilization.

88. Several members said that there was a great deal of information in the document on resource mobilization, and that the members had not had time to consider it adequately. It was, therefore, suggested that further consideration of the report be deferred until the next meeting of the Executive Committee.

89. The Executive Committee decided to defer consideration of the report on resource mobilization for climate co-benefits submitted by UNEP, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/17/Add.1, until the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 73/26)**

**AGENDA ITEM 7: 2015-2017 BUSINESS PLANS**

**(a) Consolidated business plan of the Multilateral Fund**

90. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/18. He explained that, subsequent to the production of the document, the bilateral and implementing agencies had confirmed that there were no overlapping projects in the business plans. He also mentioned that those activities in the 2014 business plan that were expected to be included in future business plans should be added to the 2015-2017 business plans as already agreed by the Executive Committee under agenda item 5(b) (2014-2016 business plan and cash-flow availability).

91. Members of the Executive Committee thanked the Secretariat and the implementing agencies for the consolidated business plan, which was an important guide for the implementing agencies, based on the priorities reflected in decisions of the Meeting of the Parties and Executive Committee meetings. It was crucial, therefore, to ensure that the consolidated business plan provided the best guidance possible. Its usefulness as a planning exercise was undermined by substantial over-programming or

under-programming, for example. Furthermore, some activities in the consolidated business plan seemed to be either ineligible for funding or already covered by HPMPs, which gave rise to the possibility of double counting. With regard to phase-out targets, the 2020 target of 35 per cent phase-out required emphasis on the phase-out of HCFC-141b to ensure compliance in line with decision XIX/6 and subsequent decisions of the Executive Committee; and there was a need to develop more fully the rationale for the options proposed by the Secretariat in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/18.

92. With respect to the low-global-warming-potential (low-GWP) demonstration projects and feasibility studies on district cooling in the consolidated business plan, a number of issues were raised, including: over-programming for demonstration projects of approximately US \$23 million compared with the envelope of US \$10 million approved in decision 72/40(b); the possible negative effect of disqualifying certain proposals that did not sufficiently include low-GWP alternatives; the seemingly strict criteria proposed by the Secretariat for presenting demonstration project preparation proposals; and the need to have a range of proposals for demonstration projects so that the Executive Committee could choose those that would best meet the needs of Article 5 countries.

93. The clearest options seemed to be either to cut down the number of projects or to maintain all of them and prorate the amount of funding for each one so as to keep the funding amount down to US \$10 million. Further discussion was required to come to a decision on this matter.

94. The representative of the Secretariat addressed specific questions raised during the discussion. He explained that the amount for funding for MB phase-out in Egypt, which already had a phase-out plan, had been included in the business plan because the sector for MB phase-out in high-moisture dates had not been approved with the rest of the plan. He also indicated that 34 per cent of the phase-out in the consolidated business plan was in the foam sector, while 61 per cent was in the refrigeration sector. The remaining percentage of phase-out was in the solvent and firefighting sectors. Concerning the cost-effectiveness of the demonstration project proposals in the business plan, the data provided showed a cost-effectiveness of between US \$9.5/metric kg and US \$10.6/metric kg for projects in the refrigeration sector, and a cost-effectiveness of US \$9.26/metric kg for the only project in the foam sector for which data was provided.

95. Following the discussion, the members agreed to establish a contact group to pursue their discussion of the issues raised and to obtain further clarification on other aspects of the consolidated business plan, namely the level of funding for stage II of the HPPMP for China, and the prioritization of HCFC-141b phase-out.

96. It was reported that, during the contact group discussion, there had been consensus that the business plan would include a US \$10 million window for the demonstration projects in line with decision 72/40, and that the list of demonstration projects included in the consolidated business plan as submitted by the bilateral and implementing agencies would remain open for additional ideas and proposals, to enable the Executive Committee to make decisions, at the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, on which of these project preparation proposals might be funded.

97. Guidance was also provided in order to ensure that the best proposals for demonstration projects were submitted to the Executive Committee for its consideration. The following suggestions were made by Executive Committee members:

- (a) Project proposals should: clearly describe the technology to be demonstrated; provide information on its replicability and its demonstration value and how those elements would contribute to the direction being taken by the Executive Committee in facilitating the introduction of new low-GWP technologies as alternatives in HCFC phase-out plans; and target sectors or regions for which the technology had not been demonstrated in the past;

- (b) Projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning sector would be prioritized, particularly air-conditioning manufacturing, where there were emerging technologies that could be demonstrated. Some delegations that commented on the air-conditioning manufacturing sector emphasized demonstration on unitary systems or larger mini-splits, for example using low-GWP hydrofluoroolefin (HFO) blends, as well as the importance of focusing on the challenges of high ambient temperatures. Some Executive Committee members also suggested that the following might be addressed in demonstration projects: adsorption-based systems, the design of centralized cooling systems, or ways to best address the safe use of ammonia, CO<sub>2</sub> or hydrocarbons in refrigeration or air-conditioning equipment. If possible, applications with a significant share of HCFC use should be highlighted rather than niche applications;
- (c) Projects that demonstrated already established technologies should clearly describe the value of those projects;
- (d) Demonstration projects for the foam sector should clearly describe and delineate the added value of those projects compared to projects completed in stage I, what was new, and how relevant all this was to the remaining consumption to be phased out in the sector;
- (e) Projects should also consider regional and geographical distribution;
- (f) Some Executive Committee members would prefer not to see projects looking at leak reductions, projects on the supply, quality and handling of refrigerants, and global or regional projects; and
- (g) Some Executive Committee members would prefer not to see demonstration projects in the servicing sector, except for those covering local assembly of equipment.

98. In light of the discussion and guidance, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the consolidated 2015-2017 business plan of the Multilateral Fund contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/18;
- (b) To adjust the business plan as recommended by the Secretariat in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/18;
- (c) To further adjust the business plan as proposed during the discussion by the Executive Committee and/or the presentation of the business plans by bilateral and implementing agencies by:
  - (i) Prorating new HCFC activities so that the total budget for the 2015-2017 business plan reflected the decision of the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties on the level of replenishment of the Multilateral Fund for the 2015-2017 triennium;
  - (ii) Removing:
    - a. Project preparation activities for stages I and II of HCFC production phase-out management plans (HPPMPs);
    - b. Activities in stage I of HPPMPs that had not previously been approved;

- c. The project for technical assistance for the HCFC servicing sector in the Asia and Pacific region;
  - d. Those demonstration projects for alternatives with low global-warming potential (GWP) and district cooling feasibility studies in the business plan so that any demonstration projects and district cooling feasibility studies might be considered by the Executive Committee;
  - e. Project preparation for district cooling feasibility studies unless such project preparation was deducted from the allocation for district cooling indicated in decision 72/40;
- (d) To request that demonstration projects for low-GWP alternatives and technical assistance for feasibility studies in district cooling, be submitted as per decision 72/40(b), without a requirement for those activities to be included in business plans;
  - (e) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to include in their business plans activities in stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Kenya; and
  - (f) To endorse the consolidated 2015-2017 business plan of the Multilateral Fund, as adjusted by the Secretariat and the Executive Committee, while noting that endorsement denoted neither approval of the projects identified therein nor approval of their funding or tonnage levels, on the understanding that agencies would place significantly greater emphasis on HCFC phase-out in the foam sector in stage II of the HPMPs included in their business plans for the 2016-2018 period.

**(Decision 73/27)**

**(b) Business plans of the bilateral and implementing agencies**

**(i) Bilateral agencies**

99. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/19, drawing particular attention to the fact that approval of the proposed submissions to the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting would result in Germany significantly exceeding its bilateral allocation for the 2012-2014 triennium.

100. Recalling that it had become common practice at the last meeting of the triennium to offset some of Germany's future bilateral allocation provided that there were no negative implications for the countries included in Germany's submission, one member asked for assurances that this would again be the case. The representative of Italy, on behalf of Germany, confirmed that it would. Another member nevertheless expressed concern, noting that the amount of Germany's future bilateral allocation was a proportion of the 2015-2017 replenishment, which itself had yet to be determined.

101. The Executive Committee decided to note:

- (a) The 2015-2017 business plans of bilateral agencies submitted by Germany, Italy, Japan and Poland contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/19; and
- (b) That, at the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, Germany's 2015-2017 business plan should be reconsidered in light of the 20 per cent bilateral allocation and the general approach applied in the financial planning document for the 2015-2017 triennium.

**(Decision 73/28)**

**(ii) UNDP**

102. The representative of UNDP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/20.

103. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the UNDP business plan for 2015-2017 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/20; and
- (b) To approve the performance indicators for UNDP as set out in Annex VI to the present report.

**(Decision 73/29)**

**(iii) UNEP**

104. The representative of UNEP introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/21.

105. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the UNEP business plan for 2015-2017 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/21;
- (b) To add activities referred to in UNIDO's business plan for stage II of HCFC phase-out management plans in low-volume-consuming countries to UNEP's business plan; and
- (c) To approve the performance indicators for UNEP as set out in Annex VII to the present report.

**(Decision 73/30)**

**(iv) UNIDO**

106. The representative of UNIDO introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/22, drawing particular attention to the inclusion in the business plan of proposed demonstration projects on avoiding unintended transfer of MB from non-controlled to controlled uses. These projects were intended to address a misinterpretation regarding quarantine and pre-shipment applications and the consequent mistaken listing of controlled uses of MB as quarantine and pre-shipment uses.

107. In the ensuing discussion, it was recalled that similar projects had been considered at the 71<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Committee and removed from the UNIDO business plan on the basis that the use of MB for quarantine and pre-shipment applications was exempt from the compliance obligations. Although the purpose of the demonstration projects now appeared to be slightly different, they still raised a number of issues, as described in the document.

108. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the UNIDO business plan for 2015-2017 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/22; and
- (b) To approve the performance indicators for UNIDO as set out in Annex VIII to the present report.

**(Decision 73/31)**

**(v) World Bank**

109. The representative of the World Bank introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/23.

110. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the World Bank business plan for 2015-2017 contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/23; and
- (b) To approve the performance indicators for the World Bank as set out in Annex IX to the present report.

**(Decision 73/32)**

## **AGENDA ITEM 8: PROJECT PROPOSALS**

**(a) Overview of issues identified during project review**

111. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/24.

Submission of stage II of an HPMP with stage I currently ongoing

112. The representative of the Secretariat introduced the issue on the submission of stage II of an HPMP with stage I currently ongoing, raised owing to the submission of stage II of the HPMP for Mexico (see agenda item 8(f), Investment projects, below).

113. While stressing the usefulness of having the Agreement for stage II of the HPMP for Mexico as a template for agreements on stage II of HPMPs, one member noted that additional discussion was required on the related criteria for funding for stage II of HPMPs and on the achievement of the required 20 per cent disbursement threshold under the previously approved tranche before submission of the next tranche request.

114. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the draft Agreement prepared for stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Mexico as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/43;
- (b) To request bilateral and implementing agencies to use the Agreement for stage II of the HPMP for Mexico, approved in decision 73/58, as a template for stage II of HPMPs, noting that the Agreement had been prepared prior to the conclusion of the ongoing discussions on the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs and the precondition of reaching the 20 per cent disbursement threshold before the release of the subsequent tranche; and

- (c) To request the Secretariat to review the template Agreement for stage II of HPMPs referred to in sub-paragraph (b) above, once discussions on criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs and the 20 per cent disbursement threshold had been completed.

**(Decision 73/33)**

Retrofit of existing HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants

115. Noting that discussions at the previous Executive Committee meeting had addressed in part the issue of retrofitting of existing HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants, one member, supported by another, suggested that a gap remained with respect to the standards for protocols for safe retrofitting to flammable substances. Others, however, felt that the issue had been adequately addressed at the previous meeting, and that no further guidance was required from the Committee on the matter.

116. The Executive Committee agreed to hold further discussions on the issues in an informal group.

117. Following the report of the informal group, the Executive Committee decided that, if a country were to decide, after taking into account decision 72/17, to proceed with retrofits that used flammable substances in equipment originally designed for non-flammable substances, it should be done only in accordance with the relevant standards and protocols.

**(Decision 73/34)**

Projects and activities submitted for blanket approval

118. The Chair drew the attention of the Executive Committee to Annex II of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/24, which listed projects and activities recommended for blanket approval. He mentioned that an adjustment had been made in the request for project preparation funding for stage II of the HPMP for Egypt, contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/28/Corr.1, whereby the funding for UNIDO required for project preparation for stage II of the HPMP had been changed from US \$50,000 to US \$70,000. In addition, subsequent to the document being issued, the Government of Italy had informed UNIDO that it wished to contribute \$150,000, including agency support costs, to a project on the final phase-out of MB in Tunisia, with the remaining \$267,657 being for UNIDO.

119. Regarding the list of projects submitted for blanket approval, the Committee agreed to remove from the list requests for project preparation of stage II of the HPMPs for Egypt, Iraq, the Philippines, Qatar and Yemen and to consider them individually under agenda item 8(c), Amendments to work programmes for 2014, as well as the request for the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Fiji and to consider it individually under agenda item 8(f), Investment projects.

120. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the projects and activities submitted for blanket approval at the levels of funding indicated in Annex X to the present report, together with the conditions or provisions included in the corresponding project evaluation documents and the conditions attached to the projects by the Executive Committee and noting:
- (i) That the Agreements, between the Government of Gabon and the Executive Committee, and the Government of Lesotho and the Executive Committee, on the reduction of HCFC consumption, had been updated as contained in Annexes

XI and XII, respectively, to the present report, based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance;

- (ii) That the Agreement between the Government of Mexico and the Executive Committee on the reduction of HCFC consumption had been updated as contained in Annex XIII to the present report to reflect an updated consumption target for 2018;
  - (iii) That the Agreement between the Sudan and the Executive Committee on the reduction of HCFC consumption had been updated as contained in Annex XIV to the present report to reflect the change in support costs owing to the new administrative cost regime; and
- (b) That for projects related to renewal of institutional strengthening, blanket approval included approval of the observations to be communicated to recipient governments contained in Annex XV to the present report.

**(Decision 73/35)**

**(b) Bilateral cooperation**

121. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/25. Since the document had been issued, the Government of Italy had increased its funding request for bilateral cooperation to US \$667,756 for 2014.

122. The Executive Committee discussed all components of the preparation of stage II of the HPMP for China under agenda item 8(c), Amendments to work programmes for 2014.

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (extruded polystyrene foam sector) (Government of Germany); and

China: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (servicing sector and enabling component) (Government of Germany)

123. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for the Government of Germany's components for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan/HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) for China as follows:

- (a) Extruded polystyrene foam sector at the level of funding of US \$70,000, plus agency support costs of US \$9,100; and
- (b) Servicing sector and enabling component at the level of funding of US \$46,900, plus agency support costs of US \$6,097.

**(Decision 73/36)**

China: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (servicing sector and enabling component) (Government of Japan)

124. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for the Government of Japan's component for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) in China for the servicing sector and enabling component at the level of funding of US \$25,500, plus agency support costs of US \$3,315.

**(Decision 73/37)**

125. The Executive Committee decided to request the Treasurer to offset the costs of the bilateral projects approved at the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting as reflected in Annex X to the present report as follows:

- (a) US \$50,835 (including agency fees) against the balance of Germany's bilateral contribution for 2012–2014 and US \$659,452 against Germany's bilateral contribution for 2015–2017;
- (b) US \$667,756 (including agency fees) against the balance of Italy's bilateral contribution for 2014; and
- (c) US \$28,815 (including agency fees) against the balance of Japan's bilateral contribution for 2014.

**(Decision 73/38)**

**(c) Amendments to work programmes for 2014**

126. The representative of the Secretariat said that the work programmes of UNDP (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/26), UNEP (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/27), UNIDO (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/28 and Corr.1) and the World Bank (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/29) contained requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMP for China, which were for individual consideration. She also recalled that requests for the preparation of stage II of the HPMPs for Egypt, Iraq the Philippines, Qatar and Yemen had been removed from the list for blanket approval under agenda item 8(a), Overview of issues identified during project review, and would be considered individually in the respective work programme amendments of the implementing agencies.

127. One member, supported by another, said that that the amounts being requested for the stage II of the HPMP for China were excessive when compared with the amounts approved for stage I, considering the groundwork that had been done during stage I on monitoring and verifying annual consumption in each sector.

128. Following informal consultations, the representative of the Secretariat said that the total funding for all components of stage II of the HPMP for China was US \$2,000,000, broken down by individual project under agenda items 8(b), Bilateral cooperation, and the present agenda item.

**(i) UNDP**

129. The Chair reminded the meeting that the funding requests in the 2014 work programme amendments of UNDP had already been approved as part of the list of projects for blanket approval (see agenda item 8(a), Overview of issues identified during project review, paragraphs 118 to 120, decision 73/35 above), except for the request for preparation of stage II of the HPMP investment activities for China.

China: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (industrial and commercial refrigeration and air-conditioning sector)

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (solvent sector)

130. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNDP for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan/HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) for China as follows:

- (a) Overarching strategy at the level of funding of US \$200,000, plus agency support costs of US \$14,000;
- (b) Industrial and commercial refrigeration sector at the level of funding of US \$330,000, plus agency support costs of US \$23,100; and
- (c) Solvent sector at the level of funding of US \$150,000, plus agency support costs of US \$10,500.

**(Decision 73/39)**

**(ii) UNEP**

131. The Chair reminded the meeting that the funding requests in the 2014 work programme amendments of UNEP had already been approved as part of the list of projects for blanket approval (see agenda item 8(a), Overview of issues identified during project review, paragraphs 118 to 120, decision 73/35 above), except the requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMP for the servicing sector and other enabling activities for China, and the requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMPs for Egypt, Iraq, Qatar and Yemen, which had been removed from the list for blanket approval.

China: Preparation of stage II of the HPMP for the refrigeration servicing sector and enabling components

132. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNEP's component for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) for the servicing sector and other enabling activities for China at the level of funding of US \$217,600 plus agency support costs of US \$28,288.

**(Decision 73/40)**

Egypt: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

133. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNEP's component of project preparation for stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan for Egypt at the level of funding of US \$20,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,600.

**(Decision 73/41)**

Iraq: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

134. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNEP's component of project preparation for stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan for Iraq at the level of funding of US \$45,000, plus agency support costs of US \$5,850.

**(Decision 73/42)**

Qatar: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

135. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNEP's component of the project preparation of the HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) for Qatar at the level of funding of US \$20,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,600, on the understanding that, while the submission of stage II of the HPMP was expected to contain activities supposed to be funded by the Multilateral Fund, it would also include self-funded activities associated with voluntary reduction of eligibility agreed under stage I of the HPMP, to meet a reduction in HCFC consumption of at least 35 per cent by 2020.

**(Decision 73/43)**

Yemen: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

136. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNEP's components of project preparation for stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan for Yemen at the level of funding of US \$50,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,500.

**(Decision 73/44)**

**(iii) UNIDO**

137. The Chair reminded the meeting that the funding requests in the 2014 work programme amendments of UNIDO had already been approved as part of the list of projects for blanket approval (see agenda item 8(a), Overview of issues identified during project review, paragraphs 118 to 120, decision 73/35 above) except for the requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMP/investment activities for China, and the requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMPs for Egypt, Iraq, Qatar and Yemen, which had been removed from the list for blanket approval.

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (extruded polystyrene foam sector)

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment projects (stage II) (room air-conditioning sector)

138. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNIDO's components for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out investment activities for China (stage II) as follows:

- (a) Extruded polystyrene foam sector at the level of funding of US \$260,000, plus agency support costs of US \$18,200; and
- (b) Room air-conditioning sector at the level of funding US \$300,000, plus agency support costs of US \$21,000.

**(Decision 73/45)**

Egypt: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

Egypt: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)

Egypt: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (refrigeration sector)

139. One member questioned the need to prepare separate plans for the air-conditioning and commercial refrigeration sub-sectors, given the small number of enterprises that were indicated in stage I of the HPMP. He also questioned whether there was a need to include the refrigeration sector at this time, when there was sufficient consumption in the foam sector to achieve a 35 per cent reduction in consumption.

140. Following a discussion, it was agreed that the request for project preparation for the investment component of stage II of the HPMP for the refrigeration sector would be adjusted to US \$ 150,000 to cover the overall sector.

141. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNIDO's components of project preparation for the HCFC phase-out plan and investment activities for Egypt (stage II) as follows:

- (a) Overarching strategy at the level of funding of US \$70,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,900;
- (b) Foam sector at the level of funding of US \$60,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,200; and
- (c) Refrigeration sector at the level of funding of US \$150,000, plus agency support costs of US \$10,500.

**(Decision 73/46)**

Iraq: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

Iraq: Preparation of HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (refrigeration manufacturing sector)

142. One member noted the request for funding to prepare a conversion project in the air-conditioning manufacturing sector, and asked if there was any HCFC consumption in the foam sector that could be addressed instead. The representative of UNIDO confirmed that there was no HCFC consumption in the foam sector.

143. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNIDO's components of project preparation for the HCFC phase-out plan and investment activities for Iraq (stage II) as follows:

- (a) Overarching strategy at the level of funding of US \$25,000, plus agency support costs of US \$1,750; and
- (b) Refrigeration manufacturing sector at the level of funding of US \$30,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,100.

**(Decision 73/47)**

Qatar: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

144. One member queried the commitment of the Government of Qatar to achieve only a 20 per cent reduction by 2020, given that the reductions already agreed to by the Government went well beyond that, amounting to over 67 per cent of the baseline.

145. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNIDO's component of project preparation of the HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) for Qatar at the level of funding of US \$40,000, plus agency support costs of US \$2,800, on the understanding that, while the submission of stage II of the HPMP was expected to contain activities supposed to be funded by the Multilateral Fund, it would also include self-funded activities associated with voluntary reduction of eligibility agreed under stage I of the HPMP, to meet a reduction in HCFC consumption of at least 35 per cent by 2020.

**(Decision 73/48)**

Yemen: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)Yemen: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)

146. One member requested clarification of the discrepancy between the plan of UNIDO, which requested funds to prepare a sector plan for the foam sector only, and that of UNEP, which would address the commercial refrigeration sector, with no preparation funding requested. With regard to the foam sector, he queried whether the number of enterprises justified the level of funding requested. On the first matter, the representative of UNIDO clarified that funding was not being requested by UNIDO for the commercial refrigeration sector, and that UNEP might address that as part of the refrigeration servicing sector. On the matter of the number of enterprises, he said that UNIDO had compiled an exhaustive list of companies that justified the level of funding requested.

147. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for UNIDO's components for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out plan and investment activities for Yemen (stage II) as follows:

- (a) Overarching strategy at the level of funding of US \$20,000, plus agency support costs of US \$1,400; and
- (b) Foam sector at the level of funding of US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$5,600.

**(Decision 73/49)**

**(iv) World Bank**

148. The Chair reminded the meeting that the funding requests in the 2014 work programme amendments of the World Bank had already been approved as part of the list of projects for blanket approval (see agenda item 8(a), Overview of issues identified during project review, paragraphs 118 to 120, decision 73/35 above), except for the requests for preparation of stage II of the HPMP/investment activities for China, and the request for preparation of stage II of the HPMP for the Philippines, which had been removed from the list for blanket approval.

China: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (PU foam)

149. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for the World Bank for the project preparation for the HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) for the polyurethane foam sector for China at the level of funding of US \$400,000, plus agency support costs of US \$28,000.

**(Decision 73/50)**

China: Preparation for the HCFC production phase-out management plan (stage II)

150. The Executive Committee decided that the Sub-group on the Production Sector would consider whether there was a need for funding for preparation for stage II of the HPPMP.

**(Decision 73/51)**

Philippines: Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)

Philippines: Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (air conditioning sector)

151. One member queried the amount of US \$150,000 being requested for preparation of a sector plan in the air-conditioning sector, given that funds had already been approved under stage I of the HPMP for the same sector. The representative of the World Bank said that the funding allocated for preparation of the sector plan in stage I of the HPMP for the air-conditioning sector had been returned in full as a sector plan had not been developed, so there would be no duplication in stage II of work undertaken under stage I. Following discussions, it was agreed that the project preparation for the stage II of the HPMP for the refrigeration sector for the Philippines would cover only the room air-conditioning sub-sector.

152. The Executive Committee decided to approve the request for the World Bank component of project preparation for stage II of the HCFC phase-out plan and investment activities (stage II) for the Philippines as follows:

- (a) Overarching strategy at the level of funding of US \$90,000, plus agency support costs of US \$6,300; and
- (b) Air-conditioning sector at the level of funding of US \$150,000, plus agency support costs of US \$10,500.

**(Decision 73/52)**

**(d) UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2015**

153. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/30, which contained the proposed 2015 budget for UNEP's CAP.

154. Members praised the programme, congratulated UNEP for staying within the budget guidelines, and were generally supportive of the Secretariat's recommendations. One member congratulated UNEP on the recent filling of a vacant position in his region, but noted with concern that another position remained vacant on the eve of 2015, a milestone year for implementation.

155. After conveying to members her agency's appreciation for the advice and guidance given in relation to the implementation of the CAP, the representative of UNEP confirmed that UNEP was currently in the long process of filling one vacant post, which it hoped to complete by year-end, and

informed the Committee that the status of another position was uncertain owing to the leave of absence of a staff member. She also addressed another question from a member, saying that adjustments to amounts for south-south cooperation and other adjustments had been made on the basis of discussions with regional teams, while, on account of high meeting costs, staff travel had been reduced and the budget reallocated to network meetings.

156. One member raised the subject of the recommendations stemming from the audit of the UNEP OzonAction Branch by the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services. It was pointed out that four recommendations were relevant to the Executive Committee's work, three of which were termed as "open", meaning that implementation of the recommendations had yet to be completed.

157. During the discussion, members expressed appreciation for the excellent work of the OzonAction Branch and its mindfulness of costs. They stressed, however, the importance of the branch being structured in such a way that it was directly accountable to the Executive Committee, which approved funding and defined funding conditions and activities. Responding to members' concerns regarding the open status of some of the recommendations, the representative of UNEP informed members that, since the audit, UNEP had put in place a system of checks and balances by regional teams and the team in Paris to ensure that there was no duplication of CAP services.

158. The Committee agreed to pursue informal discussions on these matters with the representative of UNEP.

159. Following the informal discussions and consideration of proposed wording for a decision on the matter, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve UNEP's Compliance Assistance Programme (CAP) budget for 2015, contained in Annex XVI to the present report, in the amount of US \$9,459,000, plus agency support costs of 8 per cent, amounting to US \$756,720, on the understanding that, for the implementation of agreed activities, the expenditure of CAP funds and the responsibilities and placement of staff would remain as submitted, and that, were any changes to be proposed, UNEP would report them to the Executive Committee as soon as possible for its consideration and a decision thereon;
- (b) To request UNEP to report to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting actions taken to implement the recommendations 1, 3, 4, and 7 listed below, taken from the May 2014 report of the United Nations Office of Internal Oversight Services on the audit of the UNEP OzonAction Branch, in accordance with all relevant Executive Committee decisions relating to the operation of UNEP as an implementing agency of the Multilateral Fund:
  - (i) Recommendation 1: UNEP should review the existing organizational arrangements to ensure that they provide for a clear accountability and efficient and effective delivery of the OzonAction Branch programme of work in accordance with the decisions of the Executive Committee;
  - (ii) Recommendation 3: UNEP should put in place a mechanism to ensure that funds are used as approved by the Executive Committee, variances are disclosed in a timely basis, and unspent balances are returned to the Executive Committee in a timely manner;
  - (iii) Recommendation 4: UNEP should define a mechanism to ensure that projects are financially closed no more than 18 months after the date of operational completion, and that unutilized balances are returned to the Fund for the Implementation of the Montreal Protocol in a timely manner;

- (iv) Recommendation 7: UNEP should ensure that the OzonAction Branch does not enter into contractual agreements which duplicate the services of the Compliance Assistance Project;
- (c) To further request UNEP, in future submissions of the CAP budget, to continue:
  - (i) Providing detailed information on the activities for which the global funds would be used;
  - (ii) Extending the prioritization of funding between CAP budget lines so as to accommodate changing priorities, and to provide details, pursuant to decisions 47/24 and 50/26, on the reallocations made; and
  - (iii) Reporting on the current post levels of staff and informing the Executive Committee of any changes thereto, particularly with respect to any increased budget allocations.

**(Decision 73/53)**

**(e) 2015 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank**

160. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/31. In response to a question from a member, he explained that under the existing accounting framework, core unit funds were used for both administrative and project implementation purposes, and that the current reporting format might merit review to address this issue.

161. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The report on 2015 core unit costs for UNDP, UNIDO and the World Bank as presented in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/31;
  - (ii) With appreciation, that the World Bank's core unit operation was again below its budgeted level and that it would be returning unused balances; and
- (b) To approve the requested core unit budgets of US \$2,026,529 for UNDP, US \$2,026,529 for UNIDO and US \$1,725,000 for the World Bank.

**(Decision 73/54)**

**(f) Investment projects**

**ODS Disposal**

Lebanon: Pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal (UNIDO)

162. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/41.

163. In response to a request for clarification, she confirmed that the tonnages were being measured in metric tonnes, and not in kilogrammes as had inadvertently been indicated in a table in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/41.

164. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the submission of the Government of Lebanon of a pilot ODS waste management and disposal project;
- (b) To approve implementation of a pilot demonstration project for ODS waste management and disposal in Lebanon to destroy a total of 12.7 metric tonnes of ODS waste, in the amount of US \$123,475, plus agency support costs of US \$11,113 for UNIDO, on the understanding that:
  - (i) No further funds would be available for Lebanon for any ODS disposal projects;
  - (ii) Any marketing of greenhouse-gas (GHG) emission reductions that might be generated by or associated with the project would be subject to a decision by the Executive Committee;
- (c) To request the Government of Lebanon, through UNIDO, to establish a monitoring system for the operation of, and the activities associated with, the ODS waste management and disposal demonstration project; and
- (d) To request UNIDO to report thereon to the Executive Committee at the completion of the project in 2016, ensuring that no marketing of GHG emission reductions had taken place.

**(Decision 73/55)**

### **Methyl bromide production sector**

China: Sector plan for the phase out of methyl bromide production (phase IV) (UNIDO)

165. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/35.

166. Some members expressed reservations about the work plan including: the need for a survey of feedstock users; the use of funds for the consumption sector; the eligibility for registration of alternatives to MB; the new planned completion date of 31 December 2018; the high costs of the project completion report; and the amount of interest accrued from project balances.

167. Following informal consultations, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve the fourth (and final) tranche of the sector plan for the phase-out of methyl bromide (MB) production, and the corresponding 2014-2018 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$1,790,000, plus agency support costs of US \$134,000 for UNIDO, on the understanding that the Government of China would continue to use existing balances to undertake activities for the phase-out of MB production, and that all project activities would be completed no later than 31 December 2018; and
- (b) To request the Government of China and UNIDO to submit annual reports on the status of implementation of the sector plan for the phase-out of MB production, and the project completion report, to the Executive Committee no later than the first meeting in 2019.

**(Decision 73/56)**

## HPMP stage I

### Democratic People's Republic of Korea: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (UNIDO/UNEP)

168. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/37.

169. One member thanked the Secretariat, UNIDO and UNEP for preparing the revised stage I of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, which provided updated information about how the activities would be conducted in compliance with the resolutions of the United Nations Security Council. As a result of the communication between UNIDO and the Committee of the United Nations Security Council established pursuant to resolution 1718 (2006), the project had been revised to exclude any equipment that was potentially prohibited under the resolutions of the Security Council, and a monitoring mechanism had been established to ensure that all items would be utilized only for the purpose of the HPMP. She also said that the method of disbursement, organizational structure for implementing the project, and monitoring procedures were in line with decision 65/15 to ensure the transparency of the project implementation.

170. Having closely considered the project proposal, she was of the view that there would be no reason to defer the approval of the project to a later meeting. She also recalled that the processes and measures taken in the project were essential for the Multilateral Fund and implementing agencies to be accountable to the international community and to maintain the trust thereof. She proposed adding a paragraph to the decision to request UNIDO and UNEP to follow in future tranches the approach taken in this tranche.

171. It was pointed out that the HPMP planned to achieve a reduction of approximately 30 per cent from the baseline, including self-funded activities, and that there was no reason for the commitment to be lower, especially as no further growth in the use of HCFCs was permitted. A higher extended commitment would therefore be necessary before moving forward with the project, and members wondered whether the Democratic People's Republic of Korea could consider an additional commitment beyond 2018 for its 15 per cent reduction, and whether the funding of the tranches could be shifted so that at least 10 per cent of the funding would be kept for the final tranche.

172. One member pointed out that it was imperative that the HPMP complied with all relevant resolutions of the United Nations Security Council. The Secretariat, working together with UNIDO, had developed a staged approach that would ensure compliance with those resolutions. He agreed with the proposed approach and emphasized that UNIDO's report to the first meeting of the Executive Committee in 2015 would be important to ensure that the second tranche of funding complied with those resolutions. In relation to the methods of disbursement, organizational structures and the monitoring procedures, he noted the importance of the disbursement system being strictly applied by UNIDO, and that UNEP's activities would be implemented through the technical institute at the Malaysia Customs Academy, rather than through local disbursement. The Agreement with the Government allowed, to the extent necessary, unhindered access to the project sites by the implementing agencies. However, any equipment being brought into the country for the project, and originating in the United States of America, would likely require an export licence from the Bureau of Industry and Security of the United States Department of Commerce before it could be imported.

173. One member said that United Nations and domestic autonomous sanctions precluded Australia and Canada from doing business with a number of entities of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, including financial institutions; the Governments of Australia and Canada could therefore not allow any of their funding to be associated with this project.

174. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea for 2014 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline, in the amount of US \$979,272, consisting of US \$701,880, plus agency support costs of US \$49,132 for UNIDO, and US \$202,000, plus agency support costs of US \$26,260 for UNEP, on the understanding that approval was without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non-compliance;
- (b) To note that the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 78.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 61.8 ODP tonnes and 94.1 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
- (c) To note the commitment of the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, no later than 1 January 2018;
- (d) To request UNIDO:
  - (i) To report to the first meeting in 2015 on the results of the consultations with the Committee of the United Nations Security Council regarding equipment items considered unlikely to be prohibited by United Nations resolutions but for which additional information was to be collected by UNIDO to determine that with certainty;
  - (ii) To present, in the event that there were items not cleared by the Committee of the United Nations Security Council, an alternative action plan to address the associated HCFC consumption;
- (e) To deduct 20.03 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption;
- (f) To approve the Agreement between the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs contained in Annex XVII to the present report;
- (g) To approve the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and the corresponding implementation plan, in the amount of US \$181,514, consisting of US \$123,700, plus agency support costs of US \$8,659 for UNIDO, and US \$43,500, plus agency support costs of US \$5,655 for UNEP; and
- (h) To request UNIDO and UNEP to follow an approach similar to that taken for the first tranche of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in terms of compliance with the resolutions of the United Nations Security Council, the modality of disbursement, organizational structures and monitoring procedures when submitting and implementing future tranches of the HPMP.

**(Decision 73/57)**

## HPMP stage II

Mexico: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche)  
(UNIDO/Germany/Italy/UNEP)

175. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/43 and informed the meeting about a proposed reallocation of US \$176,991, plus agency support costs, from UNIDO to the Government of Italy.

176. Members expressed satisfaction with the alternative selected at Quimobasicos, as well as with the cost-effectiveness and the climate benefits of the proposal overall. Concern, however, was expressed about the accelerated reduction of HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline by the year 2022, although the good level of cost-effectiveness achieved by the project would allow this accelerated phase-out to be considered, and it was suggested that a different arrangement of the tranches should be discussed. It was also suggested that it would not be necessary to note in the recommendation that the approval of stage II of the HPMP did not preclude Mexico from submitting earlier than 2020 a proposal to achieve a reduction in HCFCs beyond that addressed in stage II of the HPMP. Regarding the conversion at Quimobasicos, it was also pointed out that, as no propellant was used in the product, the conversion should be considered as a solvent spray system conversion rather than an aerosol conversion.

177. After a discussion between several interested members, the Secretariat and UNIDO, the representative of the Secretariat reported that there was agreement to approve stage II of the HPMP including: a reduction of funding, in the amount of US \$57,453, in the solvent sector and the reallocation of US \$769,247 from the 2016 tranche to the 2018 tranche and US \$450,000 to the 2020 tranche; and an adjustment to the Agreement between the Government of Mexico and the Executive Committee.

178. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To approve, in principle, stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Mexico for the period 2014 to 2022 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline, in the amount of US \$11,932,208, consisting of: US \$9,899,581, plus agency support costs of US \$692,971 for UNIDO; US \$80,000, plus agency support costs of US \$10,400 for UNEP; US \$650,000, plus agency support costs of US \$81,500 for the Government of Germany; and US \$458,191, plus agency support costs of US \$59,565 for the Government of Italy;
- (b) To deduct an additional 533.6 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, including 28.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in exported pre-blended polyols;
- (c) To note the commitment of the Government of Mexico to issue a ban on import of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2022;
- (d) To note that the Government of Mexico has committed to reduce HCFC consumption by 35 per cent of the baseline in 2018, 50 per cent in 2020, and 67.5 per cent in 2022;
- (e) To approve the Agreement between the Government of Mexico and the Executive Committee for the reduction in consumption of HCFCs, in accordance with stage II of the HPMP contained in Annex XVIII to the present report; and

- (f) To approve the first tranche of stage II of the HPMP for Mexico, and the corresponding tranche implementation plans, in the amount of US \$3,456,227, consisting of US \$2,404,412, plus agency support costs of US \$168,309 for UNIDO; US \$325,000, plus agency support costs of US \$40,750 for the Government of Germany; and US \$458,191, plus agency support costs of US \$59,565 for the Government of Italy.

**(Decision 73/58)**

### **HPMP tranche request**

China: HCFC phase-out management plan stage I – fourth tranche  
(Japan/UNDP/UNEP/UNIDO/the World Bank)

179. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/35. On behalf of the Government of China, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and the World Bank, as the lead implementing agencies for the industrial and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning (ICR) (UNDP), refrigeration servicing (UNEP), extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam, room air-conditioner manufacturing (RAC) (UNIDO), and polyurethane (PU) foam (World Bank) sectors, had submitted requests for funding for the fourth tranche of stage I of the HPMP.

180. During the discussion, it was pointed out that: the reports for the ICR, RAC, XPS foam and PU foam sectors showed good progress in terms of implementation of the plans, and that interest amounts linked to the schedule for fund disbursement were being returned. It was also expected that any unused balances would be returned upon project completion. Certain questions remained, however, namely: the reason for requesting the approval of a work plan and budget for the fourth tranche of the refrigeration servicing sector component when the tranche could not be considered because the third tranche had not met the 20 per cent disbursement requirement for approval.

181. Providing clarification, the representative of UNEP explained that there had been delays in signing the amendment to the cooperation agreement with the Foreign Economic Cooperation Office of the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, but that it had been signed in October 2014, and US \$360,000 from the third tranche had been disbursed in that same month. She further explained that activities planned in the fourth tranche involved training 3,000 refrigeration technicians by the end of 2015 in order for China to meet the 10 per cent reduction target. This delay in disbursement had led the Government to begin certain activities ahead of receipt of the funds attached to tranche approvals.

182. In discussing the need to approve the work plan for the servicing sector for 2015 at the present meeting, some members noted that it might not be necessary, as China had the flexibility to reallocate funds within already approved tranches for a sector to enable activities to continue. In any event, further clarification and discussion was required to fully understand the request for the approval of the work plan for the servicing sector. In response, the representative of UNEP informed the Executive Committee that China would submit the tranche request, with the work plans for the servicing sector, to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

183. The Executive Committee agreed to pursue the matter through informal consultations.

184. Following the informal consultations, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the progress reports on the implementation of the following tranches of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China: the third tranches of the extruded polystyrene (XPS) foam sector plan, the polyurethane rigid (PU) foam sector plan, the industrial and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning (ICR) sector plan and the room air-conditioner manufacturing (RAC) sector plan;

- (b) To approve the fourth tranche of stage I of the XPS foam sector plan for China and the corresponding 2015 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$6,330,000, plus agency support costs of US \$443,100 for UNIDO, and to request the Treasurer to offset future transfers to UNIDO by US \$43,782, representing additional interest accrued by the Government of China in 2012 and interest accrued up to 31 December 2013 on funds previously transferred for the implementation of the XPS foam sector plan for China, in line with decision 69/24;
- (c) To approve the fourth tranche of stage I of the PU foam sector plan for China and the corresponding 2015 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$4,079,000, plus agency support costs of US \$285,530 for the World Bank, and to request the Treasurer to offset future transfers to the World Bank by US \$5,195, representing interest accrued by the Government of China up to 31 December 2013 on funds previously transferred for the implementation of the PU foam sector plan for China, as per decision 69/24;
- (d) To approve the fourth tranche of stage I of the ICR sector plan for China, and the corresponding 2015 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$11,075,000, plus agency support costs of US \$775,250 for UNDP, and to request the Treasurer to offset future transfers to UNDP by US \$87,093, representing interest accrued by the Government of China up to 31 December 2013 on funds previously transferred for the implementation of the ICR sector plan as per decision 69/24;
- (e) To approve the fourth tranche of stage I of the RAC sector plan for China, or the corresponding 2015 tranche implementation plans, in the amount of US \$9,625,000 plus agency support costs of US \$673,750 for UNIDO, and to request the Treasurer to offset future transfers to UNIDO by US \$67,293, representing additional interest accrued by the Government of China in 2012 and interest accrued up to 31 December 2013 on funds previously transferred for the implementation of the RAC sector plan for China, in line with decision 69/24.

**(Decision 73/59)**

Fiji: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (UNDP, UNEP)

185. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/38, drawing members' attention to the issue of high HCFC consumption in 2011 and 2012 caused by stockpiling and bulk sales of HCFCs to foreign-flag vessels. Fiji had adopted a new policy for reporting sales of HCFCs to foreign-flag vessels, which became effective on 1 January 2013, and entailed counting such sales as exports. The HCFC consumption for 2013 had been reported according to the new policy under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.

186. One member stated that informal consultations were under way to ensure better understanding of the accounting process, the calculation of consumption in the servicing sector, and the impact of the new policy on the baseline, freeze and reduction targets.

187. The representative of the Secretariat reported that, during the informal discussions with interested members of the Executive Committee, the country had been informed that its current way of reporting HCFC consumption data for 2013 was not consistent with the way the HCFC baseline had been calculated, namely it included amounts of HCFC used for bulk sales to ships as part of domestic consumption. Some members were concerned about ensuring consistency in reporting HCFC consumption and maintaining the integrity of the agreements approved during the approval of stage I of the HPMP, and the commitment made by Fiji at the time of this approval.

188. The representative of the Secretariat also reported that, in acknowledging this issue, Fiji had agreed to revise the calculation of its starting point for eligible HCFC consumption by deducting the amount of HCFC exported as bulk sales to ships, on the basis of the data below, and to officially communicate this change in consumption, along with the consequent change in its baseline for compliance, to the Ozone Secretariat as soon as possible.

<b>HCFC</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Baseline</b>
<b>Metric tonnes</b>			
HCFC-22	92.44	115.87	104.15
HCFC-142b	0.65	0.65	0.65
<b>Total (metric tonnes)</b>	<b>93.09</b>	<b>113.52</b>	<b>104.8</b>
<b>ODP tonnes</b>			
HCFC-22	5.08	6.37	5.72
HCFC-142b	0.04	0.04	0.04
<b>Total (ODP tonnes)</b>	<b>5.12</b>	<b>6.41</b>	<b>5.77</b>

189. It was also noted that with the change in the starting point and in line with decision 60/44(f)(xii), the overall amount for stage I of the HPMP would be adjusted to US \$348,370, consisting of US \$189,500, plus agency support costs of US \$17,055 for UNDP, and US \$125,500, plus agency support costs of US \$16,315 for UNEP. These changes required revision of the Agreement between the Government of Fiji and the Executive Committee approved at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting.

190. Following the discussion, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note:
  - (i) The progress report on the implementation of the first tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Fiji;
  - (ii) That the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 5.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using the revised consumption of 5.12 ODP tonnes and 6.41 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, which the Government of Fiji would submit to the Ozone Secretariat for a request to revise the baseline consumption for compliance under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol;
  - (iii) That, on the basis of the revised starting point, and in line with decision 60/44, the overall amount of funding for stage I of the HPMP would be adjusted to US \$348,370, consisting of US \$189,500 plus agency support costs of US \$17,055 for UNDP, and US \$125,500 plus agency support costs of US\$16,315 for UNEP;
  - (iv) That the 2013 consumption reported by the Government of Fiji under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol of 7.67 ODP tonnes exceeded the maximum allowable consumption of 5.77 ODP tonnes by 1.9 ODP tonnes, as set out in row 1.2 of the revised Agreement with the Executive Committee, as it deducted consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned vessels;
  - (v) That the Government of Fiji had an established licensing system to control the import and export of HCFCs, had committed to meeting the targets in its revised Agreement with the Executive Committee, had excluded the consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned vessels as exports in its annual report

under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol in 2013, and affirmed that its 2009 and 2010 consumption data would be revised to deduct the consumption for bulk sales to foreign-owned vessels, which had initially been reported as domestic consumption for these years;

- (vi) That the Fund Secretariat had revised paragraph 1, Appendices 1-A and 2-A of the Agreement between the Government of Fiji and the Executive Committee, on the basis of the revised HCFC starting point, and that this revised Agreement, as contained in Annex XIX to the present report, superseded that which had been reached at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting;
- (b) To encourage the Government of Fiji to return to compliance with the targets in the Agreement as soon as possible and no later than 2015; and
- (c) To approve, on an exceptional basis, the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Fiji, and the corresponding revised 2015-2016 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$66,883, consisting of US \$37,900, plus agency support costs of US \$3,411 for UNDP, and US \$24,400, plus agency support costs of US \$3,172 for UNEP, on the understanding that approval of further funding would be subject to issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report being satisfactorily addressed.

**(Decision 73/60)**

Mozambique: HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (UNEP/UNIDO)

191. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/44.
192. The Executive Committee decided:
- (a) To note the progress report on implementation of the first tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for Mozambique contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/44;
  - (b) To note that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption would be 8.7 ODP tonnes, calculated using revised consumption data of 8.68 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 8.7 ODP tonnes reported for 2010, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, and that the revised level of funding for stage I of the HPMP would be US \$332,500, in line with decision 60/44(f)(xii), if the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved the change in the HCFC consumption for 2009 at their Twenty-sixth Meeting;
  - (c) To approve the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Mozambique, and the corresponding 2015-2016 tranche implementation plan, in the amount of US \$35,000, plus agency support costs of US \$4,550 for UNEP, on the condition that the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved at their Twenty-sixth Meeting the change of the HCFC consumption for 2009 as specified in sub-paragraph (b) above, and that the Treasurer would not transfer the funding approved to UNEP until that had happened;
  - (d) To request the Secretariat to update the Agreement between the Government of Mozambique and the Executive Committee, based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and submit it together with the funding request for the third tranche of the HPMP, if the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved the change in the HCFC consumption for 2009 at their Twenty-sixth Meeting;

- (e) To request UNEP, in the event that the Parties to the Montreal Protocol did not approve the change of the HCFC consumption for 2009, to resubmit the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Mozambique to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting; and
- (f) To note that the approval of the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Mozambique was on the understanding that Mozambique assumed all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing.

**(Decision 73/61)**

**AGENDA ITEM 9: REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE COST REGIME FOR THE 2015-2017 TRIENNIUM (decision 71/45)**

193. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/51.

194. During the discussion, a number of issues were raised, including: the efforts made by the Secretariat and implementing agencies to improve administrative accounting and reporting systems; the diversity of accounting regimes across implementing agencies, making it difficult to apply a uniform regime to all; the fact that the current administrative cost regime had been adopted following intense discussion, and had been in effect for too short a period to be able to assess properly whether it was meeting its objectives of providing adequate support to implementing agencies while keeping costs within or below the historical average; the connection between administrative costs and performance indicators; the need for implementing agencies to have a predictable regime for administrative costs for planning purposes; the need to keep a balance between new policies and changes in the administrative cost regime on the one hand, and the time and resources required to do the core business of the Multilateral Fund on the other; the desire to continue applying the current administrative cost regime throughout the 2015-2017 triennium; the need for terms of reference for a review following the application of the administrative cost regime over the 2015-2017 triennium, in time to apply any changes that might arise from the review to the following triennium; and the need to have those terms of reference considered by the Executive Committee before the review was approved.

195. In response to some requests for clarification, the representative of the Secretariat explained that the annual review of core unit costs for the implementing agencies had shown what appeared to be a cost overrun for two of the agencies. The agencies had said that it was an accounting problem rather than actual over-expenditure, leading to an examination of the format for reporting core unit and administrative costs. Following the examination it appeared that the over-commitment of the core unit part of overall administration costs might be the result of an inaccuracy in the reporting format.

196. On the issue of the terms of reference for the review of the administrative cost regime, it was noted that they should be provided far enough in advance of the review to enable a choice to be made between an internal or external review. The terms of reference would reflect, among other things, the possible changes to the format for reporting core unit and administrative costs.

197. Following the discussion and clarification, it was agreed that further informal consultations were required.

198. Following the informal consultations, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the review of the administrative cost regime for the 2015-2017 triennium (decision 71/45) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/51;

- (b) To apply the existing administrative cost regime for the bilateral and implementing agencies during the 2015–2017 triennium;
- (c) To request a review of the administrative cost regime and its core unit funding budget at the first meeting of 2017; and
- (d) To request that the terms of reference for the review mentioned in paragraph (c) above be submitted to the Executive Committee for its consideration at its last meeting of 2015.

**(Decision 73/62)**

**AGENDA ITEM 10: DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS FOR THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR CHINA (decision 72/38)**

199. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/52.

200. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report on disbursement of funds for the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) for China (decision 72/38) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/52;
- (b) To request implementing agencies:
  - (i) To continue monitoring the disbursement of the approved funds during stage I of the HPMP for China; and
  - (ii) To include, when submitting stage II of the HPMP for China to the Executive Committee for consideration, the respective disbursement modality agreed on with the Government of China, along with the specific milestones that would allow for the disbursement of funds to the Government of China closer to the time when they were needed.

**(Decision 73/63)**

**AGENDA ITEM 11: DRAFT CRITERIA FOR FUNDING HCFC PHASE-OUT IN THE CONSUMPTION SECTOR FOR STAGE II OF HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLANS (decision 72/39)**

201. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/53.

202. Following the presentation of the document, members commended the Secretariat on its complete compilation of views and thorough analysis of issues. They went on to propose that a contact group be convened to discuss the draft criteria further, requesting additional material to aid them in their discussions. One member requested a breakdown of the additional information provided by members that clearly showed each member's point of view on a given topic. Another member said that it would be useful to have a table showing the various cost-effectiveness figures mentioned so far.

203. During the discussion, several issues were raised, including the low level of funding for stage II of HPMPs; the need to have relevant criteria for stage II of HPMPs, as the situation had evolved and was different from the situation that had existed when the criteria for stage I were adopted; the need to address conversion in small and medium-sized enterprises; the accessibility of alternative technologies; and the

task of seeking to benefit both the ozone layer and the climate simultaneously, pursuant to decision XIX/6.

204. One member went on to say that it was important to note that the existing criteria for funding stage I of HPMPs had resulted in very cost-effective projects, and had made it possible to fund 24 per cent of the compliance baseline for HCFC consumption. Furthermore, experience had shown that costs had decreased over time, with alternatives becoming less expensive as they became more ubiquitous, and HCFCs becoming more expensive as they were phased out. This meant that incremental costs could be expected to go down overall. He also stressed that the Executive Committee had clearly stated that, in the event that the criteria for funding stage II of HPMPs were not adopted, the criteria for funding stage I of HPMPs would continue to be applied to assist countries in meeting their obligations under the Montreal Protocol. Other members expressed the opinion that the criteria for funding stage I only required minimal updates to reflect developments since their adoption and provide greater clarity.

205. To facilitate further discussions, the representative of the Secretariat said that the Secretariat would provide the Committee with a compilation, by topic, of the information provided by members in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/53 and a summary of the cost-effectiveness for the various sectors.

206. The meeting then agreed to convene a contact group to discuss the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs.

207. Reporting on the discussions in the contact group, the facilitator explained that although there had been robust discussion and many proposals put forward, there had not been sufficient agreement to be able to adopt the criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs at the present meeting. More discussion on the matter would therefore be required at the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

208. Some of the issues raised in the contact group discussions included, but were not limited to: funding; the efforts required from small and medium-sized enterprises; the necessary amount for funding incremental operating costs; the view that all or most of the criteria for stage I were sufficient for stage II, as they had been the result of long negotiations and compromise; the fact that the cost-effectiveness of projects approved to date were at or below the cost-effectiveness thresholds; the cut-off date for eligibility; the date of last conversion; the level of increase in funding required to facilitate the introduction of low-GWP alternatives; and the level of funding required for the refrigeration servicing sector in low-volume-consuming countries, with a number of participants saying that more funding was required.

209. Following the presentation of the facilitator of the contact group, one member stated that it was unfortunate that the guidelines had not been finalized at the present meeting. He explained that many Article 5 countries were awaiting the new guidelines in order to be able to propose projects consistent with those guidelines as quickly as possible. This was particularly important for countries with a large number of small and medium-sized enterprises in the sectors involved. He also said that a great deal of information had been exchanged, and that the document to be used for discussion at the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting should reflect the information provided at the 73<sup>th</sup> meeting.

210. In light of the above, the Executive Committee decided to continue its consideration of the draft criteria for funding HCFC phase-out in the consumption sector for stage II of HPMPs at its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 73/64)**

**AGENDA ITEM 12: REPORT ON THE MULTILATERAL FUND CLIMATE IMPACT INDICATOR (decision 69/23)**

211. The representative of the Secretariat introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/54 and Add.1, which contained the report on the finalization of the Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII) and the results of the three independent technical reviews of the MCII that had been requested pursuant to decision 69/23(c).

212. One member expressed concern regarding some of the comments from the independent reviews and questioned whether the tool was ready to be used. Several members, however, said that the independent reviews had shown the utility of the MCII and that it was adequate for the purpose for which it had been developed. It was also explained that, while some errors in the formulas had been identified by the technical experts those errors had been in the manual on the MCII only and not in the MCII tool itself.

213. It was suggested that it would be important to continue to align the MCII with the work being done by other bodies dealing with climate change issues and that the Secretariat should consult with them. Other members said that it would be useful to continue to apply the MCII, especially as the rationale for the use of MCII was not the determination of project funding, but simply the provision, during project review, of information on the climate impact of the use of different technologies.

214. Following informal consultations, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report on the fully developed Multilateral Fund Climate Impact Indicator (MCII) (decision 69/23) contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/54 and Add.1;
- (b) To request the Secretariat:
  - (i) To take into consideration, in finalizing the MCII, the recommendations provided by the technical experts who had undertaken independent reviews of the MCII in line with decision 69/23(c);
  - (ii) To take into account, as appropriate, the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in the refinement of the MCII tool;
  - (iii) To share the MCII tool with the IPCC and to invite feedback on the tool from the World Bank in the context of its work with other Multilateral Development Banks to harmonize greenhouse gas accounting across their investment portfolios, and its work on energy subsidy reform;
  - (iv) To report to the Executive Committee, no later than the 75<sup>th</sup> meeting, on the outcome of the above undertakings; and
- (c) To note that the Secretariat would calculate the impact on the climate of investment projects in the refrigeration and air-conditioning manufacturing sectors, applying the revised MCII model resulting from the work referred to in sub-paragraph (b) above, and that, for investment projects in all other manufacturing sectors, it would apply the methodologies described in paragraph 14 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/54.

**(Decision 73/65)**

**AGENDA ITEM 13: ACCOUNTS OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND**

**(a) Final 2013 accounts**

215. The Treasurer introduced documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/55 and Corr.1.

216. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note:

(i) The final financial statements of the Multilateral Fund as at 31 December 2013 contained in documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/55 and Corr.1;

(ii) That UNEP had received the report of the United Nations Board of Auditors for the biennium ending 31 December 2013 and that there were no major issues of relevance to the Multilateral Fund;

(iii) That the report of the Treasurer (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/57), produced pursuant to decision 72/42(b)(ii) and (iii), contained the information requested on the issue of funds transfers from the Treasurer to the implementing agencies and on the UNEP-wide standard on cash advances and the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for tranches of HCFC phase-out management plans, and clearly showed the relationship between the two issues, and also contained further information on the criteria used for the placement of funds to ensure risk reduction and the maximization of interest accrual; and

(b) To request the Treasurer to record in the 2014 accounts of the Multilateral Fund the differences between the implementing agencies' provisional 2013 financial accounts and final 2013 accounts as reflected in Tables 1 and 2 of documents UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/55 and Corr.1.

**(Decision 73/66)**

**(b) Reconciliation of the 2013 accounts**

217. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/56. She explained that, since the document had been issued, UNDP had advised the Secretariat that, in order to correctly reflect the record of interest returned by the Government of China, paragraph 5(a) of the document should be corrected to indicate interest of US \$72,916 (instead of US \$72,771) returned by China and that the remaining difference of US \$145 related to support costs understated in the Nigeria HPMP project preparation (NIR/FOA/57/PRP/123). That error would be corrected by UNDP in its progress report. The additional difference of US \$1 was due to rounding.

218. The Executive Committee decided:

(a) To note the reconciliation of the 2013 accounts contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/56;

(b) To request UNDP to adjust, in its progress report, the previously understated support costs under the Nigeria HCFC phase-out management plan project preparation (NIR/FOA/57/PRP/123) by US \$144;

- (c) To request the Treasurer:
  - (i) To withhold from its future transfers to UNDP an amount of US \$205,719 representing the additional interest income reported by UNDP in its provisional financial statement, but not in its final financial statement, and to reflect that amount as a prior year adjustment in UNDP's 2014 accounts;
  - (ii) To record the decrease in expenditure, in the amount of US \$157,470, between UNDP's provisional and final financial statements in 2014;
  - (iii) To withhold from its future transfers to UNIDO an amount of US \$17,064 representing an additional interest income reported by UNIDO in its final statements, but not in its provisional statements, and to reflect that amount as a prior year adjustment in UNIDO's 2014 accounts;
- (d) To note the 2013 outstanding reconciling items as follows:
  - (i) US \$322,396 in income and US \$1,578 in expenditure between UNEP's progress report and final accounts;
  - (ii) US \$16 in income and US \$57 in expenditure between UNIDO's progress report and final accounts;
  - (iii) US \$8 in income between the World Bank's progress report and final accounts;
- (e) To note the standing reconciling items as follows:
  - (i) UNDP, for unspecified projects, in the amounts of US \$68,300 and US \$29,054; and
  - (ii) The World Bank, for the following projects implemented with other bilateral agencies where applicable:
    - a. The refund by Government of the Philippines of US \$28,434, representing an undocumented balance to the ODS project special account, which was a new item;
    - b. Thailand chiller project (THA/REF/26/INV/104) in the amount of US \$1,198,946;
    - c. Japan bilateral (THA/PHA/68/TAS/158) in the amount of US \$342,350, which was a new item;
    - d. Sweden bilateral (THA/HAL/29/TAS/120) in the amount of US \$225,985;
    - e. United States of America bilateral (CPR/PRO/44/INV/425) in the amount of US \$5,375,000; and
    - f. United States of America bilateral (CPR/PRO/47/INV/439) in the amount of US \$5,375,000.

**(Decision 73/67)**

**(c) Fund transfers from the Treasurer to the implementing agencies (decision 72/42(b)(ii) and (iii))**

219. Mr. Christophe Bouvier, Director, Office for Operations and Corporate Services, UNEP, introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/57. He said that, under the Multilateral Fund, a system had historically been employed whereby funds had been transferred to the implementing agencies in full. A system of partial transfer of funds would have certain advantages and disadvantages, although the study conducted indicated that the current system had functioned well and that a cautious approach should be taken to adopting any new measures.

220. In the ensuing discussion, members who spoke said that they had found the study to be useful and informative. There was consensus that the current methodology for funds transfer had functioned well in the past, and that there was no apparent reason to change it. One member encouraged the Fund to continue to manage its resources prudently. Another member said that the implementing agencies had effective monitoring procedures that gave the Executive Committee confidence that funds were being managed in a proper manner, adding that the historical data on the accrual of interest had been useful.

221. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the report on fund transfers from the Treasurer to the implementing agencies (decision 72/42(b)(ii) and (iii)) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/57;
- (b) To maintain the current system for the management and transfer of funds under the Multilateral Fund from the Treasurer to implementing agencies.

**(Decision 73/68)**

**AGENDA ITEM 14: APPROVED 2014, 2015 AND 2016 AND PROPOSED 2017 BUDGETS OF THE FUND SECRETARIAT**

222. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/58.

223. In response to one member's query, the representative of the Secretariat clarified that the budget made provision for two meetings per year, in Montreal. In the case of back-to-back meetings, any cost differential was covered by the host country, if the venue was not a United Nations duty station, or, to the extent possible, by the budget of the Fund Secretariat, if the venue was a United Nations duty station.

224. She also clarified that Table 4 of the document provided cost estimates for a short meeting in Montreal. Should the need arise to hold a meeting between two scheduled meetings, the Secretariat would revise its budget accordingly and present it to the Committee for its approval.

225. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the approved 2014, 2015, 2016 and proposed 2017 budgets of the Fund Secretariat contained in Annex I of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/58;
- (b) To authorize the Secretariat to reallocate the sum of US \$118,750 from the approved 2014 budget under budget lines 1200, 1600 and 3301 to budget lines 1333, 1334 and 3302, as indicated in Table 2 of document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/58, and as contained in Annex XX to the present report, in order to accommodate the additional cost of having the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee in Paris, noting that the proposed transfer among budget lines was above the 20 per cent limit; and

- (c) To approve the proposed staff and operational costs of the 2017 budget, as contained in Annex XX to the present report, totalling US \$7,190,229 based on a scenario of two meetings per year.

**(Decision 73/69)**

**AGENDA ITEM 15: REVIEW OF THE OPERATION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
(decision 70/23(d))**

226. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/59.

227. In the ensuing discussion, one member said that any decision regarding the number of meetings being held per year depended on an understanding of the functions and purpose of the Executive Committee, and the roles and responsibilities of the Secretariat, the members of the Committee, the implementing agencies and the beneficiaries. He therefore requested that the Secretariat carry out an analysis of those matters, to be presented in a report to the Executive Committee at its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting. Subsequently, another member requested that any such document should be prepared in consultation with the implementing agencies. Another member said that the roles and responsibilities of the main actors were defined in the terms of reference of the Fund and the Executive Committee, but it could be advantageous to review those roles with a view to streamlining the work of the Committee.

228. On the number of meetings to be held during 2015, several members favoured two meetings, in line with the pattern followed for 2014. One member said that a key question was whether holding only two meetings would allow the Committee to achieve its institutional goals; the evidence from 2014 indicated that the answer to that question was positive. One member requested three meetings in 2015, so that the Committee was best positioned to help Article 5 Parties achieve their 2015 reduction targets for HCFCs.

229. Members drew attention to other factors that needed to be taken into account when deciding on the frequency of meetings, including the impact on reporting requirements for the implementing agencies; the procedure for agreeing on the composition of the Sub-group on the Production Sector; the scope and feasibility of any possible intersessional work or meetings; and the relative advantages and disadvantages of holding back-to-back meetings with other meetings under the Montreal Protocol.

230. Several members said that any decision regarding the number of meetings to be held in 2015 need not set a precedent for the number of meetings to be held in future years, and flexibility could be retained in that regard, taking into account logistical factors and the projected workload faced. Some members said that a review of any arrangement should take place in 2016 rather than in 2017.

231. The Committee agreed to convene a contact group to discuss the matter further.

232. Following the report back by the facilitator of the contact group, the Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the review of the operation of the Executive Committee prepared pursuant to decision 70/23(d) contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/59;
- (b) To agree to continue convening two meetings of the Executive Committee from 2015 onwards with the possibility of holding an additional brief meeting if required between those meetings to consider project proposals;

- (c) To note:
  - (i) That the three-year business plan of the Multilateral Fund would be submitted to the last meeting of the year;
  - (ii) That a document entitled “Country programme data and prospects for compliance” would be submitted to the first and last meetings of the year;
  - (iii) With regard to progress and financial reports:
    - a. That bilateral and implementing agencies would be requested to submit their annual progress and financial reports to the Secretariat 12 weeks in advance of the last meeting of the year;
    - b. That the consolidated progress report and the relevant progress reports of the bilateral and implementing agencies would be considered at the last meeting of the year;
- (d) To note that, in the absence of an agreement by the Executive Committee on the composition of the Sub-group on the Production Sector, the documents for the first meeting of the Sub-group in a given year would be conveyed only to the heads of Executive Committee delegations, with the consent of the country or countries concerned;
- (e) To invite bilateral and implementing agencies to submit projects proposals and reports in advance of the prescribed deadlines wherever possible, in order to facilitate their timely review by the Secretariat;
- (f) To request the Secretariat to continue reviewing the standard agenda items of the Executive Committee meetings with a view to streamlining and improving the efficiency of the operation of the Executive Committee;
- (g) To request the Secretariat to prepare a document on the main procedures of the operation of the Executive Committee, including the roles of the Secretariat and the implementing agencies regarding their provision of advice to the Executive Committee and, where applicable, to beneficiary countries, in order to provide a basis for discussion of the roles and responsibilities of the Executive Committee, the Secretariat and implementing agencies of the Multilateral Fund, for presentation to the Executive Committee at its last meeting in 2015, with a view to improving understanding and initiating changes where necessary; and
- (h) To review the scenario of two Executive Committee meetings per year at the first meeting of the Committee in 2016.

**(Decision 73/70)**

**AGENDA ITEM 16: DRAFT REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO THE TWENTY-SIXTH MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL**

233. The Chief Officer introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/60, which contained the draft report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties. He said that it contained the decisions taken by the Executive Committee at its 71<sup>st</sup> and 72<sup>nd</sup> meetings and that it would need to be updated to reflect the decisions adopted at the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting.

234. After considering the draft report, the Executive Committee decided to authorize the Secretariat to finalize the report of the Executive Committee to the Twenty-sixth Meeting of the Parties in the light of the discussions held and decisions taken at the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 73/71)**

#### **AGENDA ITEM 17: REPORT OF THE SUB-GROUP ON THE PRODUCTION SECTOR**

235. The representative of Australia, as facilitator, presented the report of the Sub-group on the Production Sector contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/61, stating that the Sub-group had met twice on the margins of the meeting and had addressed most of the items on its agenda. It had not had time to discuss the draft HCFC production sector guidelines, which would be considered at its next meeting. She thanked the members of the Sub-group, the implementing agencies and the Secretariat for all their hard work during the course of the meeting. The report contained recommendations from the Sub-group for consideration by the Executive Committee.

##### Remaining information from the report on 2013 and 2014 annual implementation programmes

236. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note with appreciation the submission by the World Bank, on behalf of the Government of China, of the remaining information requested by the Secretariat in relation to the 2013 progress report for the HCFC production phase-out management plan activities funded by the Multilateral Fund;
- (b) Also to note that no new HCFC production plants or production lines had been established for ODS or feedstock between 2010 and the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee; and
- (c) To request the Government of China to notify the Executive Committee if any additional HCFC production lines were established in China beyond those covered in its Agreement with the Executive Committee.

**(Decision 73/72)**

##### 2013 verification report of the HCFC production

237. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the verification report of the HCFC production and consumption for China, which indicated that China had remained within its maximum allowable production and consumption targets for 2013;
- (b) To encourage the Government of China to request HCFC producers in China in future verifications:
  - (i) To provide export-related documents for each shipment claimed as an export;
  - (ii) To specify the use of HCFC sales in sales contracts; and

- (c) To allow submission of the funding tranche of the 2015 annual implementation programme of stage I of the HCFC production phase-out management plan for China to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

**(Decision 73/73)**

Format for submission of annual implementation plans and progress reports for an HPPMP

238. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To note the draft format for progress reports and annual implementation plans regarding HCFC production phase-out management plans (HPPMP) contained in Annex XXI to the present document;
- (b) To further note that the World Bank would use the draft format on an interim basis when preparing 2015 tranche of funding related to the HPPMP for China to be submitted to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting; and
- (c) To request the Secretariat to present an updated format for progress reports and annual implementation plans for the HPPMP for consideration at the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting, ensuring that it took into consideration the lessons learned by the World Bank when using it on an interim basis.

**(Decision 73/74)**

**AGENDA ITEM 18: OTHER MATTERS**

Dates and venues of meetings of the Executive Committee in 2015

239. The representative of the Secretariat introduced document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/Inf.2 containing various options for the dates of the 74<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> meetings, and orally proposed several alternative dates.

240. The Executive Committee decided:

- (a) To hold its 74<sup>th</sup> meeting in Montreal from 18 to 22 May 2015; and
- (b) To hold its 75<sup>th</sup> meeting back to back with the Twenty-seventh Meeting of the Parties at a date and venue to be decided.

**(Decision 73/75)**

**AGENDA ITEM 19: ADOPTION OF THE REPORT**

241. The Executive Committee adopted its report on the basis of the draft report contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/L.1.

**AGENDA ITEM 20: CLOSURE OF THE MEETING**

242. Following the customary exchange of courtesies, the Chair declared the meeting closed at 4.30 p.m. on Thursday, 13 November 2014.

-----



TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 1 : STATUS OF THE FUND FROM 1991-2014 (IN US DOLLARS)**  
As at 8 November 2014

<b>INCOME</b>		
Contributions received:		
- Cash payments including note encashments		2,847,595,452
- Promissory notes held		32,351,684
- Bilateral cooperation		151,349,219
- Interest earned *		211,657,849
- Additional income from loans and other sources		-
- Miscellaneous income		19,203,774
<b>Total Income</b>		<b>3,262,157,979</b>
<b>ALLOCATIONS** AND PROVISIONS</b>		
- UNDP	751,180,665	
- UNEP	249,094,929	
- UNIDO	770,528,368	
- World Bank	1,154,743,246	
Unspecified projects	-	
Less Adjustments	-	
<b>Total allocations to implementing agencies</b>		<b>2,925,547,208</b>
Secretariat and Executive Committee costs (1991-2016)		
- includes provision for staff contracts into 2016		107,815,651
Treasury fees (2003-2016)		6,556,982
Monitoring and Evaluation costs (1999-2014)		3,212,811
Technical Audit costs (1998-2010)		1,699,806
Information Strategy costs (2003-2004)		
- includes provision for Network maintenance costs for 2004		104,750
Bilateral cooperation		151,349,219
Provision for fixed-exchange-rate mechanism's fluctuations		
- losses/(gains) in value		(19,335,876)
<b>Total allocations and provisions</b>		<b>3,176,950,552</b>
<b>Cash</b>		<b>52,855,744</b>
<b>Promissory Notes:</b>		
<b>2014</b>	0	
<b>2015</b>	10,559,041	
<b>2016</b>	6,013,020	
<b>Unscheduled</b>	15,779,623	
		<b>32,351,683</b>
<b>BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS</b>		<b>85,207,427</b>

\* Includes interest amount earned of US\$94,384 by FECO/MEP

\*\* Amounts reflect net approvals for which resources are transferred including promissory notes that are not yet encashed by the Implementing Agencies. The Secretariat budget reflects actual costs as per the final 2012 accounts of the Fund and approved amounts for 2013 - 2016

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 2 : 1991 - 2014 SUMMARY STATUS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER INCOME**  
BALANCE AVAILABLE FOR NEW ALLOCATIONS

As at 8 November 2014

Description	1991-1993	1994-1996	1997-1999	2000-2002	2003-2005	2006-2008	2009-2011	1991 - 2011	2012	2013	2014	1991 - 2014
Pledged contributions	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	399,640,706	2,814,006,785	131,538,756	132,364,323	133,498,062	3,211,407,927
Cash payments/received	206,511,034	381,555,255	418,686,446	408,090,922	417,916,989	340,086,613	378,641,338	2,551,488,597	124,389,750	104,415,652	67,301,453	2,847,595,452
Bilateral assistance	4,366,255	11,909,814	21,358,066	21,302,696	47,750,281	19,019,123	13,906,972	139,613,207	5,418,848	2,857,131	3,460,033	151,349,219
Promissory notes	0	0	0	0	0	(0)	(1)	(1)	4,546,021	19,416,439	8,389,225	32,351,684
Total payments	210,877,289	393,465,069	440,044,512	429,393,618	465,667,270	359,105,735	392,548,309	2,691,101,803	134,354,619	126,689,223	79,150,711	3,031,296,356
Disputed contributions	0	8,098,267	0	0	0	32,471,642	405,792	40,975,701	1,794,577	969,010	0	43,739,288
Outstanding pledges	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,522,497	10,606,383	8,332,730	8,922,745	7,092,397	122,904,981	(2,815,863)	5,675,101	54,347,352	180,111,571
Payments %age to pledges	89.76%	92.61%	93.12%	97.59%	98.24%	97.58%	98.23%	95.63%	102.14%	95.71%	59.29%	94.39%
Interest earned	5,323,644	28,525,733	44,685,516	53,946,601	19,374,449	43,537,814	10,544,631	205,938,388	2,347,348	2,369,302	1,002,811	211,657,849
Miscellaneous income	1,442,103	1,297,366	1,223,598	1,125,282	1,386,177	3,377,184	3,547,653	13,399,363	1,534,909	1,540,797	2,728,704	19,203,774
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>217,643,036</b>	<b>423,288,168</b>	<b>485,953,626</b>	<b>484,465,502</b>	<b>486,427,896</b>	<b>406,020,733</b>	<b>406,640,593</b>	<b>2,910,439,555</b>	<b>138,236,876</b>	<b>130,599,321</b>	<b>82,882,226</b>	<b>3,262,157,978</b>
<b>Accumulated figures</b>	<b>1991-1993</b>	<b>1994-1996</b>	<b>1997-1999</b>	<b>2000-2002</b>	<b>2003-2005</b>	<b>2006-2008</b>	<b>2009-2011</b>	<b>1991 - 2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>1991 - 2014</b>
Total pledges	234,929,241	424,841,347	472,567,009	440,000,001	474,000,000	368,028,480	399,640,706	2,814,006,785	131,538,756	132,364,323	133,498,062	3,211,407,927
Total payments	210,877,289	393,465,069	440,044,512	429,393,618	465,667,270	359,105,735	392,548,309	2,691,101,803	134,354,619	126,689,223	79,150,711	3,031,296,356
Payments %age to pledges	89.76%	92.61%	93.12%	97.59%	98.24%	97.58%	98.23%	95.63%	102.14%	95.71%	59.29%	94.39%
Total income	217,643,036	423,288,168	485,953,626	484,465,502	486,427,896	406,020,733	406,640,593	2,910,439,555	138,236,876	130,599,321	82,882,226	3,262,157,978
Total outstanding contributions	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,522,497	10,606,383	8,332,730	8,922,745	7,092,397	122,904,981	(2,815,863)	5,675,101	54,347,352	180,111,571
As % to total pledges	10.24%	7.39%	6.88%	2.41%	1.76%	2.42%	1.77%	4.37%	-2.14%	4.29%	40.71%	5.61%
Outstanding contributions for certain Countries with Economies in Transition (CEITs)	24,051,952	31,376,278	32,522,496	9,701,251	7,414,995	5,909,852	6,478,467	117,455,291	3,595,767	870,876	3,770,963	125,692,898
CEITs' outstandings %age to pledges	10.24%	7.39%	6.88%	2.20%	1.56%	1.61%	1.62%	4.17%	2.73%	0.66%	2.82%	3.91%

PS: CEITs are Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan, including Turkmenistan up to 2004 as per decision XVI/39.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 3 : 1991-2014 Summary Status of Contributions

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions	Exchange (Gain)/Loss. NB: Negative amount = Gain
Andorra	70,483	70,483	0	0	0	0
Australia*	63,749,654	62,138,746	1,610,907	0	0	807,301
Austria	34,163,361	34,031,571	131,790	0	0	-709,853
Azerbaijan	970,377	311,683	0	0	658,694	0
Belarus	2,971,965	0	0	0	2,971,965	0
Belgium	42,439,280	42,439,281	0	0	0	1,068,299
Bulgaria	1,443,856	1,443,856	0	0	0	0
Canada*	115,676,028	104,819,191	9,755,736	0	1,101,100	-3,360,255
Croatia	164,729	164,729	0	0	0	0
Cyprus	792,574	792,574	0	0	0	9,598
Czech Republic	9,844,199	9,556,629	287,570	0	0	346,720
Denmark	28,122,109	27,961,056	161,053	0	0	-711,885
Estonia	474,974	474,974	0	0	0	21,013
Finland	22,032,632	21,633,474	399,158	0	0	-578,624
France	246,408,246	210,326,568	16,002,081	10,324,398	9,755,199	-14,325,076
Germany	354,275,565	277,454,962	57,735,605	19,093,286	-8,288	524,673
Greece	19,003,599	15,477,570	0	0	3,526,029	-1,340,447
Holy See	5,103	0	0	0	5,103	0
Hungary	6,794,499	5,146,324	46,494	0	1,601,681	-76,259
Iceland	1,321,869	1,250,430	0	0	71,439	51,218
Ireland	11,950,342	11,950,342	0	0	0	609,075
Israel	13,527,314	3,824,671	152,462	0	9,550,181	0
Italy	194,067,273	164,968,391	15,425,966	0	13,672,916	3,291,976
Japan	621,037,357	601,523,749	19,609,662	0	-96,053	0
Kuwait	286,549	286,549	0	0	0	0
Latvia	673,876	673,875	0	0	0	-2,483
Liechtenstein	319,765	319,765	0	0	0	0
Lithuania	1,070,373	577,406	0	0	492,967	0
Luxembourg	2,946,224	2,946,224	0	0	0	-79,210
Malta	267,535	180,788	0	0	86,747	0
Monaco	202,982	202,982	0	0	0	-572
Netherlands	66,498,425	66,498,424	0	0	0	0
New Zealand	9,506,670	9,506,669	0	0	0	145,330
Norway	25,992,818	25,992,817	0	0	0	443,488
Panama	16,915	16,915	0	0	0	0
Poland	14,183,118	14,070,117	113,000	0	0	0
Portugal	15,528,214	11,191,959	101,700	0	4,234,556	198,162
Romania	1,343,255	1,042,190	0	0	301,065	0
Russian Federation	113,248,400	2,724,891	0	0	110,523,509	0
San Marino	27,042	27,042	0	0	0	0
Singapore	531,221	459,245	71,976	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	3,141,147	3,124,624	16,523	0	0	36,140
Slovenia	1,930,988	1,755,792	0	0	175,196	0
South Africa	3,793,691	3,763,691	30,000	0	0	0
Spain	100,455,966	90,974,346	4,077,763	0	5,403,857	631,930
Sweden	43,083,420	41,509,067	1,574,353	0	0	-439,483
Switzerland	46,905,883	44,992,653	1,913,230	0	0	-2,171,952
Tajikistan	116,710	46,216	0	0	70,494	0
Turkmenistan**	293,245	5,764	0	0	287,481	0
Ukraine	9,661,632	1,303,750	0	0	8,357,882	0
United Arab Emirates	559,639	559,639	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom	223,794,653	223,229,653	565,000	0	0	-3,724,701
United States of America	732,978,549	701,662,538	21,567,191	2,934,000	6,814,820	0
Uzbekistan	741,632	188,606	0	0	553,026	0
SUB-TOTAL	3,211,407,927	2,847,595,452	151,349,219	32,351,684	180,111,570	-19,335,876
Disputed Contributions***	43,739,288	0	0	0	43,739,288	0
TOTAL	3,255,147,215	2,847,595,452	151,349,219	32,351,684	223,850,858	0

NB: (\*) The bilateral assistance recorded for Australia and Canada was adjusted following approvals at the 39<sup>th</sup> Meeting and taking into consideration a reconciliation carried out by the Secretariat through the progress reports submitted to the 40<sup>th</sup> Meeting to read US \$1,208,219 and US \$6,449,438 instead of US \$1,300,088 and US \$6,414,880 respectively.

(\*\*) In accordance with decisions VI/5 and XVI/39 of the Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, Turkmenistan has been reclassified as operating under Article 5 in 2004 and therefore its contribution of US \$5,764 for 2005 should be disregarded.

(\*\*\*) Amount netted off from outstanding contributions and are shown here for records only.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 4 : Status of Contributions for 2014**

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	11,907	11,907			0
Australia	3,287,899	3,287,899			0
Austria	1,447,492	1,447,492			0
Azerbaijan	25,514				25,514
Belarus	71,439				71,439
Belgium	1,828,500	1,828,500			0
Bulgaria	64,635	64,635			0
Canada	5,454,884	4,353,784			1,101,100
Croatia	164,729	164,729			0
Cyprus	78,243	78,243			0
Czech Republic	593,625	593,625			0
Denmark	1,251,885	1,251,885			0
Estonia	68,037	68,037			0
Finland	962,727	962,727			0
France	10,414,798		659,599		9,755,199
Germany	13,638,062		2,637,659	5,455,225	5,545,178
Greece	1,175,343				1,175,343
Holy See	1,701				1,701
Hungary	494,971				494,971
Iceland	71,439				71,439
Ireland	847,063	847,063			0
Israel	653,157				653,157
Italy	8,502,952		72,375		8,430,577
Japan	21,312,660	21,222,260	90,400		0
Latvia	64,635	64,635			0
Liechtenstein	15,308	15,308			0
Lithuania	110,560				110,560
Luxembourg	153,084	153,084			0
Malta	28,916				28,916
Monaco	5,103	5,103			0
Netherlands	3,155,226	3,155,226			0
New Zealand	464,354	464,354			0
Norway	1,481,511	1,481,511			0
Poland	1,408,371	1,408,371			0
Portugal	869,176				869,176
Romania	301,065				301,065
Russian Federation	2,724,891				2,724,891
San Marino	5,103	5,103			0
Slovak Republic	241,532	241,532			0
Slovenia	175,196				175,196
Spain	5,403,857				5,403,857
Sweden	1,809,790	1,809,790			0
Switzerland	1,922,052	1,922,052			0
Tajikistan	3,402				3,402
Ukraine	147,981				147,981
United Kingdom	11,232,946	11,232,946			0
United States of America	29,333,333	9,159,652		2,934,000	17,239,681
Uzbekistan	17,009				17,009
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,498,062</b>	<b>67,301,453</b>	<b>3,460,033</b>	<b>8,389,225</b>	<b>54,347,352</b>

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 5 : Status of Contributions for 2013**  
As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	11,907	11,907			0
Australia	3,287,899	3,287,899			0
Austria	1,447,492	1,447,492			0
Azerbaijan	25,514				25,514
Belarus	71,439				71,439
Belgium	1,828,500	1,828,500			0
Bulgaria	64,635	64,635			0
Canada	5,454,884	5,454,884			0
Cyprus	78,243				0
Czech Republic	593,625	593,625			0
Denmark	1,251,885	1,251,885			0
Estonia	68,037	68,037			0
Finland	962,727	962,727			0
France	10,414,798		90,400	10,324,398	0
Germany	13,638,062	4,546,021	2,766,731	9,092,041	(2,766,731)
Greece	1,175,343				1,175,343
Holy See	1,701				1,701
Hungary	494,971				494,971
Iceland	71,439	71,439			0
Ireland	847,063	847,063			0
Israel	653,157				653,157
Italy	8,502,952	3,260,613			5,242,339
Japan	21,312,660	21,312,660			0
Latvia	64,635	64,635			0
Liechtenstein	15,308	15,308			0
Lithuania	110,560				110,560
Luxembourg	153,084	153,084			0
Malta	28,916				28,916
Monaco	5,103	5,103			0
Netherlands	3,155,226	3,155,226			0
New Zealand	464,354	464,354			0
Norway	1,481,511	1,481,511			0
Poland	1,408,371	1,408,371			0
Portugal	869,176				869,176
Romania	301,065	301,065			0
Russian Federation	2,724,891	2,724,891			0
San Marino	5,103	5,103			0
Slovak Republic	241,532	241,532			0
Slovenia	175,196	175,196			0
Spain	5,403,857	5,403,857			0
Sweden	1,809,790	1,809,790			0
Switzerland	1,922,052	1,922,052			0
Tajikistan	3,402				3,402
Ukraine	147,981				147,981
United Kingdom	11,232,946	11,232,946			0
United States of America*	28,364,323	28,764,000			(399,677)
Uzbekistan	17,009				17,009
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>132,364,323</b>	<b>104,415,652</b>	<b>2,857,131</b>	<b>19,416,439</b>	<b>5,675,101</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	969,010				969,010
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,333,333</b>	<b>104,415,652</b>	<b>2,857,131</b>	<b>19,416,439</b>	<b>6,644,111</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL  
**TABLE 6 : Status of Contributions for 2012**  
As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	11,907	11,974			(67)
Australia	3,287,899	3,287,899			0
Austria	1,447,492	1,447,492			0
Azerbaijan	25,514				25,514
Belarus	71,439				71,439
Belgium	1,828,500	1,828,500			0
Bulgaria	64,635	64,635			0
Canada	5,454,884	5,454,884			0
Cyprus	78,243	78,243			0
Czech Republic	593,625	593,625			0
Denmark	1,251,885	1,251,885			0
Estonia	68,037	68,037			0
Finland	962,727	962,727			0
France	10,414,798	10,126,112	288,686		0
Germany	13,638,062	9,092,041	2,727,612	4,546,021	(2,727,612)
Greece	1,175,343				1,175,343
Holy See	1,701				1,701
Hungary	494,971				494,971
Iceland	71,439	71,439			0
Ireland	847,063	847,063			0
Israel	653,157				653,157
Italy	8,502,952	8,435,152	67,800		(0)
Japan	21,312,660	19,870,910	1,441,750		0
Latvia	64,635	64,635			0
Liechtenstein	15,308	15,308			0
Lithuania	110,560				110,560
Luxembourg	153,084	153,084			0
Malta	28,916				28,916
Monaco	5,103	5,103			0
Netherlands	3,155,226	3,155,226			0
New Zealand	464,354	464,354			0
Norway	1,481,511	1,481,511			0
Poland	1,408,371	1,408,371			0
Portugal	869,176				869,176
Romania	301,065	301,065			0
Russian Federation	2,724,891				2,724,891
San Marino	5,103	5,103			0
Slovak Republic	241,532	241,532			0
Slovenia	175,196	175,196			0
Spain	5,403,857	4,510,857	893,000		0
Sweden	1,809,790	1,809,790			0
Switzerland	1,922,052	1,922,052			0
Tajikistan	3,402				3,402
Ukraine	147,981				147,981
United Kingdom	11,232,946	11,232,946			0
United States of America*	27,538,756	33,951,000		0	(6,412,244)
Uzbekistan	17,009				17,009
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>131,538,756</b>	<b>124,389,750</b>	<b>5,418,848</b>	<b>4,546,021</b>	<b>(2,815,863)</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	1,794,577				1,794,577
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,333,333</b>	<b>124,389,750</b>	<b>5,418,848</b>	<b>4,546,021</b>	<b>-1,021,286</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 7 : Summary Status of Contributions for 2009-2011

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	34,764	34,697	0	0	67
Australia	8,678,133	8,339,133	339,000	0	0
Austria	4,307,501	4,307,501	0	0	0
Azerbaijan	24,281	0	0	0	24,281
Belarus	97,125	0	0	0	97,125
Belgium	5,351,596	5,351,596	0	0	0
Bulgaria	97,125	97,125	0	0	0
Canada	14,457,080	14,028,245	428,835	0	0
Cyprus	213,675	213,675	0	0	0
Czech Republic	1,364,608	1,143,128	221,480	0	0
Denmark	3,588,775	3,588,775	0	0	0
Estonia	77,700	77,700	0	0	0
Finland	2,738,929	2,738,929	0	0	0
France	30,599,281	29,546,764	1,052,517	0	(0)
Germany	41,652,124	33,321,699	8,330,424	(1)	2
Greece	2,894,330	2,894,330	0	0	(0)
Hungary	1,184,927	1,068,158	0	0	116,769
Iceland	179,682	179,682	0	0	0
Ireland	2,161,035	2,161,035	0	0	0
Israel	2,034,772	0	0	0	2,034,772
Italy	24,664,934	23,856,984	807,950	0	0
Japan	80,730,431	78,896,665	1,833,766	0	0
Latvia	87,413	87,413	0	0	0
Liechtenstein	48,563	48,563	0	0	0
Lithuania	150,544	0	0	0	150,544
Luxembourg	412,782	412,782	0	0	0
Malta	82,556	82,556	0	0	0
Monaco	14,569	14,569	0	0	0
Netherlands	9,095,771	9,095,771	0	0	0
New Zealand	1,243,202	1,243,202	0	0	0
Norway	3,797,594	3,797,594	0	0	0
Poland	2,432,985	2,432,985	0	0	0
Portugal	2,559,248	932,219	0	0	1,627,029
Romania	339,938	339,938	0	0	0
Russian Federation	5,827,509	0	0	0	5,827,509
San Marino	11,734	11,734	0	0	0
Slovak Republic	305,944	305,944	0	0	0
Slovenia	466,201	466,201	0	0	0
Spain	14,413,373	12,955,373	893,000	0	565,000
Sweden	5,201,052	5,201,052	0	0	0
Switzerland	5,905,210	5,905,210	0	0	0
Tajikistan	4,857	0	0	0	4,857
Ukraine	218,532	0	0	0	218,532
United Kingdom	32,255,265	32,255,265	0	0	0
United States of America*	87,594,208	91,207,148	0	0	(3,612,940)
Uzbekistan	38,850	0	0	0	38,850
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>399,640,706</b>	<b>378,641,338</b>	<b>13,906,972</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>7,092,397</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	405,792	0	0	0	405,792
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>400,046,498</b>	<b>378,641,338</b>	<b>13,906,972</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>7,498,189</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 8 : Status of Contributions for 2011

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	12,948	12,881			67
Australia	2,892,711	2,553,711	339,000		0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,819,027			0
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	415,319	39,550		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	9,634,760	565,000		0
Germany	13,884,041	5,553,617	2,776,808	(1)	5,553,618
Greece	964,777	964,777			0
Hungary	394,976	278,207			116,769
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	8,221,645			(0)
Japan	26,910,144	26,440,498	469,646		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,924			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995	810,995			0
Portugal	853,083				853,083
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
San Marino	4,855	4,855			0
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	4,804,458			(0)
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	29,333,333	32,946,274			(3,612,941)
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,351,137</b>	<b>123,451,715</b>	<b>4,190,004</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>5,709,419</b>

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

**TABLE 9 : Status of Contributions for 2010**

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	12,948	12,948			0
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,489,632	329,395		0
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	9,907,090	207,355		85,315
Germany	13,884,041	13,884,041	2,776,808	0	(2,776,808)
Greece	964,777	964,777			(0)
Hungary	394,976	394,975			0
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	7,566,245	655,400		(0)
Japan	26,910,144	25,705,823	1,204,321		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,923			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995	810,995			0
Portugal	853,083	79,137			773,946
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
San Marino	4,855	4,855			0
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	3,911,458	893,000		(0)
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America*	28,927,541	28,927,541			0
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>132,945,345</b>	<b>125,906,824</b>	<b>6,157,244</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>881,277</b>
Disputed Contributions(*)	405,792	0	0	0	405,792
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,351,137</b>	<b>125,906,824</b>	<b>6,157,244</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,287,069</b>

(\*) Additional amount on Disputed contribution relating to the USA.

## TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL

TABLE 10 : Status of Contributions for 2009

As at 8 November 2014

Party	Agreed Contributions	Cash Payments	Bilateral Assistance	Promissory Notes	Outstanding Contributions
Andorra	8,868	8,868			0
Australia	2,892,711	2,892,711			0
Austria	1,435,834	1,435,834			0
Azerbaijan	8,094				8,094
Belarus	32,375				32,375
Belgium	1,783,865	1,783,865			0
Bulgaria	32,375	32,375			0
Canada	4,819,027	4,719,586	99,440		0
Cyprus	71,225	71,225			0
Czech Republic	454,869	363,904	90,965		0
Denmark	1,196,258	1,196,258			0
Estonia	25,900	25,900			0
Finland	912,976	912,976			0
France	10,199,760	10,004,913	280,162		(85,315)
Germany	13,884,041	13,884,041	2,776,808	0	(2,776,808)
Greece	964,777	964,777			(0)
Hungary	394,976	394,976			(0)
Iceland	59,894	59,894			0
Ireland	720,345	720,345			0
Israel	678,257				678,257
Italy	8,221,645	8,069,094	152,550		0
Japan	26,910,144	26,750,345	159,799		0
Latvia	29,138	29,138			0
Liechtenstein	16,188	16,188			0
Lithuania	50,181				50,181
Luxembourg	137,594	137,594			0
Malta	27,519	27,519			0
Monaco	4,856	4,856			0
Netherlands	3,031,924	3,031,924			0
New Zealand	414,401	414,401			0
Norway	1,265,865	1,265,865			0
Poland	810,995	810,995			(0)
Portugal	853,083	853,082			0
Romania	113,313	113,313			0
Russian Federation	1,942,503				1,942,503
San Marino	2,023	2,023			0
Slovak Republic	101,981	101,981			0
Slovenia	155,400	155,400			0
Spain	4,804,458	4,239,458			565,000
Sweden	1,733,684	1,733,684			0
Switzerland	1,968,403	1,968,403			0
Tajikistan	1,619				1,619
Ukraine	72,844				72,844
United Kingdom	10,751,755	10,751,755			0
United States of America	29,333,333	29,333,333			0
Uzbekistan	12,950				12,950
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>133,344,225</b>	<b>129,282,799</b>	<b>3,559,724</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>501,702</b>

Table 11: Status of Promissory Notes as at 8 November 2014

**MULTILATERAL FUND'S PROMISSORY NOTES**

Country	HELD BY			IMPLEMENTING AGENCY FOR WHICH HELD OR ASSIGNED TO					
	A WORLD BANK	B TREASURER	C= A+B TOTAL	D UNDP	E UNEP	F UNIDO	G WORLD BANK	H TREASURER	D+E+F+G+H=I I=C TOTAL
	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value	Net Value
Canada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
France	-	10,324,398	10,324,398	-	-	-	-	10,324,398	10,324,398
Germany	-	19,093,285	19,093,285	-	-	-	-	19,093,285	19,093,285
The Netherlands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
United Kingdom	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
United States of America	-	2,934,000	2,934,000	-	-	-	-	2,934,000	2,934,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	-	32,351,683	32,351,683	-	-	-	-	<b>32,351,683</b>	<b>32,351,683</b>

Table 12: 2004-2014 Ledger of Promissory Notes as at 8 November 2014

RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain/(Loss) to intended value (USD)
10/25/2004	2004	Canada		Can\$	6,216,532.80	3,963,867.12	11/9/2004	IBRD	6,216,532.80	1/19/2005	5,140,136.76	1,176,269.64
4/21/2005	2005	Canada		Can\$	6,216,532.78	3,963,867.12	Nov. 2005	TREASURER	6,216,532.78	Nov. 2005	5,307,831.95	1,343,964.83
12/22/2006	2006	Canada		Can\$	4,794,373.31	3,760,292.79	1/19/2007	TREASURER	4,794,373.31	1/19/2007	4,088,320.38	328,027.59
6/27/2008	2008	Canada		Can\$	4,794,373.31	3,760,292.79	9/19/2008	TREASURER	4,794,373.31	9/19/2008	4,492,899.74	732,606.95
6/12/2009	2009	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	12/10/2009	TREASURER	3,834,018.00	12/10/2009	3,608,827.18	(246,394.52)
5/28/2010	2010	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	10/6/2010	TREASURER	3,834,018.00	10/6/2010	3,759,578.35	(95,643.37)
6/30/2011	2011	Canada		Can\$	3,834,018.00	3,855,221.72	9/15/2011	TREASURER	3,855,221.72	9/15/2011	3,870,009.08	14,787.36
6/29/2012	2012	Canada		Can\$	4,277,502.19	4,363,907.56	7/18/2012	TREASURER	4,277,502.19	7/18/2012	4,212,212.89	(151,694.67)
12/31/2004	2004	France		Euro	10,597,399.70	9,784,322.50	9/28/2006	TREASURER	10,597,399.70	9/28/2006	12,102,125.26	2,317,802.76
1/18/2006	2005	France		Euro	11,217,315.23	10,356,675.50	9/28/2006	TREASURER	11,217,315.23	9/28/2006	12,810,062.64	2,453,387.14
12/20/2006	2006	France		Euro	7,503,239.54	9,342,968.43	7/31/2007	TREASURER	7,503,239.54	7/31/2007	10,249,425.21	906,456.78
Dec. 2007	2007	France		Euro	7,483,781.61	9,287,393.43	9/16/2008	TREASURER	7,483,781.61	9/16/2008	10,629,963.40	1,342,569.97
Dec. 2008	2008	France		Euro	7,371,509.51	9,148,063.43	12/8/2009	TREASURER	7,371,509.51	12/8/2009	10,882,559.47	1,734,496.04
Oct. 2009	2009	France		Euro	6,568,287.40	9,997,393.30	10/6/2010	TREASURER	6,568,287.40	10/6/2010	8,961,114.64	(1,036,278.66)
Oct. 2010	2010	France		Euro	6,508,958.32	9,907,090.30	4/5/2011	TREASURER	6,508,958.32	4/5/2011	9,165,264.46	(741,825.84)
Oct. 2011	2011	France		Euro	6,330,037.52	9,634,760.30	10/25/2011	TREASURER	6,330,037.52	10/25/2011	8,750,643.84	(884,116.46)
Dec. 2012	2012	France		Euro	7,293,838.54	10,126,112.10	12/6/2012	TREASURER	7,293,838.54	1/22/2013	9,721,957.39	(404,154.71)
Dec. 2013	2013	France		Euro	7,436,663.95	10,324,398.10	<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>TREASURER</b>				
8/9/2004	2004	Germany	BU 104 1006 0	US\$	18,914,439.57	18,914,439.57						
							8/3/2005	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	8/3/2005	6,304,813.19	0
							8/11/2006	TREASURER	6,304,813.19	8/11/2006	6,304,813.19	0
							2/16/2007	TREASURER	3,152,406.60	2/16/2007	3,152,406.60	0
							8/10/2007	TREASURER	3,152,406.60	8/10/2007	3,152,406.60	0
									<b>18,914,439.57</b>		<b>18,914,439.58</b>	
7/8/2005	2005	Germany	BU 105 1003 0	US\$	7,565,775.83	7,565,775.83						
							4/18/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	4/18/2006	1,260,962.64	-
							8/11/2006	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	8/11/2006	1,260,962.64	-
							2/16/2007	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	2/16/2007	1,260,962.64	-
							8/10/2007	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	8/10/2007	1,260,962.64	-
							2/12/2008	TREASURER	1,260,962.64	2/12/2008	1,260,962.64	-
							8/12/2008	TREASURER	1,260,962.63	8/12/2008	1,260,962.64	-
									<b>7,565,775.83</b>		<b>7,565,775.83</b>	
5/10/2006	2006	Germany	BU 106 1004 0	Euro	11,662,922.38	14,473,718.52						
							2/28/2007	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/28/2007	2,558,067.65	145,781.24
							8/10/2007	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	8/10/2007	2,681,305.85	269,019.44
							2/12/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/12/2008	2,821,066.54	408,780.12
							8/12/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	8/12/2008	2,930,114.87	517,828.46
							2/17/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/17/2009	2,492,560.89	80,274.47
							8/12/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.38	8/12/2009	2,760,613.72	348,327.28
									<b>11,662,922.38</b>		<b>11,662,922.38</b>	
7/23/2007	2007	Germany	BU 107 1006 0	Euro	11,662,922.38	14,473,718.52						
							2/12/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/12/2008	2,821,066.54	408,780.12
							8/12/2008	TREASURER	1,943,820.39	8/12/2008	2,930,114.87	517,828.46
							2/17/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/17/2009	2,492,560.89	80,274.47
							8/12/2009	TREASURER	1,943,820.38	8/12/2009	2,760,613.72	348,327.30
							2/11/2010	TREASURER	1,943,820.40	2/11/2010	3,179,312.65	767,026.23
							8/10/2010	TREASURER	1,943,820.41	8/10/2010	2,561,178.36	148,891.93
									<b>11,662,922.38</b>		<b>11,662,922.38</b>	
8/15/2008	2008	Germany	BU 108 1004 0	Euro	4,665,168.96	5,789,487.42						
							2/17/2009	TREASURER	777,528.16	2/17/2009	997,024.36	32,109.79
							8/12/2009	TREASURER	777,528.16	8/12/2009	1,104,245.49	139,330.92
							2/11/2010	TREASURER	777,528.16	2/11/2010	529,107.91	(435,806.66)
							8/10/2010	TREASURER	777,528.16	8/10/2010	1,024,470.50	59,555.93
							2/10/2011	TREASURER	777,528.16	2/10/2011	1,060,159.65	95,245.05
							6/20/2011	TREASURER	777,528.16	6/20/2011	1,095,381.67	130,467.13
									<b>4,665,168.96</b>		<b>4,665,168.96</b>	
12/18/2009	2009	Germany	BU 109 1007 0	Euro	9,121,815.12	13,884,041.00						

RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain/(Loss) to intended value (USD)
						2,314,006.88	2/11/2010	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/11/2010		
						2,314,006.88	8/10/2010	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	8/10/2010	2,003,150.60	(310,856.28)
						2,314,006.88	2/10/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/10/2011	2,072,932.49	(241,074.39)
						2,314,006.88	6/20/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	6/20/2011	2,141,802.19	(172,204.69)
						2,314,006.88	2/3/2012	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/3/2012	2,002,998.57	(311,008.31)
						2,314,006.60	8/8/2012	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	8/8/2012	1,881,982.56	(432,024.04)
									<b>9,121,815.12</b>		<b>9,121,815.12</b>	
4/14/2010	2010	Germany	BU 110 1002 0	Euro	9,121,815.12	13,884,041.00						
						2,314,006.88	2/10/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/10/2011	2,072,932.48	(241,074.40)
						2,314,006.88	6/20/2011	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	6/20/2011	2,141,802.19	(172,204.69)
						2,314,006.88	2/3/2012	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/3/2012	2,002,998.57	(311,008.31)
						2,314,006.88	8/8/2012	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	8/8/2012	1,881,982.56	(432,024.32)
						2,314,006.88	2/12/2013	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	2/12/2013	2,037,357.39	(276,649.49)
						2,314,006.60	8/12/2013	TREASURER	1,520,302.52	8/12/2013	2,028,843.72	(285,162.88)
									<b>9,121,815.12</b>		<b>9,121,815.12</b>	
4/27/2011	2011	Germany	BU 111 1001 0	Euro	3,648,726.05	5,553,616.51						
						925,602.75	2/3/2012	TREASURER	608,121.01	2/3/2012	801,199.43	(124,403.32)
						925,602.75	8/8/2012	TREASURER	608,121.00	8/8/2012	752,792.86	(172,809.89)
						925,602.75	2/12/2013	TREASURER	608,121.01	2/12/2013	814,942.98	(110,659.77)
						925,602.75	8/12/2013	TREASURER	608,121.01	8/12/2013	811,537.48	(114,065.27)
						925,602.75	2/11/2014	TREASURER	608,121.01	2/11/2014	824,186.40	(101,416.35)
						925,602.76	8/12/2014	TREASURER	608,121.00	8/12/2014	814,152.39	(111,450.37)
									<b>3,648,726.04</b>		<b>4,818,811.54</b>	
1/24/2013	2012	Germany	BU 113 1001 0	Euro	9,823,495.77	13,638,061.59						
						2,273,010.27	2/12/2013	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	2/12/2013	2,194,077.79	(78,932.48)
						2,273,010.26	8/12/2013	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	8/12/2013	2,184,909.18	(88,101.08)
						2,273,010.27	2/11/2014	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	2/11/2014	2,220,601.22	(52,409.05)
						2,273,010.27	8/12/2014	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	8/12/2014	2,191,949.36	(81,060.92)
						<b>4,546,020.52</b>	<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>TREASURER</b>				
3/25/2013	2013	Germany	BU 113 1004 0	Euro	9,823,495.77	<b>13,638,061.59</b>						
						2,273,010.27	2/11/2014	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	2/11/2014	2,220,601.22	(52,409.05)
						2,273,010.27	8/12/2014	TREASURER	1,637,249.30	8/12/2014	2,191,949.36	(81,060.92)
						<b>9,092,041.05</b>	<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>TREASURER</b>				
10/2/2014	2014	Germany	BU 114 1003 0	Euro	3,929,398.32	<b>5,455,224.66</b>						
							<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>TREASURER</b>				
12/8/2003	2004	Netherlands	D 11	US\$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	11/17/2004	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	11/17/2004	3,364,061.32	-
12/8/2003	2005	Netherlands	D 11	US\$	3,364,061.32	3,364,061.32	12/5/2005	TREASURER	3,364,061.32	12/5/2005	3,364,061.32	-
5/18/2004	2004	UK		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63						
						1,786,417.11	8/23/2005	TREASURER	1,207,260.68	8/23/2005	2,166,550.02	380,132.91
						5,359,251.32	Feb. 2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	Feb. 2006	6,303,711.64	944,460.32
						3,572,834.20	7/24/2006	TREASURER	3,621,782.04	7/24/2006	4,473,383.73	900,549.53
									<b>7,243,564.08</b>		<b>12,943,645.39</b>	2,225,142.76
6/1/2005	2005	UK		GBP	7,243,564.08	10,718,502.63						
						1,786,417.11	7/24/2006	TREASURER	1,207,260.68	7/24/2006	2,236,691.86	450,274.75
						4,681,386.55	8/9/2006	TREASURER	3,163,681.03	8/9/2006	6,036,303.40	1,354,916.85
						4,250,698.97	8/16/2006	TREASURER	2,872,622.37	8/16/2006	5,429,236.28	1,178,537.31
									<b>7,243,564.08</b>		<b>13,702,231.54</b>	2,983,728.91
5/13/2005	2004	USA		US\$	4,920,000.00	4,920,000.00	10/27/2005	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	10/27/2005	2,000,000.00	-
							11/2/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	11/2/2006	2,000,000.00	-
							10/25/2007	TREASURER	920,000.00	10/25/2007	920,000.00	-
									<b>4,920,000.00</b>		<b>4,920,000.00</b>	
3/1/2006	2005	USA		US\$	3,159,700.00	3,159,700.00	11/2/2006	TREASURER	2,000,000.00	11/2/2006	2,000,000.00	-
							10/25/2007	TREASURER	1,159,700.00	10/25/2007	1,159,700.00	-
									<b>3,159,700.00</b>		<b>3,159,700.00</b>	

RECEIPTS							ENCASHMENTS					
Date of Submission a/	Year of contribution	Country of Origin	P/Note code	Denomination/ Type of currency	Amount (in Original denomination)	Note Value in USD per UNEP	Date of transfer	Agency	Transfer amount in Original denomination	Date of Encashment	Actual Encashment value (USD)	Gain/(Loss) to intended value (USD)
4/25/2007	2006	USA		US\$	7,315,000.00	7,315,000.00	10/25/2007	TREASURER	2,500,000.00	10/25/2007	2,500,000.00	-
							11/19/2008	TREASURER	2,500,000.00	11/19/2008	2,500,000.00	-
							5/11/2009	TREASURER	2,315,000.00	5/11/2009	2,315,000.00	-
									<b>7,315,000.00</b>		<b>7,315,000.00</b>	
2/21/2008	2008	USA		US\$	4,683,000.00	4,683,000.00	11/19/2008	TREASURER	2,341,500.00	11/19/2008	2,341,500.00	-
							5/11/2009	TREASURER	2,341,500.00	5/11/2009	2,341,500.00	-
									<b>4,683,000.00</b>		<b>4,683,000.00</b>	
4/21/2009	2009	USA		US\$	5,697,000.00	5,697,000.00						
							5/11/2009	TREASURER	1,900,000.00	5/11/2009	1,900,000.00	-
							11/4/2010	TREASURER	1,900,000.00	11/4/2010	1,900,000.00	-
							11/3/2011	TREASURER	1,897,000.00	11/3/2011	1,897,000.00	-
									<b>5,697,000.00</b>		<b>5,697,000.00</b>	
5/12/2010	2010	USA		US\$	5,840,000.00	5,840,000.00						
						1,946,666.00	11/4/2010	TREASURER	1,946,666.00	11/4/2010	1,946,666.00	-
						1,946,667.00	11/3/2011	TREASURER	1,946,667.00	11/3/2011	1,946,667.00	-
						1,946,667.00	2/6/2012	TREASURER	1,946,667.00	2/6/2012	1,946,667.00	-
									<b>5,840,000.00</b>		<b>5,840,000.00</b>	
6/14/2011	2011	USA		US\$	5,190,000.00	5,190,000.00						
						1,730,000.00	11/3/2011	TREASURER	1,730,000.00	11/3/2011	1,730,000.00	-
						3,460,000.00	2/6/2012	TREASURER	3,460,000.00	2/6/2012	3,460,000.00	-
									<b>5,190,000.00</b>		<b>5,190,000.00</b>	
5/9/2012	2012	USA		US\$	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00						
						1,666,667.00	12/14/2012	TREASURER	1,666,667.00	12/14/2012	1,666,667.00	-
						1,666,667.00	11/14/2013	TREASURER	1,666,667.00	11/14/2013	1,666,667.00	-
						1,666,666.00	12/14/2012	TREASURER	1,666,666.00	31/10/2014	1,666,666.00	-
									<b>5,000,000.00</b>			
4/17/2014	2014	USA		US\$	4,401,000.00	4,401,000.00	17/4/2014	TREASURER				
						1,467,000.00	17/4/2014	TREASURER	1,467,000.00	31/10/2014	1,467,000.00	-
						<b>2,934,000.00</b>	<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>TREASURER</b>				

**TRUST FUND FOR THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL**

**TABLE 13: OUTSTANDING PROMISSORY NOTES SCHEDULE OF ENCASHMENT AS AT 8 November 2014  
(IN US\$)**

	<b>Due in 2014</b>	<b>Due in 2015</b>	<b>Due in 2016</b>	<b>Unscheduled</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
<b><u>FRANCE:</u></b>				10,324,398	10,324,398
<b><u>GERMANY:</u></b>					
2011	0				0
2012	0	4,546,020			4,546,020
2013	0	4,546,021	4,546,020		9,092,041
2014				5,455,225	5,455,225
<b><u>USA</u></b>					
2013	0				0
2014	0	1,467,000	1,467,000		2,934,000
	<b>0</b>	<b>10,559,041</b>	<b>6,013,020</b>	<b>15,779,623</b>	<b>32,351,683</b>

**NOTE:**

Germany's promissory notes due are payable in February and August of the relevant years.  
USA's promissory notes due are payable in November of the relevant years.



## Annex II

## REMAINING 2014 BUSINESS PLAN ACTIVITIES\*

Country	Agency	Type	Chemical	Sector and Subsector	Value (\$000) 2014	ODP 2014
Algeria	UNIDO	INV	MBR	Phase-out of MB in dates	229	1.8
Algeria	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	155	1.0
Angola	UNDP	INV	HCFC	Stage I HPMP	34	0.3
Angola	UNDP	PRP	HCFC	Stage II HPMP Preparation (refrigeration servicing)	32	0.0
Argentina	IBRD	PRP	HCFC	FOA - Rigid PU foam (Stage II)	161	
Argentina	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	338	4.6
Armenia	UNDP	INV	HCFC	Stage I HPMP	34	0.1
Armenia	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	8	0.0
Bahrain	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Bahrain	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	164	1.2
Bangladesh	UNDP	PRP	HCFC	Stage II HPMP Preparation (air condition, refrigeration servicing)	150	0.0
Bangladesh	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation)	102	1.0
Bangladesh	UNEP	PRP	HCFC	Stage 2 - HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (preparation)	28	
Barbados	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	117	
Botswana	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	78	
Brazil	UNDP	INS	SEV	Several Ozone unit support	376	0.0
Brazil	UNDP	INV	HCFC	Stage I HPMP	3,225	33.7
Burkina Faso	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	72	
Central African Republic	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Central African Republic	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	62	0.4
China	Japan	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase Out Plan (Stage I) - Servicing sector, including enabling	90	1.0
China	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (servicing sector, including enabling) (Stage I)	1,304	14.7
Cote d'Ivoire	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	213	2.3
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	130	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	UNDP	PRP	HCFC	Stage II HPMP Preparation (refrigeration servicing)	27	0.0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	UNEP	PRP	HCFC	Stage 2 - HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (preparation)	67	
Ecuador	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	177	
Equatorial Guinea	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	80	
Equatorial Guinea	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	34	0.2
Eritrea	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Grenada	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Guatemala	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	125	

Country	Agency	Type	Chemical	Sector and Subsector	Value (\$000) 2014	ODP 2014
Guyana	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Guyana	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	8	0.0
Haiti	UNDP	INV	HCFC	Stage I HPMP	106	0.4
Haiti	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	100	
Haiti	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	34	0.1
Honduras	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Iraq	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	567	6.4
Iraq	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	75	0.9
Jamaica	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Jordan	IBRD	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Air-Conditioning Sector Plan (Stage I)	906	8.3
Jordan	IBRD	PRP	HCFC	REF - Commercial refrigeration (Stage II)	64	
Jordan	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	24	0.2
Kuwait	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	105	
Kuwait	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	377	8.1
Kuwait	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	FOA-XPS foam (Stage I)	3,601	80.9
Morocco	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	156	
Mozambique	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	81	
Nicaragua	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Paraguay	UNDP	INV	HCFC	HCFC-INV: FOA sector (Stage I)	255	4.5
Peru	UNDP	INV	HCFC	Stage I HPMP	109	1.3
Peru	UNDP	PRP	HCFC	Stage II HPMP Preparation (foam, refrigeration servicing)	118	0.0
Peru	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	134	
Peru	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	23	0.3
Peru	UNEP	PRP	HCFC	Stage 2 - HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (preparation)	85	
Qatar	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	170	4.3
Qatar	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	572	15.1
Saudi Arabia	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	200	
Saudi Arabia	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Air conditioning (Stage I)	1,284	54.6
Senegal	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	169	1.8
Senegal	UNIDO	PHA	HCFC	REF-Servicing (Stage I)	81	0.9
Somalia	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
South Sudan	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
South Sudan	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	101	
Suriname	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	32	0.1
Swaziland	UNEP	INS	SEV	Institutional Strengthening	60	
Syrian Arab Republic	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	181	
Syrian Arab Republic	UNEP	PRP	HCFC	Stage 2 - HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (preparation Stage-II)	57	
Thailand	IBRD	INS	SEV	Renewal of Institutional Strengthening	371	

<b>Country</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Sector and Subsector</b>	<b>Value (\$000) 2014</b>	<b>ODP 2014</b>
Thailand	IBRD	PHA	HCFC	FOA/REF - AC (Stage I)	10,386	109.0
Yemen	UNEP	PHA	HCFC	HCFC Phase-out Management Plan (implementation) (Stage I)	186	13.2

\*All projects and activities are required for compliance.



**Annex III**

**LETTERS TO BE SENT TO THE RELEVANT GOVERNMENTS  
ON TRANCHE SUBMISSION DELAYS**

<b>Country</b>	<b>Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee</b>
Algeria	Noting that the third tranche (2014) of stage I of the HPMP for Algeria was due for submission at the 71 <sup>st</sup> meeting in 2013 and the continuing need for the relevant enterprise to complete the preparatory work so that funding from the earlier tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Algeria, being implemented by UNIDO can best be utilized, and urging the Government of Algeria to encourage the relevant enterprises to complete the preparatory work for the tranche, and work with UNIDO so that the 2014 tranche of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche is achieved.
Angola	Noting that since the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Angola had been approved at the 72 <sup>nd</sup> meeting, there was little time to complete activities and submit the third tranche to the 73 <sup>rd</sup> meeting, and urging the Government of Angola to expedite the completion of pending activities and work with UNDP so that the third tranche (2014) of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche is achieved.
Argentina	Noting that with regard to the third tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Argentina there had been some issues with the supplier in connection with the delivery and installation of some equipment, and urging UNIDO to assist the Government of Argentina to resolve the issues with the supplier and work with UNIDO so that the 2014 tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Armenia	Noting that for the submission of the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Armenia, a letter confirming the establishment of a licensing and quota system is needed and urging the Government of Armenia to provide the aforementioned confirmation so that UNEP and UNDP could submit the third (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Bahrain	Noting that there had been low disbursement for the approved first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Bahrain, and urging the Government of Bahrain to expedite the project and work with UNEP so that the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche is achieved.
Bangladesh	Noting that there had been a slow implementation of the servicing sector component of the approved tranches of stage I of the HPMP for Bangladesh and that the required verification report of national consumption targets had not been completed, and urging the Government of Bangladesh to work with UNDP to complete the verification report and with UNEP to expedite project implementation of activities in the servicing sector so that UNDP as lead agency can submit the third (2013) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Central African Republic (the)	Noting that the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for the Central African Republic was due for submission three meetings ago but that there remains unrest in the country preventing implementation and urging the Government of the Central African Republic to work with UNEP when circumstances permit so that the second (2013) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for the Central African Republic can be submitted to the 75 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Cote d'Ivoire	Noting that the requirement of verification report of national consumption targets had not been completed and urging the Government of Cote d'Ivoire to work with UNEP to complete the verification report so that UNEP could submit the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Equatorial Guinea	Noting that the second (2013) tranche of the stage I of the HPMP for Equatorial Guinea was due three meetings ago in 2013 and that slow implementation and reporting of the first tranche had impacted the level of disbursement and urging the Government of Equatorial Guinea to work with UNEP to expedite implementation so that the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Guyana	Noting that the second (2014) tranche of the stage I of the HPMP of Guyana was due two meetings ago in 2013 and that the Government of Guyana decided to give priority to the preparation of stage II of the HPMP and urging the Government of Guyana to work with UNEP so that the 2014 tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.

<b>Country</b>	<b>Recommendation by the Secretariat to the Executive Committee</b>
Haiti	Noting that the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP of Haiti was due two meetings ago in 2013 but that Haiti has not confirmed that an HCFC quota system was in place, and urging the Government of Haiti to work with UNEP to expedite the establishment of the quota system so that the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Iraq	Noting that there had been no disbursement for the approved first tranche of stage I of the HPMP being implemented by UNEP, and urging the Government of Iraq to sign the relevant agreement with UNEP in order to expedite implementation so that the second (2013) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Jordan	Noting that the second (2013) tranche of the stage I of HPMP for Jordan could have been submitted three meetings ago in 2012, and urging the Government of Jordan and the World Bank to sign the remaining two sub-grant agreements so that the second (2013) tranche of the HPMP for Jordan can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche is achieved.
Kuwait	Noting that the second (2014) tranche of the HPMP for Kuwait could have been submitted two meetings ago in 2013 and that the delay in submission was due to the need to complete the verification report of national consumption targets and low disbursement, and urging the Government of Kuwait to work with UNEP and UNIDO to expedite project implementation so that the second tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Peru	Noting that the 2013 tranche of the HPMP for Peru could have been submitted three meetings ago in 2013, and urging the Government of Peru to sign the relevant agreement with UNEP and expedite implementation so that the 2013 tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold for funding of the previous tranche is achieved.
Qatar	Noting that there had been slow progress in implementation of the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Qatar and the relevant transmittal letter from the Government of Qatar had not been provided, and urging Qatar to work with UNEP and UNIDO to expedite project implementation, sign the agreement with UNEP, and submit the relevant transmittal letter so that the second (2013) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Saudi Arabia	Noting that that the third (2014) tranche of the HPMP of Saudi Arabia was due to the 73 <sup>rd</sup> meeting, and urging the Government of Saudi Arabia to work with UNIDO to expedite project implementation so that the third (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold requirement is achieved.
Senegal	Noting that the required verification report of ODS consumption had not been completed and urging the Government of Senegal to work with UNEP and UNIDO to complete the verification report of national consumption targets so that the second (2014) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Senegal can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Suriname	Noting that the second (2013) tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Suriname could have been submitted three meetings ago in 2013 and that implementation of the first tranche will continue until the mid-2015, and urging the Government of Suriname to work with UNEP to expedite implementation so that the second (2013) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting.
Thailand	Noting that the grant agreement has not been signed for stage I of the HPMP for Thailand, and urging the Government of Thailand to sign the grant agreement with the World Bank so that the second (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold requirement is achieved.
Yemen	Noting that there had been difficulty in completing the verification report of national consumption targets and there had been a low level of disbursement for the approved first tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Yemen, and urging the Government of Yemen to work with UNEP to complete the verification report and expedite project implementation so that the second (2014) tranche can be submitted to the 74 <sup>th</sup> meeting on the understanding that that the 20 per cent disbursement threshold requirement is achieved.

**Annex IV**

**PROJECTS WITH IMPLEMENTATION DELAYS**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>Project title</b>	<b>Category of delays</b>
Spain	LAC/FUM/54/TAS/40	Technical assistance to introduce chemical alternatives in countries which have rescheduled methyl bromide phase-out plan (Argentina and Uruguay)	12 months delays
UNDP	IND/ARS/56/INV/423	Plan for phase-out of CFCs in the manufacture of pharmaceutical MDIs	12 months delays
UNDP	PAK/ARS/56/INV/71	Plan for phase-out of CFCs in the manufacture of pharmaceutical MDIs	12 months delays
UNEP	GLO/SEV/66/TAS/314	Development of a guide for sustainable refrigerated facilities and systems, in cooperation with the American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE)	12 and 18 months delays
UNEP	IND/ARS/56/TAS/425	National strategy for transition to non-CFC MDIs	12 months delays
UNEP	KUW/PHA/57/TAS/15	TPMP verification	12 and 18 months delays
UNEP	NEP/DES/59/TAS/27	Destruction of confiscated ODS	12 months delays
UNEP	TRI/FUM/65/TAS/28	Technical assistance to phase out the use of methyl bromide	12 and 18 months delays
UNIDO	EGY/ARS/50/INV/92	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the manufacture of aerosol metered dose inhalers (MDIs)	12 months delays
UNIDO	IRQ/FUM/62/INV/13	Technical assistance for alternatives to methyl bromide	12 months delays
UNIDO	IRQ/REF/57/INV/07	Replacement of refrigerant CFC-12 with isobutane and foam blowing agent CFC-11 with cyclopentane in the manufacture of domestic refrigerators and chest freezers at Light Industries Company	12 months delays
UNIDO	MOZ/FUM/60/TAS/20	Technical assistance for the elimination of controlled uses of methyl bromide in soil fumigation	12 and 18 months delays
UNIDO	SYR/REF/62/INV/103	Phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b from the manufacture of unitary air-conditioning equipment and rigid polyurethane insulation panels at Al Hafez Group	12 months delays
UNIDO	ZAM/FUM/56/INV/21	Technical assistance for the total phase out of methyl bromide in tobacco, cut flowers, horticulture and post-harvest uses	12 months delays
World Bank	CPR/ARS/51/INV/447	Phase-out of CFC consumption in the pharmaceutical aerosol sector (2007-2008 biennial programme)	12 months delays



**Annex V**

**PROJECTS WITH ADDITIONAL STATUS REPORTS**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Country/project code</b>	<b>Project title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
Germany	BOT/PHA/60/PRP/14	Preparation of an HCFC phase-out management plan	Actions taken towards the establishment of the licensing system for ODS imports and exports
Germany	Afghanistan	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
Italy	Ghana	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
Spain	Mexico	National methyl bromide phase-out plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
UNDP	BRA/REF/47/DEM/275	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
UNDP	COL/REF/47/DEM/65	Demonstration project for integrated management of the centrifugal chiller sub-sector, focusing on application of energy-efficient CFC-free technologies for replacement of CFC-based chillers	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
UNDP	Barbados	HCFC phase-out management plan	Signing of the project document/letter of agreement and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNDP	Belize	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNDP	Peru	HCFC phase-out management plan	Signing of the project document/letter of agreement and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNDP	Nepal	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNDP	Saint Kitts and Nevis	HCFC phase-out management plan	Signing of the project document/letter of agreement and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNDP	BRA/SEV/66/INS/297	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2012-12/2013)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
UNDP	GEO/SEV/69/INS/34	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 7/2013-6/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds and project implementation progress
UNEP	Chile	Methyl bromide phase-out plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Guatemala	Methyl bromide phase-out plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds

Agency	Country/project code	Project title	Reasons
UNEP	EUR/DES/69/DEM/13	Demonstration of a regional strategy for ODS waste management and disposal in the Europe and Central Asia region	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	MAU/PHA/55/PRP/20	Preparation of an HCFC phase-out management plan	Submission of the HCFC phase-out management plan
UNEP	SSD/PHA/70/PRP/02	Preparation of an HCFC phase-out management plan	Submission of the HCFC phase-out management plan
UNEP	Bahrain	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Barbados	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Dominica	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	El Salvador	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Eritrea	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Guatemala	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Haiti	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Myanmar	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Philippines (the)	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Peru	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Suriname	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Turkey	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Uganda	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	Yemen	HCFC phase-out management plan	Agreement signing and low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	ANG/SEV/69/INS/11	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 4/2013-3/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	BAH/SEV/68/INS/25	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Country/project code</b>	<b>Project title</b>	<b>Reasons</b>
UNEP	BOT/SEV/68/INS/15	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	BRU/SEV/67/INS/14	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase III: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	CAF/SEV/68/INS/23	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	DRK/SEV/68/INS/57	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phases VI and VII: 1/2010-12/2013)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	ECU/SEV/59/INS/43	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	ERI/SEV/68/INS/12	Institutional strengthening (phase II: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	FIJ/SEV/67/INS/24	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 12/2012-11/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	IRQ/SEV/69/INS/18	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase II: 6/2013-5/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	JAM/SEV/68/INS/31	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	KEN/SEV/69/INS/54	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 4/2013-3/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	KUW/SEV/68/INS/22	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	LIR/SEV/69/INS/20	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 4/2013-3/2015))	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	MAU/SEV/57/INS/23	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase V)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	MOR/SEV/59/INS/63	Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	PER/SEV/68/INS/45	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 1/2013-12/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	SAU/SEV/67/INS/15	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase II: 7/2012-6/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	SIL/SEV/69/INS/26	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 4/2013-3/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	TLS/SEV/69/INS/10	Extension of institutional strengthening (phase III: 4/2013-3/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNEP	VIE/SEV/68/INS/60	Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 7/2013-6/2015)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	CPR/DES/67/DEM/520	Pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	NIR/DES/67/DEM/133	Demonstration project for disposal of unwanted ODS	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	EUR/DES/69/DEM/14	Demonstration of a regional strategy for ODS waste management and disposal in the Europe and Central Asia region	Low disbursement rates of approved funds

Agency	Country/project code	Project title	Reasons
UNIDO	ASP/REF/69/DEM/57	Promoting low-global warming potential refrigerants for air-conditioning sectors in high-ambient temperature countries in West Asia	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Algeria	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Bahrain	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Eritrea	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Ethiopia	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Indonesia	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Iraq	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Kuwait	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Somalia	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	South Africa	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Uganda	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	Yemen	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	QAT/SEV/59/INS/15	Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase III)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
UNIDO	TUN/SEV/66/INS/53	Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 7/2012-6/2014)	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
World Bank	GLO/REF/47/DEM/268	Global chiller replacement project (China, India, Indonesia, Malaysia and Philippines (the))	Low disbursement rates of approved funds; and signing of the grant agreement for the Argentina component
World Bank	Argentina	HCFC phase-out management plan	Signing of the grant agreement
World Bank	Indonesia	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
World Bank	Jordan	HCFC phase-out management plan	Low disbursement rates of approved funds
World Bank	Thailand	HCFC phase-out management plan	Signing of the grant agreement

**Annex VI**

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNDP**

<b>Type of indicator</b>	<b>Short title</b>	<b>Calculation</b>	<b>2015 target</b>
Planning--Approval	Tranches approved	Number of tranches approved vs. those planned*	36
Planning--Approval	Projects/activities approved	Number of projects/activities approved vs. those planned (including project preparation activities)**	19
Implementation	Funds disbursed	Based on estimated disbursement in progress report	US \$19 million
Implementation	ODS phase-out	ODS phase-out for the tranche when the next tranche is approved vs. those planned per business plans	500.5
Implementation	Project completion for activities	Project completion vs. planned in progress reports for all activities (excluding project preparation)	75
Administrative	Speed of financial completion	The extent to which projects are financially completed 12 months after project completion	70% of those due
Administrative	Timely submission of project completion reports	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	70% of those due
Administrative	Timely submission of progress reports	Timely submission of progress reports and business plans and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

\* The target of an agency would be reduced if it could not submit a tranche owing to another cooperating or lead agency, if agreed by that agency.

\*\* Project preparation should not be assessed if the Executive Committee has not taken a decision on its funding.



**Annex VII**

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNEP**

**Table 1**

<b>Type of indicator</b>	<b>Short title</b>	<b>Calculation</b>	<b>2015 target</b>
Planning--Approval	Tranches approved	Number of tranches approved vs. those planned*	56
Planning--Approval	Projects/activities approved	Number of projects/activities approved vs. those planned (including project preparation activities)**	69
Implementation	Funds disbursed	Based on estimated disbursement in progress report	US \$9,510,335
Implementation	ODS phase-out	ODS phase-out for the tranche when the next tranche is approved vs. those planned per business plans	94.5
Implementation	Project completion for activities	Project completion vs. planned in progress reports for all activities (excluding project preparation)	99
Administrative	Speed of financial completion	The extent to which projects are financially completed 12 months after project completion	14
Administrative	Timely submission of project completion reports	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	Yes
Administrative	Timely submission of progress reports	Timely submission of progress reports and business plans and responses unless otherwise agreed	Yes

\* The target of an agency would be reduced if it could not submit a tranche owing to another cooperating or lead agency, if agreed by that agency.

\*\* Project preparation should not be assessed if the Executive Committee has not taken a decision on its funding.

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNEP'S COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME  
(CAP)**

**Table 2**

<b>Performance indicator</b>	<b>Data</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	<b>UNEP's target for 2015</b>
Efficient follow-up to regional network/thematic meetings	List of recommendations emanating from 2014 regional network/thematic meetings	Implementation rate of those meeting recommendations that are to be implemented in 2015	90 % implementation rate
Effective support to NOUs in their work, particularly guidance to new NOUs	List of innovative ways/means/products/services for supporting NOUs in their work, with specification of those destined for new NOUs	Number of innovative ways, means, products, services for supporting NOUs in their work, with specification of those destined for new NOUs	7 such ways, means, products, services. All new NOUs receive capacity building support. 10 additional countries submit CP reports using the Secretariat's online data reporting system
Assistance to countries in actual or potential non-compliance (as per MOP decisions and/or as per reported Article 7 data and trend analysis)	List of countries in actual or potential non-compliance that received CAP assistance outside the network meetings	Number of countries in actual or potential non-compliance that received CAP assistance outside the network meetings	All such countries
Innovations in production and delivery of global and regional information products and services	List of global and regional information products and services destined for new target audiences or that reach existing target audiences in new ways	Number of global and regional information products and services destined for new target audiences or that reach existing target audiences in new ways	7 such products and services
Close cooperation between CAP regional teams and implementing and bilateral agencies working in the regions	List of joint missions/undertakings of CAP regional staff with implementing and bilateral agencies	Number of joint missions/undertakings	5 in each region

**Annex VIII**

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR UNIDO**

<b>Type of indicator</b>	<b>Short title</b>	<b>Calculation</b>	<b>2015 target</b>
Planning--Approval	Tranches approved	Number of tranches approved vs. those planned*	26
Planning--Approval	Projects/activities approved	Number of projects/activities approved vs. those planned (including project preparation activities)**	22
Implementation	Funds disbursed	Based on estimated disbursement in progress report	US \$22,350,000
Implementation	ODS phase-out	ODS phase-out for the tranche when the next tranche is approved vs. those planned per business plans	587.8
Implementation	Project completion for activities	Project completion vs. planned in progress reports for all activities (excluding project preparation)	41
Administrative	Speed of financial completion	The extent to which projects are financially completed 12 months after project completion	12 months after operational completion
Administrative	Timely submission of project completion reports	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	On time
Administrative	Timely submission of progress reports	Timely submission of progress reports and business plans and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

\* The target of an agency would be reduced if it could not submit a tranche owing to another cooperating or lead agency, if agreed by that agency.

\*\* Project preparation should not be assessed if the Executive Committee has not taken a decision on its funding.



**Annex IX**

**PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR THE WORLD BANK**

<b>Type of indicator</b>	<b>Short title</b>	<b>Calculation</b>	<b>2015 target</b>
Planning--Approval	Tranches approved	Number of tranches approved vs. those planned*	9
Planning--Approval	Projects/activities approved	Number of projects/activities approved vs. those planned (including project preparation activities)**	4
Implementation	Funds disbursed	Based on estimated disbursement in progress report	US \$27 million
Implementation	ODS phase-out	ODS phase-out for the tranche when the next tranche is approved vs. those planned per business plans	1,338.3
Implementation	Project completion for activities	Project completion vs. planned in progress reports for all activities (excluding project preparation)	13
Administrative	Speed of financial completion	The extent to which projects are financially completed 12 months after project completion	90%
Administrative	Timely submission of project completion reports	Timely submission of project completion reports vs. those agreed	On time
Administrative	Timely submission of progress reports	Timely submission of progress reports and business plans and responses unless otherwise agreed	On time

\* The target of an agency would be reduced if it could not submit a tranche owing to another cooperating or lead agency, if agreed by that agency.

\*\* Project preparation should not be assessed if the Executive Committee has not taken a decision on its funding.



# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>ALGERIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$257,400	\$0	\$257,400	
<b>Total for Algeria</b>			<b>\$257,400</b>		<b>\$257,400</b>	
<b>ANGOLA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	UNDP		\$30,000	\$2,700	\$32,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Angola and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Angola</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>\$32,700</b>	
<b>ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$10,000	\$700	\$10,700	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Antigua and Barbuda</b>			<b>\$90,000</b>	<b>\$3,300</b>	<b>\$93,300</b>	
<b>ARMENIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$10,000	\$1,300	\$11,300	
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	UNDP		\$30,000	\$2,700	\$32,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Armenia and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNDP		\$20,000	\$1,400	\$21,400	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 4/2015-3/2017)	UNIDO		\$120,000	\$8,400	\$128,400	
<b>Total for Armenia</b>			<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>\$13,800</b>	<b>\$193,800</b>	
<b>BHUTAN</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Bhutan and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Bhutan</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>BOLIVIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	Germany		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between the Plurinational State of Bolivia and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$78,867	\$0	\$78,867	
<b>Total for Bolivia</b>			<b>\$108,867</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$112,767</b>	
<b>BRAZIL</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) (foam sector plan)	UNDP	32.7	\$3,000,000	\$225,000	\$3,225,000	
<b>Total for Brazil</b>		<b>32.7</b>	<b>\$3,000,000</b>	<b>\$225,000</b>	<b>\$3,225,000</b>	
<b>BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$70,000	\$0	\$70,000	
<b>Total for Brunei Darussalam</b>			<b>\$70,000</b>		<b>\$70,000</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>BURUNDI</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.4	\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that Burundi assumed all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing.</i>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Burundi and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Burundi</b>		<b>0.4</b>	<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$7,800</b>	<b>\$67,800</b>	
<b>CAMBODIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Cambodia and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Cambodia</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>CHAD</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Chad and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Chad</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>CHILE</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)	UNDP		\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) <i>Approved on the understanding that approval of further funding will be subject to satisfactorily addressing the issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report.</i>	UNEP	2.1	\$27,022	\$3,513	\$30,535	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNDP		\$50,000	\$3,500	\$53,500	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche) <i>Approved on the understanding that approval of further funding will be subject to satisfactorily addressing the issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report.</i>	UNDP	0.3	\$295,744	\$22,181	\$317,925	
	<b>Total for Chile</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>\$492,766</b>	<b>\$38,794</b>	<b>\$531,560</b>	
<b>CHINA</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (polyurethane foam sector)	IBRD		\$400,000	\$28,000	\$428,000	
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (extruded polystyrene foam sector)	UNIDO		\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (extruded polystyrene foam sector)	Germany		\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
<b>PRODUCTION</b>						
<b>MB closure</b>						
Sector plan for methyl bromide production sector (fourth tranche) <i>Approved on the understanding that the Government of China would continue to use existing balances to undertake activities for the phase-out of MB production, and that all project activities will be completed no later than 31 December 2018. The Government of China and UNIDO was requested to submit annual reports on the status of implementation the sector plan for the phase-out of MB production and the project completion report to the Executive Committee no later than the first meeting in 2019.</i>	UNIDO		\$1,790,000	\$134,000	\$1,924,000	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (room and air-conditioner and domestic heat pump sectors)	UNIDO		\$300,000	\$21,000	\$321,000	
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (industrial and commercial refrigeration sector)	UNDP		\$330,000	\$23,100	\$353,100	
<b>SOLVENT</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (solvents sector)	UNDP		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) (industrial and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning sector plan)	UNDP	27.5	\$11,075,000	\$775,250	\$11,850,250	
<i>The Treasurer was requested to offset future transfers to UNDP by US\$87,093 representing interest accrued by the Government of China up to 31 December 2013 from funds previously transferred for the implementation of the ICR sector plan as per decision 69/24.</i>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (refrigeration servicing sector and enabling programme)	UNEP		\$217,600	\$28,288	\$245,888	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (refrigeration servicing sector and enabling programme)	Germany		\$46,900	\$6,097	\$52,997	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (refrigeration servicing sector and enabling programme)	Japan		\$25,500	\$3,315	\$28,815	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) (extruded polystyrene foam sector plan)	UNIDO	75.0	\$6,330,000	\$443,100	\$6,773,100	
<i>The Treasurer was requested to offset future transfers to UNIDO by US\$43,782 representing additional interest accrued by the Government of China in 2012 and interest accrued up to 31 December 2013 from funds previously transferred for the implementation of the XPS foam sector plan for China in line with decision 69/24.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) (room air-conditioner manufacturing sector plan)	UNIDO	410.9	\$9,625,000	\$673,750	\$10,298,750	
<i>The Treasurer was requested to offset future transfers to UNIDO by US\$67,293 representing additional interest accrued by the Government of China in 2012 and interest accrued up to 31 December 2013 from funds previously transferred for the implementation of the RAC sector plan for China in line with decision 69/24.</i>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II) (overarching strategy)	UNDP		\$200,000	\$14,000	\$214,000	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) (polyurethane rigid foam sector plan)	IBRD	76.7	\$4,079,000	\$285,530	\$4,364,530	
<i>The Treasurer was requested to offset future transfers to the World Bank by US\$5,195 representing interest accrued by the Government of China up to 31 December 2013 from funds previously transferred for the implementation of the PU foam sector plan for China as per decision 69/24.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNDP		\$390,000	\$27,300	\$417,300	
<b>Total for China</b>		<b>590.1</b>	<b>\$35,289,000</b>	<b>\$2,500,530</b>	<b>\$37,789,530</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>COTE D'IVOIRE</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$106,340	\$0	\$106,340	
<b>Total for Cote D'Ivoire</b>			<b>\$106,340</b>		<b>\$106,340</b>	
<b>CUBA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$162,473	\$12,185	\$174,658	
<i>Approved on the understanding that Cuba assumed all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing.</i>						
<b>Total for Cuba</b>			<b>\$162,473</b>	<b>\$12,185</b>	<b>\$174,658</b>	
<b>DOMINICAN REPUBLIC</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$134,333	\$0	\$134,333	
<b>Total for Dominican Republic</b>			<b>\$134,333</b>		<b>\$134,333</b>	
<b>EGYPT</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)	UNIDO		\$60,000	\$4,200	\$64,200	
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (refrigeration sector)	UNIDO		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$70,000	\$4,900	\$74,900	
<b>Total for Egypt</b>			<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$22,200</b>	<b>\$322,200</b>	
<b>ETHIOPIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Ethiopia</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

## FIJI

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$37,900	\$3,411	\$41,311	
--	------	--	----------	---------	----------	--

*Approved on an exceptional basis, and on the understanding that approval of further funding would be subject to issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report being satisfactorily addressed. Noted that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 5.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using the revised consumption of 5.12 ODP tonnes and 6.41 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, which the Government of Fiji would submit to the Ozone Secretariat for a request to revise the baseline consumption for compliance under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol; that, on the basis of the revised starting point, and in line with decision 60/44, the overall amount of funding for stage I of the HPMP would be adjusted to US\$315,000, plus agency support costs, consisting of US\$189,500 plus agency support costs of US\$17,055 for UNDP, and US\$125,500 plus agency support costs of US\$16,315 for UNEP; that the 2013 consumption reported by the Government under Article 7 of 7.67 ODP tonnes exceeded the maximum allowable consumption of 5.77 ODP tonnes by 1.9 ODP tonnes, as set out in row 1.2 of the revised Agreement, as it deducted consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned ships; that the Government had an established licensing system to control the import and export of HCFCs, had committed to meeting the targets in its revised Agreement with the Executive Committee, had excluded the consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned ships as exports in its annual report under Article 7 in 2013, and affirmed that its 2009 and 2010 consumption data would be revised to deduct the consumption for bulk sales to foreign-flagged vessels, which had initially been reported as domestic consumption for these years; and that the Agreement had been updated on the basis of the revised HCFC starting point. The Government of Fiji was encouraged to return to compliance with the targets in the agreement as soon as possible and no later than 2015.*

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved on an exceptional basis, and on the understanding that approval of further funding would be subject to issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report being satisfactorily addressed. Noted that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 5.77 ODP tonnes, calculated using the revised consumption of 5.12 ODP tonnes and 6.41 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 2010, respectively, which the Government of Fiji would submit to the Ozone Secretariat for a request to revise the baseline consumption for compliance under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol; that, on the basis of the revised starting point, and in line with decision 60/44, the overall amount of funding for stage I of the HPMP would be adjusted to US\$315,000, plus agency support costs, consisting of US\$189,500 plus agency support costs of US\$17,055 for UNDP, and US\$125,500 plus agency support costs of US\$16,315 for UNEP; that the 2013 consumption reported by the Government under Article 7 of 7.67 ODP tonnes exceeded the maximum allowable consumption of 5.77 ODP tonnes by 1.9 ODP tonnes, as set out in row 1.2 of the revised Agreement, as it deducted consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned ships; that the Government had an established licensing system to control the import and export of HCFCs, had committed to meeting the targets in its revised Agreement with the Executive Committee, had excluded the consumption of ODS used for bulk sales to foreign-owned ships as exports in its annual report under Article 7 in 2013, and affirmed that its 2009 and 2010 consumption data would be revised to deduct the consumption for bulk sales to foreign-flagged vessels, which had initially been reported as domestic consumption for these years; and that the Agreement had been updated on the basis of the revised HCFC starting point. The Government of Fiji was encouraged to return to compliance with the targets in the agreement as soon as possible and no later than 2015.</i></p>	UNEP		\$24,400	\$3,172	\$27,572	
	<b>Total for Fiji</b>		<b>\$62,300</b>	<b>\$6,583</b>	<b>\$68,883</b>	
<b>GABON</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)</p> <p><i>Approved on the understanding that Gabon assumes all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing. Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 30.2 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 29.7 ODP tonnes and 30.6 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i></p>	UNEP		\$46,000	\$5,980	\$51,980	
	<b>Total for Gabon</b>		<b>\$46,000</b>	<b>\$5,980</b>	<b>\$51,980</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>GUATEMALA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	UNIDO		\$30,000	\$2,700	\$32,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Guatemala and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Guatemala</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>\$32,700</b>	
<b>GUINEA-BISSAU</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Guinea-Bissau</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>GUYANA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<b>Total for Guyana</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>HAITI</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Haiti and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Haiti</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>HONDURAS</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	UNIDO		\$30,000	\$2,700	\$32,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Honduras and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>Total for Honduras</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>\$32,700</b>	
<b>IRAQ</b>						
<b>REFRIGERATION</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (air-conditioning sector)	UNIDO		\$30,000	\$2,100	\$32,100	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$45,000	\$5,850	\$50,850	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$25,000	\$1,750	\$26,750	
<b>Total for Iraq</b>			<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$9,700</b>	<b>\$109,700</b>	
<b>JORDAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase XI: 1/2015-12/2016)	IBRD		\$147,333	\$10,313	\$157,646	
<b>Total for Jordan</b>			<b>\$147,333</b>	<b>\$10,313</b>	<b>\$157,646</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	

## KOREA, DPR

### PHASE-OUT PLAN

#### HCFC phase out plan

HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-141b in polyurethane foam sector at Pyongyang Sonbong and Puhung Building Materials)	UNIDO	2.8	\$83,517	\$5,846	\$89,363	
---	-------	-----	----------	---------	----------	--

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline on the understanding that approval was without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non compliance. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 78.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 61.8 ODP tonnes and 94.1 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol; and the commitment of the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, no later than 1 January 2018. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 20.03 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. UNIDO was further requested to report to the first meeting in 2015 on the results of the consultations with the UN Security Council Committee regarding equipment items considered unlikely to be prohibited by UN resolutions but for which additional information was collected by UNIDO to determine that with certainty. In the event that there are items not cleared by the UN Security Council Committee, UNIDO was requested to present an alternative action plan to address the associated HCFC consumption. UNIDO and UNEP were requested to follow an approach similar to that taken for the first tranche of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in terms of compliance with the resolutions of the UN Security Council, the modality of disbursement, organizational structures and monitoring procedures when submitting and implementing future tranches of the HPMP.*

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (policy, and refrigeration servicing)	UNEP		\$43,500	\$5,655	\$49,155	

*Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline on the understanding that approval was without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non compliance. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 78.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 61.8 ODP tonnes and 94.1 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol; and the commitment of the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, no later than 1 January 2018. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 20.03 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. UNIDO was further requested to report to the first meeting in 2015 on the results of the consultations with the UN Security Council Committee regarding equipment items considered unlikely to be prohibited by UN resolutions but for which additional information was collected by UNIDO to determine that with certainty. In the event that there are items not cleared by the UN Security Council Committee, UNIDO was requested to present an alternative action plan to address the associated HCFC consumption. UNIDO and UNEP were requested to follow an approach similar to that taken for the first tranche of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in terms of compliance with the resolutions of the UN Security Council, the modality of disbursement, organizational structures and monitoring procedures when submitting and implementing future tranches of the HPMP.*

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, first tranche) (refrigeration servicing and monitoring)	UNIDO		\$40,183	\$2,813	\$42,996	
<p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2018 to reduce HCFC consumption by 15 per cent of the baseline on the understanding that approval was without prejudice to the operation of the Montreal Protocol's mechanism for addressing non compliance. Noted that the Government had agreed to establish as its starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption the baseline of 78.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 61.8 ODP tonnes and 94.1 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under the Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol; and the commitment of the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to ban imports of HCFC-141b, both pure and contained in pre-blended polyols, no later than 1 January 2018. UNIDO, UNEP and the Government were requested to deduct 20.03 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption. UNIDO was further requested to report to the first meeting in 2015 on the results of the consultations with the UN Security Council Committee regarding equipment items considered unlikely to be prohibited by UN resolutions but for which additional information was collected by UNIDO to determine that with certainty. In the event that there are items not cleared by the UN Security Council Committee, UNIDO was requested to present an alternative action plan to address the associated HCFC consumption. UNIDO and UNEP were requested to follow an approach similar to that taken for the first tranche of the HPMP for the Democratic People's Republic of Korea in terms of compliance with the resolutions of the UN Security Council, the modality of disbursement, organizational structures and monitoring procedures when submitting and implementing future tranches of the HPMP.</i></p>						
	<b>Total for Korea, DPR</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>\$167,200</b>	<b>\$14,314</b>	<b>\$181,514</b>	
<b>KYRGYZSTAN</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$115,830	\$0	\$115,830	
	<b>Total for Kyrgyzstan</b>		<b>\$115,830</b>		<b>\$115,830</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>LEBANON</b>						
<b>DESTRUCTION</b>						
<b>Demonstration</b>						
Pilot demonstration project on ODS waste management and disposal	UNIDO		\$123,475	\$11,113	\$134,588	9.69
<i>Approved on the understanding that no further funds would be available for Lebanon for any ODS disposal projects in future; and that any marketing of GHG emission reductions that may be generated by or associated with the project would be subject to a decision by the Executive Committee. The Government of Lebanon, through UNIDO, was requested to establish a monitoring system for the operation of, and the activities associated with, the ODS waste management and disposal demonstration project, and UNIDO was requested to report thereon to the Executive Committee at the completion of the project in 2016, ensuring that no marketing of GHG emission reductions had taken place.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 4/2015-3/2017)	UNDP		\$155,090	\$10,856	\$165,946	
<b>Total for Lebanon</b>			<b>\$278,565</b>	<b>\$21,969</b>	<b>\$300,534</b>	
<b>LESOTHO</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	Germany		\$68,000	\$8,840	\$76,840	
<i>Approved on the understanding that Lesotho assumes all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing. Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 3.5 ODP tonnes, calculated using actual consumption of 3.8 ODP tonnes and 3.1 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	Germany		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Lesotho and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Lesotho</b>			<b>\$158,000</b>	<b>\$12,740</b>	<b>\$170,740</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>MADAGASCAR</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$60,700	\$0	\$60,700	
<b>Total for Madagascar</b>			<b>\$60,700</b>		<b>\$60,700</b>	
<b>MALDIVES</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Maldives and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Maldives</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>MAURITIUS</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase IV: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Mauritius</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>MEXICO</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche) (refrigeration servicing, technical assistance and monitoring)	UNIDO	1.8	\$120,000	\$9,000	\$129,000	
<i>Noted that the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee was updated to reflect a different consumption target for 2018.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche) (cleaning agent phase-out in refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO	19.8	\$673,009	\$47,111	\$720,120	
<i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2022 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Mexico to issue a ban on import of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2022; and that the Government of Mexico has committed to reduce HCFC consumption by 35 per cent of the baseline in 2018, 50 per cent in 2020, and 67.5 per cent in 2022. UNIDO, UNEP, the Governments of Germany, Italy and Mexico were requested to deduct a total of additional 533.6 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, including 28.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in exported pre-blended polyols.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche) (phase-out of HCFC-22 and HCFC-141b in solvent)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2022 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Mexico to issue a ban on import of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2022; and that the Government of Mexico has committed to reduce HCFC consumption by 35 per cent of the baseline in 2018, 50 per cent in 2020, and 67.5 per cent in 2022. UNIDO, UNEP, the Governments of Germany, Italy and Mexico were requested to deduct a total of additional 533.6 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, including 28.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in exported pre-blended polyols.</i></p>	UNIDO	39.6	\$1,731,403	\$121,198	\$1,852,601	4.30
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche) (HC demonstration and training)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2022 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Mexico to issue a ban on import of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2022; and that the Government of Mexico has committed to reduce HCFC consumption by 35 per cent of the baseline in 2018, 50 per cent in 2020, and 67.5 per cent in 2022. UNIDO, UNEP, the Governments of Germany, Italy and Mexico were requested to deduct a total of additional 533.6 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, including 28.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in exported pre-blended polyols.</i></p>	Germany	4.0	\$325,000	\$40,750	\$365,750	4.48
<p>HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II, first tranche) (reclamation of HCFC refrigerants)</p> <p><i>Approved in accordance with the Agreement between the Government and the Executive Committee for the period 2014 to 2022 to reduce HCFC consumption by 67.5 per cent of the baseline. Noted the commitment of the Government of Mexico to issue a ban on import of HCFC-141b by 1 January 2022; and that the Government of Mexico has committed to reduce HCFC consumption by 35 per cent of the baseline in 2018, 50 per cent in 2020, and 67.5 per cent in 2022. UNIDO, UNEP, the Governments of Germany, Italy and Mexico were requested to deduct a total of additional 533.6 ODP tonnes of HCFCs from the starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption, including 28.6 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in exported pre-blended polyols.</i></p>	Italy		\$458,191	\$59,565	\$517,756	4.50
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase XII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNIDO		\$247,000	\$17,290	\$264,290	
	<b>Total for Mexico</b>	<b>65.2</b>	<b>\$3,554,603</b>	<b>\$294,914</b>	<b>\$3,849,517</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>MOLDOVA, REP</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	UNDP		\$30,000	\$2,700	\$32,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between the Republic of Moldova and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Moldova, Rep</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$2,700</b>	<b>\$32,700</b>	
<b>MOROCCO</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)	UNIDO		\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$70,000	\$4,900	\$74,900	
<b>Total for Morocco</b>			<b>\$170,000</b>	<b>\$11,900</b>	<b>\$181,900</b>	
<b>MOZAMBIQUE</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
<i>Noted that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption would be 8.7 ODP tonnes, calculated using revised consumption of 8.68 ODP tonnes for 2009 and 8.7 ODP tonnes reported for 2010, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, and that the revised level of funding for stage I of the HPMP would be US\$332,500, in line with decision 60/44(f)(xii), if the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved the change in the HCFC consumption for 2009 at their 26th meeting. Approved on the condition that the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved at their 26th meeting the change of the HCFC consumption for 2009 as specified above, and that the Treasurer would not transfer the funding approved to UNEP until then. The Secretariat was requested to update the Agreement between the Government of Mozambique and the Executive Committee, based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and submit it together with the funding request for the third tranche of the HPMP, if the Parties to the Montreal Protocol approved the change in the HCFC consumption for 2009 at their 26th meeting. In the event that the Parties to the Montreal Protocol do not approve the change of the HCFC consumption for 2009, UNEP was requested to resubmit the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Mozambique to the 74th meeting. Noted that the approval of the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP for Mozambique is on the understanding that Mozambique assumed all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>Total for Mozambique</b>			<b>\$35,000</b>	<b>\$4,550</b>	<b>\$39,550</b>	
<b>MYANMAR</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Myanmar and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Myanmar</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>NAMIBIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	Germany		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Namibia and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Namibia</b>			<b>\$90,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$93,900</b>	
<b>NICARAGUA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Nicaragua and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Nicaragua</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>NIGERIA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNDP		\$503,829	\$37,787	\$541,616	
<i>Approved on the understanding that Nigeria assumed all responsibilities and risks associated with retrofitting HCFC based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment to flammable or toxic refrigerants and associated servicing.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNDP		\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
<b>Total for Nigeria</b>			<b>\$763,829</b>	<b>\$55,987</b>	<b>\$819,816</b>	
<b>OMAN</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$27,000	\$3,510	\$30,510	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$33,000	\$2,310	\$35,310	
<b>Total for Oman</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$5,820</b>	<b>\$65,820</b>	
<b>PAKISTAN</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNEP	5.4	\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200	
<i>UNIDO and UNEP were requested to submit to the Executive Committee the project completion report (PCR) for stage I of the HPMP of Pakistan no later than the 75th Meeting; to submit a verification report confirming that the country had met the Montreal Protocol targets for 2015 no later than the first meeting of 2016; and to satisfactorily address the issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report for Pakistan during the preparation of stage II of the HPMP.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, third tranche)	UNIDO	2.0	\$20,000	\$1,500	\$21,500	
<i>UNIDO and UNEP were requested to submit to the Executive Committee the project completion report (PCR) for stage I of the HPMP of Pakistan no later than the 75th Meeting; to submit a verification report confirming that the country had met the Montreal Protocol targets for 2015 no later than the first meeting of 2016; and to satisfactorily address the issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report for Pakistan during the preparation of stage II of the HPMP.</i>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 4/2015-3/2017)	UNDP		\$224,467	\$15,713	\$240,180	
<b>Total for Pakistan</b>			<b>7.4</b>	<b>\$284,467</b>	<b>\$22,413</b>	<b>\$306,880</b>

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>PAPUA NEW GUINEA</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report for stage I of HCFC phase-out management plan	Germany		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Papua New Guinea and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Papua New Guinea</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>PARAGUAY</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Verification report on the implementation of the HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
<i>Approved on the understanding that the verification report should be submitted at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting where the next funding tranche for its HPMP is being sought, in accordance with paragraph 5(b) of the agreement between Paraguay and the Executive Committee for the reduction of consumption of HCFCs.</i>						
<b>Total for Paraguay</b>			<b>\$30,000</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>\$33,900</b>	
<b>PHILIPPINES</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (air-conditioning sector)	IBRD		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	IBRD		\$90,000	\$6,300	\$96,300	
<b>Total for Philippines</b>			<b>\$240,000</b>	<b>\$16,800</b>	<b>\$256,800</b>	
<b>QATAR</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
<i>Approved on the understanding that, while the submission of stage II of the HPMP was expected to contain activities supposed to be funded by the Multilateral Fund, it would also include self-funded activities associated with voluntary reduction of eligibility agreed under stage I, to meet a reduction in HCFC consumption of at least 35 per cent by 2020.</i>						

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$40,000	\$2,800	\$42,800	
<i>Approved on the understanding that, while the submission of stage II of the HPMP was expected to contain activities supposed to be funded by the Multilateral Fund, it would also include self-funded activities associated with voluntary reduction of eligibility agreed under stage I, to meet a reduction in HCFC consumption of at least 35 per cent by 2020.</i>						
<b>Total for Qatar</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$5,400</b>	<b>\$65,400</b>	
<b>SAINT KITTS AND NEVIS</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Saint Kitts and Nevis</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>SAINT LUCIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Saint Lucia</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 11/2014-10/2016)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
<b>Total for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>		<b>\$60,000</b>	
<b>SUDAN</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance for the final phase-out of methyl bromide in the post harvest sector	UNIDO	1.2	\$181,610	\$16,345	\$197,955	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for the Sudan for the phase-out of controlled uses of MB in the country; and that the Government of the Sudan is committed to meeting the complete phase-out of MB by 1 January 2015 by banning imports for controlled MB uses.</i>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) (refrigeration servicing sector)	UNIDO		\$110,000	\$7,700	\$117,700	
<i>Approved on the understanding that approval of further funding will be subject to satisfactorily addressing the issues on the licensing and quota system identified in the verification report. Noted that the Agreement was updated to reflect the change in support costs owing to the new administrative cost regime.</i>						
<b>Total for Sudan</b>			<b>1.2</b>	<b>\$291,610</b>	<b>\$24,045</b>	<b>\$315,655</b>

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>SURINAME</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2014-11/2016)	UNEP		\$73,333	\$0	\$73,333	
<b>Total for Suriname</b>			<b>\$73,333</b>		<b>\$73,333</b>	
<b>SYRIA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of institutional strengthening (phase V: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNIDO		\$203,823	\$14,268	\$218,091	
<b>Total for Syria</b>			<b>\$203,823</b>	<b>\$14,268</b>	<b>\$218,091</b>	
<b>TOGO</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$60,666	\$0	\$60,666	
<b>Total for Togo</b>			<b>\$60,666</b>		<b>\$60,666</b>	
<b>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNDP		\$60,000	\$4,200	\$64,200	
<b>Total for Trinidad and Tobago</b>			<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$4,200</b>	<b>\$64,200</b>	
<b>TUNISIA</b>						
<b>FUMIGANT</b>						
<b>Methyl bromide</b>						
Technical assistance for the final phase-out of methyl bromide in the palm dates sector	Italy	2.2	\$132,743	\$17,257	\$150,000	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Tunisia for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide (MB) in the country; and the Government of Tunisia is committed to meeting the complete phase-out of MB by 1 January 2015 and banning imports for controlled MB uses as of that date.</i>						
Technical assistance for the final phase-out of methyl bromide in the palm dates sector	UNIDO	4.4	\$267,657	\$18,736	\$286,393	
<i>Approved on the understanding that no additional funding will be provided for Tunisia for the phase-out of controlled uses of methyl bromide (MB) in the country; and the Government of Tunisia is committed to meeting the complete phase-out of MB by 1 January 2015 and banning imports for controlled MB uses as of that date.</i>						
<b>Total for Tunisia</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>\$400,400</b>	<b>\$35,993</b>	<b>\$436,393</b>	

## List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>UGANDA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Institutional strengthening (phase II: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$37,226	\$0	\$37,226	
<i>Noted that US\$22,774 of US\$60,000 was deducted in accordance with decision 64/9(c) and that UNEP would return US\$34,741 of balances from phase I of the IS project to the 73rd meeting.</i>						
<b>Total for Uganda</b>			<b>\$37,226</b>		<b>\$37,226</b>	
<b>URUGUAY</b>						
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, fourth tranche)	UNDP	2.3	\$20,000	\$1,500	\$21,500	
<b>Total for Uruguay</b>			<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$1,500</b>	<b>\$21,500</b>	
<b>VENEZUELA</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase XII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNDP		\$285,480	\$19,984	\$305,464	
<b>Total for Venezuela</b>			<b>\$285,480</b>	<b>\$19,984</b>	<b>\$305,464</b>	
<b>VIETNAM</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase X: 7/2015-6/2017)	UNEP		\$118,976	\$0	\$118,976	
<b>Total for Vietnam</b>			<b>\$118,976</b>		<b>\$118,976</b>	
<b>YEMEN</b>						
<b>FOAM</b>						
<b>Preparation of project proposal</b>						
Preparation for HCFC phase-out investment activities (stage II) (foam sector)	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$5,600	\$85,600	
<b>PHASE-OUT PLAN</b>						
<b>HCFC phase out plan</b>						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNEP		\$50,000	\$6,500	\$56,500	
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan (stage II)	UNIDO		\$20,000	\$1,400	\$21,400	
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Ozone unit support</b>						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2015-12/2016)	UNEP		\$169,999	\$0	\$169,999	
<b>Total for Yemen</b>			<b>\$319,999</b>	<b>\$13,500</b>	<b>\$333,499</b>	

# List of projects and activities approved for funding

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds approved (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
<b>GLOBAL</b>						
<b>SEVERAL</b>						
<b>Agency programme</b>						
Core unit budget (2015)	UNIDO		\$0	\$2,026,529	\$2,026,529	
Core unit budget (2015)	UNDP		\$0	\$2,026,529	\$2,026,529	
Core unit budget (2015)	IBRD		\$0	\$1,725,000	\$1,725,000	
Compliance Assistance Programme: 2015 budget	UNEP		\$9,459,000	\$756,720	\$10,215,720	
<p><i>Approved on the understanding that, for the implementation of agreed activities, the expenditure of CAP funds and the responsibilities and placement of staff would remain as submitted, and that, were any changes to be proposed, UNEP would report them to the Executive Committee as soon as possible for its consideration and a decision thereon. UNEP was requested to report to the 74th meeting actions taken to implement the recommendations 1, 3, 4, and 7, taken from the May 2014 report of the United Nations OIOS on the audit of the UNEP OzonAction Branch, in accordance with all existing relevant Executive Committee decisions relating to the operation of UNEP as an implementing agency of the Multilateral Fund. UNEP was further requested, in future submissions of the CAP budget, to continue providing detailed information on the activities for which the global funds would be used; extending the prioritization of funding between CAP budget lines so as to accommodate changing priorities, and to provide details, pursuant to decisions 47/24 and 50/26, on the reallocations made; and reporting on the current post levels of staff and informing the Executive Committee of any changes thereto, particularly with respect to any increased budget allocations.</i></p>						
<b>Total for Global</b>			<b>\$9,459,000</b>	<b>\$6,534,778</b>	<b>\$15,993,778</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>711.1</b>	<b>\$58,755,519</b>	<b>\$10,028,860</b>	<b>\$68,784,379</b>	

## Summary

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/73/62  
Annex X

Sector	Tonnes (ODP)	Funds approved (US\$)		
		Project	Support	Total
<b>BILATERAL COOPERATION</b>				
Foam		\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100
Fumigant	2.2	\$132,743	\$17,257	\$150,000
Phase-out plan	4.0	\$1,043,591	\$134,167	\$1,177,758
<b>TOTAL:</b>	6.2	\$1,246,334	\$160,524	\$1,406,858
<b>INVESTMENT PROJECT</b>				
Fumigant	5.6	\$449,267	\$35,081	\$484,348
Production		\$1,790,000	\$134,000	\$1,924,000
Phase-out plan	699.3	\$38,152,980	\$2,706,832	\$40,859,812
Destruction		\$123,475	\$11,113	\$134,588
<b>TOTAL:</b>	704.9	\$40,515,722	\$2,887,026	\$43,402,748
<b>WORK PROGRAMME AMENDMENT</b>				
Foam		\$1,150,000	\$80,500	\$1,230,500
Refrigeration		\$810,000	\$56,700	\$866,700
Solvent		\$150,000	\$10,500	\$160,500
Phase-out plan		\$1,507,600	\$152,308	\$1,659,908
Several		\$13,375,863	\$6,681,302	\$20,057,165
<b>TOTAL:</b>		\$16,993,463	\$6,981,310	\$23,974,773
<b>Summary by Parties and Implementing Agencies</b>				
Germany	4.0	\$629,900	\$80,387	\$710,287
Italy	2.2	\$590,934	\$76,822	\$667,756
Japan		\$25,500	\$3,315	\$28,815
IBRD	76.7	\$4,866,333	\$2,065,643	\$6,931,976
UNDP	62.9	\$17,409,983	\$3,267,696	\$20,677,679
UNEP	7.8	\$12,258,192	\$883,538	\$13,141,730
UNIDO	557.5	\$22,974,677	\$3,651,459	\$26,626,136
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	711.1	\$58,755,519	\$10,028,860	\$68,784,379

**ADJUSTMENTS ARISING FROM THE 73rd MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE FOR  
BALANCES ON PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES**

Agency	Project Costs (US\$)	Support Costs (US\$)	Total (US\$)
UNDP (per decision 73/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	278,516	43,617	322,133
UNEP (per decision 73/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	694,266	82,926	777,192
UNIDO (per decision 73/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	1,175,630	89,618	1,265,248
World Bank (per decision 73/2(a)(ii)&(iii))	2,199,954	588,970	2,788,924
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,348,366</b>	<b>805,131</b>	<b>5,153,497</b>

**AMOUNTS TO BE WITHHELD PENDING APPROVAL BY THE 26th MEETING OF THE PARTIES ON  
THE CHANGE OF THE HCFC CONSUMPTION FOR 2009**

Agency	Project Costs (US\$)	Support Costs (US\$)	Total (US\$)
UNEP (decision 73/61 (e))	35,000	4,550	39,550

**INTEREST ACCRUED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF CHINA**

Agency	Interest accrued (US\$)
UNDP (decision 73/59(d))	87,093
UNIDO (decision 73/59(b))	43,782
UNIDO (decision 73/59(e))	67,293
World Bank (decision 73/59(c))	5,195

**AMOUNTS WITHHELD FROM RECONCILIATION OF THE ACCOUNTS**

Agency	Amount withheld (US\$)
UNDP (decision 73/67(c)(i))	205,719
UNIDO (decision 73/67(c)(iii))	17,064

**NET ALLOCATIONS EXCLUDING AMOUNT TO BE WITHHELD FROM UNEP BASED ON  
DECISIONS OF THE 73rd MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

Agency	As Approved (US\$)	As Adjusted (US\$)
Germany (1)	710,287	710,287
Italy (2)	667,756	667,756
Japan (2)	28,815	28,815
UNDP	20,677,679	20,062,734
UNEP (3)	13,141,730	12,324,988
UNIDO	26,626,136	25,232,749
World Bank	6,931,976	4,137,857
<b>Total</b>	<b>68,784,379</b>	<b>63,165,186</b>

(1) US \$50,835 to be assigned to 2014 and US \$659,452 to be assigned to 2015 bilateral contributions.

(2) Total amount to be assigned to 2014 bilateral contributions.

(3). Including the amounts to be withheld pending approval by the 26<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Parties on the change of the HCFC consumption for 2009.

## Annex XI

### **UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF GABON AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Gabon (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1 A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 19.63 ODP tonnes prior to 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A . The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances) as the final reduction step under this agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3.
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (the “Targets and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (the “Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country will meet the consumption limits for each of the Substances as indicated in Appendix 2-A. It will also accept independent verification, to be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency, of achievement of these consumption limits as described in sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted tranche implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Report and Plan”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for a tranche implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (the “Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (the “Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on Implementation of the activities in the previous tranche implementation plan in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in sub-paragraph 5(b).

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest phase-down and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A. Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in a Tranche Implementation Plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d). Major changes would relate to reallocations affecting in total 30 per cent or more of the funding of the last approved tranche, issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund, or changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement. Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the Tranche Implementation Report. Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNEP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNIDO has agreed to be cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the IA taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the plan as detailed in the first submission of the HPMP with the changes approved as part of the subsequent tranche submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have entered into a formal agreement regarding planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amounts set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP tonne of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per Appendix 4-A (a), (b), (d) and (e) continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the agreements set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Gabon and the Executive Committee at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	30.2

## APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	30.20	30.20	27.18	27.18	27.18	27.18	27.18	19.63	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	30.20	30.20	27.18	27.18	27.18	27.18	27.18	19.63	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	90,000	0	0	46,000	0	0	50,100	0	50,000	0	54,000	290,100
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	11,700	0	0	5,980	0	0	6,513	0	6,500	0	7,020	37,713
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	130,000	0	0	0	0	0	119,900	0	0	0	0	249,900
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	11,700	0	0	0	0	0	10,791	0	0	0	0	22,491
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	220,000	0	0	46,000	0	0	170,000	0	50,000	0	54,000	540,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	23,400	0	0	5,980	0	0	17,304	0	6,500	0	7,020	60,204
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	243,400	0	0	51,980	0	0	187,304	0	56,500	0	61,020	600,204
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)												10.57
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)												0
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)												19.63

## APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plan will consist of five parts:
  - (a) A narrative report regarding the progress in the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;
  - (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together

with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;

- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken in the next tranche, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall Plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the country and lead implementing agency; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The NOU will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP to UNEP.
2. Monitoring of development of HPMP and verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be assigned to independent local company or to independent local consultants by UNEP.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's phase-out plan;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;

- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall Plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the tranches and the overall Plan as specified in Appendix 4-A as well as project completion reports for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the co-ordinating implementing agencies, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities can be specified in the respective project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

**APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$2,500 per metric tonne of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.



## Annex XII

### **UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF LESOTHO AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Lesotho and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of ODP tonnes 2.27 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.

2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I Substances”) as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 4.1.3 (remaining eligible consumption).

3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).

4. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.

5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:

- (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
- (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
- (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> Meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A.

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in an annual implementation plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d) above. Major changes would relate to issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund; changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement; changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon closure of the last tranche of the plan.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. The Government of Germany has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country's activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations,

which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the overall plan with the changes approved as part of the subsequent submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Lesotho and the Executive Committee at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	3.5

## APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	2.27	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	3.5	3.5	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	2.27	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (Germany) agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	0	0	68,000	0	0	84,000	0	0	28,000	280,000
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	13,000	0	0	8,840	0	0	10,920	0	0	3,640	36,400
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	100,000	0	0	68,000	0	0	84,000	0	0	28,000	280,000
3.2	Total support cost (US \$)	13,000	0	0	8,840	0	0	10,920	0	0	3,640	36,400
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	113,000	0	0	76,840	0	0	94,920	0	0	31,640	316,400
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											1.23
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											-
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											2.27

## APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report regarding the progress since the approval of the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together

with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;

- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the Country and the Lead IA; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. There are only limited funds available under this phase of the HPMP that does not allow for a full time monitoring officer. The project has decided to either use the services of the National Ozone Unit where feasible and possible or to hire a consultant for specific monitoring if and when required.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
- (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;

- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

### Annex XIII

#### **UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF MEXICO AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Mexico and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 746.72 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2018 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I Substances”) as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances which exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees in principle to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least 60 days prior to the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which the hydrochlorofluorocarbons phase-out management plan (HPMP) was approved. Exempt are years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee Meeting at which the funding request is being presented;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, except if the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year, that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches, and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted and received approval from the Executive Committee for an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> Meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A.

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance in an annual implementation plan and approved by the Executive Committee as described in sub-paragraph 5(d) above. Major changes would relate to issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund; changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement; changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the annual implementation report;
- (c) Any enterprise to be converted to non HCFC technology included in the approved HPMP and that would be found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (i.e., due to foreign ownership or establishment post the 21 September 2007 cut-off date), will not receive assistance. This information would be reported to the Executive Committee as part of the Annual Implementation Plan;
- (d) The Country commits to examining the possibility of using pre-blended hydrocarbon systems instead of blending them in-house, for those foam enterprises covered under the umbrella project, should this be technically viable, economically feasible and acceptable to the enterprises; and
- (e) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNDP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for carrying out the activities of the overall plan with the changes approved as part of the subsequent submissions, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time activities be still outstanding which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A continue until the time of the completion if not specified by the Executive Committee otherwise.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Mexico and the Executive Committee at the 64<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	392.8
HCFC-141b	C	I	820.6
HCFC-142b	C	I	1.0
HCFC-123	C	I	0.3
HCFC-124	C	I	0.1
Total	C	I	1,214.8

**APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING**

Row	Particulars	2009	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,148.8	1,148.8	1,033.9	1,033.9	1,033.9	1,033.9	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,148.8	1,148.8	1,033.9	1,033.9	1,033.9	746.72	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	0	2,792,526	695,011	578,341	120,000	226,317	0	0	0	4,412,195
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	0	209,439	52,126	43,376	9,000	16,974	0	0	0	330,915
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	2,428,987	2,502,526	3,800,000	3,800,000	0	1,122,503	0	0	0	13,654,016
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	182,174	187,689	285,000	285,000	0	84,188	0	0	0	1,024,051
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	2,428,987	5,295,052	4,495,011	4,378,341	120,000	1,348,820	0	0	0	18,066,211
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	182,174	397,128	337,126	328,376	9,000	101,162	0	0	0	1,354,966
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	2,611,161*	5,692,180**	4,832,137	4,706,717	129,000	1,449,982	0	0	0	19,421,177
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)										4.7
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)*										20.1
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)										368.0
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)										345.8
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)**										46.7
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)										428.1
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)										1.0
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)										0.3
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)										0.0
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)										0.1

\* Approved at the 59<sup>th</sup> meeting for UNDP for Mabe.

\*\* US \$559,985 approved at the 63rd meeting for UNIDO for Silimex.

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval not earlier than the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report regarding the progress since the approval of the previous tranche, reflecting on the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it and how they relate to each other. The report should further highlight successes, experiences and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting on changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information about and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted tranche plan, such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information about activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting their interdependence, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain any revisions to the overall plan which were found to be necessary;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for the report and plan, submitted into a database. As per the relevant decisions of the Executive Committee in respect to the format required, the data should be submitted online. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), and will cover the same time periods and activities; it will also capture the quantitative information regarding any necessary revisions of the overall plan as per sub-paragraph 1(c) above. While the quantitative information is required only for previous and future years, the format will include the option to submit in addition information regarding the current year if desired by the Country and the Lead IA; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT) is in charge of the protection, restoration and conservation of all ecosystems, natural resources and environmental services in order to promote a sustainable development. It is also responsible for carrying out national policies regarding climate change and ozone layer protection. The National Ozone Unit (under SEMARNAT) monitors the consumption and production of all ozone depleting substances (ODS) through regional teams. Inspections at converted companies to non-ODS technologies are foreseen to ensure the non uses of ODS after project completion.
2. The Government of Mexico has offered and intends to offer continuity of activities and endorsement for the projects through the institutional support over the next years. This will guarantee the success of any activity approved for Mexico.
3. Close monitoring of all activities and coordination between stakeholders is an essential element of the HPMP and key to reach compliance. There will be regular coordination meetings with industry stakeholders, HCFC importers, Government stakeholders (i.e., Ministries of Economy, Energy and Health), various industrial associations, and all sectors involved, in order to enact the necessary agreements and measures to carry out the investment and non-investment activities on time and in a coordinated manner. In the manufacturing sector the implementation process and the achievement of the phase-out will be monitored through site visits at enterprise level.
4. Yearly monitoring will be carried out through the ODS licensing and quota system. Verification site visits will be undertaken by independent international experts.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These can be specified in the project document further, but include at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;

- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent organization to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan further, but include at least the following:

- (a) Providing policy development assistance when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$87 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.

## Annex XIV

### **UPDATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE SUDAN AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Sudan (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 36.89 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2017 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly; and

- (d) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA with the fees set out in row 2.2 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee and the Lead IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This updated Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of the Sudan and the Executive Committee at the 66<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	11.6
HCFC-141b	C	I	39.0
Total			50.6

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	52.70	52.70	47.43	47.43	47.43	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	n/a	n/a	n/a	52.70	52.70	47.43	42.13	36.89	n/a
2.1	Lead IA UNIDO agreed funding (US \$)	1,056,341	0	250,000	0	110,000	0	0	40,000	1,456,341
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	79,226	0	18,750	0	7,700	0	0	2,800	108,476
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	1,056,341	0	250,000	0	110,000	0	0	40,000	1,456,341
3.2	Total support cost	79,226	0	18,750	0	7,700	0	0	2,800	108,476
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	1,135,567*	0	268,750	0	117,700	0	0	42,800	1,564,817
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									4.28
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									0.00
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)									7.32
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)									0
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)									11.87*
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)									27.13

\* Approved at the 62<sup>nd</sup> meeting for four enterprises manufacturing insulation foam and herewith subsumed into this Agreement.

### APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

## APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and
- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

## **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The National Ozone Unit (NOU) will appoint a national institution to monitor all activities of the HPMP. This institute will submit annual progress reports of status of implementation of the HPMP through the NOU to the Lead IA.
2. Project Management Unit (National Project Officer) will coordinate daily work of the project implementation and also assist the enterprises as well as Government and non-government institutions and organizations to streamline their activities for smooth implementation of the project and help the Government with monitoring the progress of implementation, and reporting to the Executive Committee.
3. Verification of the achievement of the performance targets, specified in the Plan, will be undertaken, upon specific request of the Executive Committee, by an independent local company or independent local consultants contracted by the Lead IA.

## **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
  - (i) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
  - (j) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and

(k) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$200 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.



## Annex XV

### VIEWS EXPRESSED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ON RENEWAL OF INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING PROJECTS SUBMITTED TO THE 73<sup>rd</sup> MEETING

#### **Algeria**

1. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the institutional strengthening (IS) project renewal for Algeria and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it has sustained the total phase-out of CFC and halon, and complied with the HCFC freeze target in 2013. The Executive Committee is pleased that despite a delay, the position of national ozone coordinator was filled and that the national ozone unit (NOU) is now operating effectively. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that in the next two years Algeria will continue the implementation of its effective licensing and quota system, information dissemination and awareness raising, and data reporting, and will coordinate the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities with success.

#### **Antigua and Barbuda**

2. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal for Antigua and Barbuda and notes with appreciation that the country has reported Article 7 data for 2013 to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the HCFC freeze target in 2013. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that Antigua and Barbuda will submit the second tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) in 2015, and that in the next two years the country will continue the implementation of its HCFC phase-out activities with success.

#### **Armenia**

3. The Executive Committee noted the progress achieved by Armenia through the implementation of its IS project. Furthermore the Executive Committee recognizes that the NOU acting within Ministry of Environment administrative structure has demonstrated dedication to the targets of the programme and extended its fullest cooperation to the implementing agencies in order to achieve compliance with the HCFC phase-out schedule. The Executive Committee is therefore hopeful that, in the next two years, Armenia will continue its efforts to implement the country's HPMP, in order to ensure the country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol's HCFC reduction targets. In particular the Executive Committee expects that the tranche of the HPMP submitted to the 73<sup>rd</sup> meeting but subsequently withdrawn would be submitted to the 74<sup>th</sup> meeting.

#### **Bolivia (Plurinational State of)**

4. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for the Plurinational State of Bolivia, and notes with appreciation that the country ratified the Beijing Amendment in 2013, and has reported consumption data to the Ozone Secretariat and indicating that the Party maintained compliance with the Montreal Protocol's control measures. The Executive Committee recognizes the efforts of the Plurinational State of Bolivia with respect to ODS phase-out activities but notes the frequent rotation of the national ozone officer and the need to fully integrate ozone protection issues into the national plans in order to achieve future HCFC phase-out targets as planned. The Executive Committee encourages the Government of the Plurinational State of Bolivia to assure the continuity of the national ozone officer and is hopeful that with the activities to be carried out in the next

phase of the IS project that the country will achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption in 2015.

### **Brunei Darussalam**

5. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for Brunei Darussalam and notes with appreciation that the country reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption by 2013. The Executive Committee acknowledges with appreciation that Brunei Darussalam has a well structured licensing and quota system in place and that stage I of the HPMP has been implemented in an efficient and timely manner. The Executive Committee is confident that Brunei Darussalam will continue activities both at the project and policy levels to enable the country to meet the 10 per cent reduction of its HCFC consumption in 2015.

### **China**

6. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for phase XI for China, and noted with appreciation the fact that China has successfully sustained the phase-out of CFCs, except for the quantities approved under essential-use exemptions (CFC for metered-dose inhalers, CTC, and methyl bromide). The Executive Committee also noted with appreciation China's progress on HCFC phase-out. The Committee noted that China is implementing policies and regulations for controlling and monitoring ODS use and has strengthened coordination among various agencies as well as industry associations on project implementation. During the phase XI of the IS project, China will continue to strengthen its national management capacity to effectively implement and monitor activities for achieving ODS phase-out targets to achieve the Montreal Protocol's 2015 HCFC phase-out target. China will continue to enforce policies and regulations targeted at achieving and sustaining ODS phase-out, and to further increase awareness, secure stakeholders' commitment to ODS phase-out activities mainly related to HCFCs, and to secure funding to implement stage II of the country's HPMP activities for achieving the 2020 targets. The Executive Committee expresses the expectation that, in the next two years, China will sustain and build on the progress achieved in its ODS phase-out activities, particularly to achieve compliance with the Montreal Protocol's upcoming HCFC control measures.

### **Côte d'Ivoire**

7. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS renewal request for Côte d'Ivoire and notes with appreciation the fact that the country reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it is in compliance with the freeze in HCFC consumption as required by the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee congratulates Côte d'Ivoire for its efforts to reduce the consumption of HCFCs and the progress made in the first tranche of stage I of the HPMP but notes that the submission of the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP had been delayed. The Executive Committee is nonetheless hopeful that, in the next two years, Côte d'Ivoire will continue the implementation of the licensing and quota system, the HPMP and IS projects to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Dominican Republic (the)**

8. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for the Dominican Republic and notes with appreciation that the country has reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat demonstrating that the Party has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption at its

baseline by 2013. The Committee also notes the effective relationship that now exists amongst the NOU, customs, and other enforcement officers, and that the country has assigned a full team to the national ozone office and recognizes the continued commitment of the Government to have a high level official managing Montreal Protocol activities. With the activities planned for the next phase, the Executive Committee is hopeful that the Dominican Republic will maintain the momentum and achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption as required by the Montreal Protocol in 2015.

### **Ethiopia**

9. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for Ethiopia and notes with appreciation that Ethiopia has reported Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption in 2013 and is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also notes with appreciation the operationalization of the country's licensing system and is therefore hopeful that, in the next phase of the IS project, the country will continue the implementation of its planned HCFC phase-out activities in order to achieve the 10 per cent HCFC consumption reduction step in 2015.

### **Guinea-Bissau**

10. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Guinea Bissau and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it is in compliance with the freeze in HCFC consumption required by the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee recognizes the country's success in controlling the imports of HCFCs within the framework of the Union économique et monétaire ouest-africaine (UEMOA), and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Guinea Bissau will implement its country programme activities and HCFC phase-out activities with success and will achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Jordan**

11. The Executive Committee reviewed the terminal report presented with the IS project renewal request for the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and noted with appreciation the commitment that Jordan has shown to the Montreal Protocol over the years. In particular, the Committee congratulates Jordan for putting into place a licensing and quota system specific to HCFCs which appears, based on its data reporting, to have permitted the country to meet the target to freeze HCFC consumption in 2013. The Executive Committee looks forward to Jordan accelerating the implementation of HCFC phase-out in the HCFC-based manufacturing enterprises to complement the efforts made at the national level in meeting the compliance targets of the Montreal Protocol.

### **Kyrgyzstan**

12. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Kyrgyzstan and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption as required by the Montreal Protocol in 2013. The Executive Committee recognizes the continuing commitment of the country to implement its ODS phase-out activities and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Kyrgyzstan will continue the implementation of its IS project and HCFC phase-out activities with success to achieve the 10 per cent HCFC consumption reduction step in 2015.

### **Lebanon**

13. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for phase IX for Lebanon, and noted with appreciation the continued success of Lebanon's ODS phase-out activities and its achievement of complete phase-out of ODS, except HCFCs. In particular, it noted that the NOU of Lebanon has been working very closely with industrial sectors, government and non-governmental bodies and the public on achieving its ODS phase-out targets and that this would also help the country to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption in 2015. The Executive Committee also noted with appreciation the efforts of Lebanon in building on its stakeholder network and knowledge for the implementation of the country's HPMP. The Committee hopes that in the next two years Lebanon will continue to build on the progress made and experience gained in achieving the first HCFC phase-out target and that it will continue to strengthen its national capacity, enforce ODS control measures to sustain ODS phase-out and achieve compliance with the 2015 control measure for HCFCs.

### **Lesotho**

14. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS project renewal request for Lesotho and notes with appreciation the fact that Lesotho reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it is in compliance with reduction targets for all ODS. The Executive Committee further noted that Lesotho has taken initiatives, namely the implementation of a licensing and quota system to control ODS imports, and the training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee expressed the expectation that, in the next two years, Lesotho will continue the implementation of the licensing system, HCFC phase-out, technical assistance and non-investment programmes with progress, and sustain and build upon its current levels of reduction in ODS especially the 10 per cent reduction step in HCFC consumption in 2015.

### **Madagascar**

15. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal for Madagascar and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has sustained the total phase-out of CFC and halon, and has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption at the baseline level in 2013. The Executive Committee is hopeful that, in the next two years, Madagascar will continue with the implementation of the IS project and the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP with success in order to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015 in as required by the Montreal Protocol.

### **Mauritius**

16. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS project renewal request for Mauritius and notes with appreciation the fact that Mauritius reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it is in compliance with all the ODS reduction targets as set out by the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee further notes that Mauritius has taken steps to phase-out its consumption of ODS including the implementation of ODS imports controls through a licensing and quota system, and training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee appreciates the efforts of Mauritius and expects that, in the next two years, Mauritius will continue the implementation of the licensing and quota system, and HPMP, in order to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

## **Mexico**

17. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Mexico and noted with appreciation that Mexico is in compliance with its reporting obligations. The Executive Committee also noted that within the framework of the IS project, Mexico has strengthened the capacity of the NOU in terms of controlling HCFCs. The Executive Committee noted that the NOU's priority is to follow-up with the improvement of HCFC controls, and to implement projects and quota system to allow the country to meet the 30 per cent reduction target in 2018, as per the approved phase-out schedule in the HPMP for Mexico, and/or any other reduction targets agreed with the Executive Committee of the Montreal Protocol in the context of the approval of stage II of the HPMP.

## **Namibia**

18. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS project renewal request for Namibia and notes with appreciation the fact that Namibia reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country is in compliance with the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee further notes that Namibia has taken steps to address ODS phase-out including the implementation of HCFC imports controls through a licensing and quota system, and training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians. The Executive Committee appreciates the efforts of Namibia and expects that, in the next two years, Namibia will continue the implementation of the licensing and quota system, the HPMP, and will achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015 as required by the Montreal Protocol.

## **Nigeria**

19. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS renewal request for Nigeria. The Executive Committee noted with appreciation the achievements of Nigeria during the implementation of phase VII of the IS project, particularly the effective operationalization of the HCFC quota system and the achievement of the freeze of HCFC consumption at the baseline level in 2013, as per its commitment under the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee encourages Nigeria to continue strengthening the implementation of the quota system and pursue the effective completion of the stage I of the country's HPMP to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

## **Pakistan**

20. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for phase VIII for Pakistan, and noted with appreciation the fact that since 2013 Pakistan has successfully phased out CFCs for the manufacture of metered-dose inhaler. The Committee further noted with appreciation that through the HCFC import quota and as a result of the efficient coordination with customs, key government agencies and industries, Pakistan has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption at the baseline for compliance by 2013 and is on track to achieve the target to reduce HCFC consumption by 10 per cent in 2014, ahead of the agreed Montreal Protocol schedule. The Executive Committee appreciates the efforts of Pakistan to sustain complete phase-out of the majority of ODS and systematically move forward to achieve HCFC phase-out. The Executive Committee hopes that in the next two years Pakistan will continue to strengthen national capacity for the implementation of its country programme in order to sustain ODS and HCFC phase-out and to achieve its commitments under the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee also hopes that Pakistan will continue to build on its experience of CFC phase-out and successfully meet the future HCFC control measures.

### **Saint Kitts and Nevis**

21. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for Saint Kitts and Nevis and notes with appreciation that the country reported 2013 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat demonstrating that the Party has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption in 2013. The Executive Committee acknowledges with appreciation that Saint Kitts and Nevis continues its commitment to strengthen and enforce its licensing and quota systems. The Executive Committee is confident that Saint Kitts and Nevis will maintain the freeze in HCFC consumption and will achieve the 10 per cent reduction step by 2015 with success.

### **Saint Lucia**

22. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Saint Lucia and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved HCFC freeze target in 2013. The Executive Committee recognizes that the NOU has been proactive in the implementation of stage I of the HPMP and that the licensing system and quota system for HCFCs are effective and operational. The Executive Committee is hopeful that, in the next two years, Saint Lucia will continue the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities through the IS and HCFC phase-out projects with success in order to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Saint Vincent and the Grenadines**

23. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Saint Vincent and the Grenadines and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption by 2013. The Executive Committee recognizes the progress made especially in the development and enforcement of the licensing and quota systems, and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines will continue the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities with success in order to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Suriname**

24. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal for Suriname and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the HCFC freeze target in 2013. The Executive Committee notes that there had been some changes in the NOU and administrative issues that delayed the submission of the second tranche of stage I of the HPMP. The Executive Committee is nonetheless hopeful that, with the renewal of the IS project, Suriname will strengthen its efforts to coordinate the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities in order to achieve the 10 per cent reduction of HCFC consumption in 2015.

### **Syrian Arab Republic**

25. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the request for phase V of the IS project for the Syrian Arab Republic and noted with appreciation the progress achieved by the country through the implementation of phase IV of the project. The Executive Committee recognizes that the NOU acting was dedicated to the IS programme and extended its cooperation to the implementing agency to achieve compliance with the Montreal Protocol's HCFC phase-out schedule. Furthermore the Executive Committee would like to express appreciation for the commitment of the Syrian Arab Republic to comply with its Montreal Protocol obligations, demonstrated by the continuity and stability of the

NOU staff, and of the technical expertise over last years. The Executive Committee expects that that the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic will be able to submit stage I of the HPMP as soon as possible in order to ensure the continuing compliance of the country with the Montreal Protocol.

### **Togo**

26. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for Togo and notes with appreciation that the country has reported 2013 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the target to freeze HCFC consumption in 2013. The Executive Committee also notes with that the licensing and quota system is working satisfactorily, and is hopeful that, in the next two years, Togo will continue with the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities with success to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Trinidad and Tobago**

27. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for phase VIII for Trinidad and Tobago, and noted with appreciation the continued success of Trinidad and Tobago's ODS phase-out activities and its achievement of freezing HCFC consumption in 2013 in accordance with the Montreal Protocol. In particular, the NOU of Trinidad and Tobago has undertaken close coordination work with the private sector and civil society in order to minimize any adverse socio-economic effects resulting from the phase-out schedule for HCFCs, by promoting refresher courses for custom officials and improving the lines of communication between the Ministry of Trade, the customs and other stakeholders, and implemented and maintained a reliable database of imports and exports, and the promotion of low-global warming potential (low-GWP) alternatives to HCFCs, including the establishment of an interactive Ozone display at the National Science Centre to celebrate the anniversary of the Montreal Protocol. Trinidad and Tobago has also actively participated in all regional and sub-regional meetings, including regional network meetings of ozone officers, Executive Committee meetings, and Montreal Protocol meetings. The Executive Committee expresses the expectation that in the next two years Trinidad and Tobago will build on the progress made to sustain ODS phase-out activities and enforce the ODS legislation framework and regulations in order to achieve the 2015 control measure for the reduction in HCFC consumption.

### **Uganda**

28. The Executive Committee reviewed the information presented with the IS renewal request for Uganda and notes with appreciation the fact that Uganda reported 2013 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that is in compliance with all ODS reduction targets as set out by the Montreal Protocol. The Executive Committee further notes that Uganda has taken some steps to phase out its consumption of ODS including the implementation of a licensing system, training of customs officers and refrigeration technicians, and expects that, in the next two years, Uganda will continue the implementation of the licensing system, and HCFC phase-out activities, to sustain its current levels of ODS reductions and achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

### **Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)**

29. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the IS project renewal request for the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and noted with appreciation that the country is taking the necessary steps to sustain CFC phase-out, and to meet the Montreal Protocol control measures related to HCFCs. The Executive Committee commends the Government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela for implementing the quota system for HCFCs. The Executive Committee appreciates the work carried out by

the government to promote low-GWP alternatives to HCFCs within its national industry, and the efforts to coordinate government and private entities actions to assist the country to achieve compliance with the Montreal Protocol's control measures. The Executive Committee is also pleased by the level of public awareness related to the HCFC phase-out. The Executive Committee expresses the expectation that the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela will continue the implementation of its planned activities with outstanding progress, and will sustain and build upon its current state of reductions in ODS consumption.

#### **Viet Nam**

30. The Executive Committee reviewed the report submitted with the IS project renewal request for Viet Nam and notes with appreciation that the country reported 2013 Article 7 data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that it has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption in 2013. The Executive Committee acknowledges that Viet Nam has been enforcing the licensing and quota system for HCFCs including pre-blended HCFC polyol import/export. The Executive Committee also notes that stage I of the HPMP is being implemented in an efficient and timely manner, and is confident that Viet Nam will meet the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption and the total phase-out of methyl bromide consumption by 2015.

#### **Yemen**

31. The Executive Committee reviewed the report presented with the request for phase VIII of the IS project for Yemen and notes with appreciation that Yemen reported data to the Ozone Secretariat indicating that the country has achieved the freeze in HCFC consumption at the baseline level in 2013. The Executive Committee also notes that within the framework of the IS project and despite difficult conditions Yemen has succeeded in collecting and verifying consumption data. The Executive Committee expects that the on-going regulatory efforts will be further enhanced by the implementation of the ODS monitoring system and that Yemen will continue with the implementation of HCFC phase-out activities with success to achieve the 10 per cent reduction in HCFC consumption by 2015.

## Annex XVI

## 2015 COMPLIANCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME (CAP) BUDGET

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2013 Approved ExCom 68	CAP 2013 Expenditures	CAP 2014 Approved ExCom 71	CAP 2015 Approved ExCom 73
<b>10</b>	<b>PROJECT PERSONNEL COMPONENT</b>								
		<b>Title/Description</b>		<b>Grade</b>	<b>w/m</b>				
	1101	Head of Branch	Paris	D1	12	211,000	230,720	217,000	250,000
	1102	Senior Environment Officer - Network & Policy	Paris	P5	12	230,000	192,897	237,000	245,000
	1103	Programme Officer - Capacity Building	Paris	P4	12	214,000	585	221,000	214,000
	1104	Information Manager	Paris	P4	12	201,000	174,420	207,000	214,000
	1105	Monitoring & Administration Officer	Paris	P3/P4	0	0		0	0
	1106	Programme Officer - Policy & Tech Support	Paris	P4	12	185,000	129,447	190,000	214,000
	1107	Programme Officer - HCFC	Paris	P3	12	169,000	154,825	174,000	180,000
	1108	Programme Officer - ECA / Paris	Paris / ECA	P3	12	169,000	138,975	174,000	180,000
	1111	ECA Regional Network Coordinator	Paris / ECA	P4	12	201,000	220,440	207,000	214,000
	1121	ROAP Senior Regional Network Coordinator - SA	Bangkok	P5	12	194,000	192,039	200,000	206,000
	1122	ROAP Regional Network Coordinator - SEAP	Bangkok	P4	12	170,000	174,805	175,000	181,000
	1123	ROAP Regional Network Coordinator - PIC	Bangkok	P4	12	170,000	187,689	175,000	181,000
	1124	ROAP Programme Officer - HPMP	Bangkok	P4	12	170,000	190,135	175,000	181,000
	1125	ROAP Programme Officer - HPMP	Bangkok	P3	12	138,000	121,886	142,000	146,000
	1131	ROWA Regional Network Coordinator	Manama	P4	12	196,000	171,571	201,000	208,000
	1132	ROWA Programme Officer - HPMP	Manama	P4	12	196,000	194,065	201,000	208,000
	1133	ROWA Programme Officer - HPMP	Manama	P3	12	165,000	194,865	170,000	175,000
	1141	ROA Senior Regional Network Coordinator	Nairobi	P5	12	214,000	225,217	220,000	0
	1142	ROA Regional Network Coordinator - Francophone	Nairobi	P4	12	185,000	185,073	190,000	196,000
	1143	ROA Regional Network Coordinator - Anglophone	Nairobi	P4	12	185,000	204,600	190,000	196,000
	1144	ROA Programme Officer - HPMP	Nairobi	P3	12	152,000	23,618	156,000	161,000
	1145	ROA Programme Officer - HPMP / Methyl Bromide	Nairobi	P3	12	152,000	183,063	156,000	161,000
	1146	ROA Programme Officer	Nairobi	P2	12		0		95,000
	1147	ROA Programme Officer	Nairobi	P2	12		0		95,000
	1151	ROLAC Regional Network Coordinator	Panama	P4	12	171,000	176,169	176,000	182,000

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2013 Approved ExCom 68	CAP 2013 Expenditures	CAP 2014 Approved ExCom 71	CAP 2015 Approved ExCom 73
	1152	ROLAC Regional Network - Caribbean	Panama	P4	12	171,000	46,500	176,000	182,000
	1153	ROLAC Programme Officer - HPMP	Panama	P3	12	146,000	172,955	150,000	155,000
	1154	ROLAC Programme Officer - HPMP / Methyl Bromide	Panama	P3	12	146,000	150,691	150,000	155,000
	1199	<i>Sub-total</i>				<i>4,501,000</i>	<i>4,037,252</i>	<i>4,630,000</i>	<i>4,775,000</i>
	1300								
		<b>Title/Description</b>		<b>Grade</b>	<b>w/m</b>				
	1301	Principal Assistant HOB	Paris	G6	12	105,000	96,744	108,000	111,000
	1302	Programme Assistant - Regional Networks	Paris	G6	12	105,000	100,818	108,000	111,000
	1303	Programme Assistant - Clearinghouse	Paris	G6	12	105,000	108,037	108,000	111,000
	1304	Administration Assistant	Paris	G6	0	0	0	0	0
	1305	Programme Assistant - ECA / Paris	Paris / ECA	G5	12	93,000	86,374	96,000	99,000
	1306	Programme Assistant - Capacity Building	Paris	G5	12	93,000	84,346	96,000	99,000
	1307	Programme Assistant - Information	Paris	G5	12	93,000	98,280	96,000	99,000
	1311	Programme Assistant - ECA	Paris / ECA	G5	12	93,000	72,959	96,000	99,000
	1317	Temporary assistance CAP	Regions			72,000	70,734	75,000	63,000
	1321	ROAP Programme Assistant - SA	Bangkok	G6	12	61,000	65,724	62,000	64,000
	1322	ROAP Programme Assistant - SEAP	Bangkok	G5	12	43,000	57,537	49,000	51,000
	1323	ROAP Programme Assistant - PIC	Bangkok	G5	12	48,000	81,493	49,000	51,000
	1324	ROAP Regional Outreach Assistant - HPMP	Bangkok	G6	12	46,000	0	55,000	57,000
	1331	ROWA Programme Assistant	Manama	G6	12	57,000	68,924	58,000	60,000
	1332	ROWA Programme Assistant	Manama	G6	12	57,000	65,877	58,000	60,000
	1333	ROWA Regional Outreach Assistant - HPMP	Manama	G6	6	28,000	11,852	29,000	60,000
	1341	ROA Programme Assistant	Nairobi	G6	12	39,000	33,653	40,000	42,000
	1342	ROA Programme Assistant	Nairobi	G5	12	32,000	43,423	33,000	34,000
	1343	ROA Regional Outreach Assistant - HPMP	Nairobi	G6	12	35,000	21,444	36,000	42,000
	1344	ROA Programme Assistant	Nairobi	G6	12				42,000
	1351	ROLAC Programme Assistant	Panama	G6	12	40,000	14,336	29,000	30,000
	1352	ROLAC Regional Outreach Assistant - HPMP	Panama	G6	12	40,000	24,141	41,000	43,000
	1353	ROLAC Programme Assistant	Panama	G5	12	33,000	26,575	34,000	35,000
	1354	ROLAC Programme Assistant	Panama	G3	12	21,000	20,810	22,000	23,000

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2013 Approved ExCom 68	CAP 2013 Expenditures	CAP 2014 Approved ExCom 71	CAP 2015 Approved ExCom 73
	1399	<i>Sub-total</i>				1,339,000	1,254,080	1,378,000	1,486,000
	1600								
	1601	Paris staff travel	Paris			205,000	208,628	171,000	171,000
	1610	ECA staff travel	Paris / ECA			26,000	18,501	30,000	30,000
	1620	ROAP staff travel	Bangkok			80,000	81,991	116,000	116,000
	1630	ROWA staff travel	Manama			60,000	47,465	60,000	60,000
	1640	ROA staff travel	Nairobi			143,000	163,806	143,000	143,000
	1650	ROLAC staff travel	Panama			96,000	54,924	90,000	70,000
	1699	<i>Sub-total</i>				610,000	575,315	610,000	590,000
	1999	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>6,450,000</b>	<b>5,866,647</b>	<b>6,618,000</b>	<b>6,851,000</b>
20		SUB CONTRACT COMPONENT							
	2200								
	2110	ECA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Paris / ECA			35,000	30,387	35,000	25,000
	2120	ROAP Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Bangkok			20,000	-4,000	20,000	20,000
	2130	ROWA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Manama			50,000	50,000	50,000	20,000
	2140	ROA Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Nairobi			28,000	20,000	28,000	13,000
	2150	ROLAC Sub-contracts with supporting organizations	Panama			15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
	2210	ECA Regional awareness raising	Paris / ECA			10,000	7,978	10,000	15,000
	2220	ROAP Regional awareness raising	Bangkok			44,000	4,795	54,000	49,000
	2230	ROWA Regional awareness raising	Manama			20,000	9,871	20,000	10,000
	2240	ROA Regional awareness raising	Nairobi			39,000		64,000	64,000
	2250	ROLAC Regional awareness raising	Panama			75,000	46,777	40,000	30,000
	2299	<i>Sub-total</i>				336,000	180,808	336,000	261,000
	2300								
	2301	Technical and policy information materials	Paris			70,000	34,986	80,000	80,000
	2302	Clearing House	Paris			192,000	163,681	150,000	150,000
	2303	Capacity Building on HCFC phaseout	Paris			80,000	19,276	112,000	112,000
	2399	<i>Sub-total</i>				342,000	217,943	342,000	342,000
	2999	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>678,000</b>	<b>398,750</b>	<b>678,000</b>	<b>603,000</b>

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2013 Approved ExCom 68	CAP 2013 Expenditures	CAP 2014 Approved ExCom 71	CAP 2015 Approved ExCom 73
30		TRAINING COMPONENT							
	3300								
	3210	ECA South-South cooperation	ECA			20,000	22,228	20,000	20,000
	3220	ROAP South-South cooperation	Bangkok			48,000	48,530	48,000	43,000
	3230	ROWA South-South cooperation	Manama			33,000	11,651	33,000	33,000
	3240	ROA South-South cooperation	Nairobi			31,000	16,377	31,000	31,000
	3250	ROLAC South-South cooperation	Panama			45,000	19,384	45,000	40,000
	3301	Advisory and Consultative Meetings - Paris	Paris			32,000	18,996	32,000	32,000
	3310	ECA network meetings/thematic workshops	ECA			160,000	159,160	160,000	160,000
	3321	ROAP network meetings/thematic workshops - SA	Bangkok			72,000	66,956	72,000	72,000
	3322	ROAP network meetings/thematic workshops - SEAP	Bangkok			50,000	48,328	50,000	50,000
	3323	ROAP network meetings/thematic workshops - PIC	Bangkok			60,000	54,217	60,000	60,000
	3330	ROWA network meetings/thematic workshops	Manama			86,000	86,000	86,000	86,000
	3340	ROA network meetings/thematic workshops	Nairobi			281,000	277,824	281,000	281,000
	3350	ROLAC network meetings/thematic workshops	Panama			192,000	187,424	204,000	224,000
	3399	<i>Sub-total</i>				1,110,000	1,017,076	1,122,000	1,132,000
	3999	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>1,110,000</b>	<b>1,017,076</b>	<b>1,122,000</b>	<b>1,132,000</b>
40		EQUIPMENT AND PREMISES COMPONENT							
	4100								
	4101	Office supplies - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			15,000	6,505	15,000	15,000
	4110	Office supplies - Regions	Regional			25,000	12,205	25,000	25,000
	4199	<i>Sub-total</i>				40,000	18,710	40,000	40,000
	4200								
	4201	Office equipment / computer - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			22,000	22,185	22,000	22,000
	4210	Office equipment / computer - Regions	Regional			33,000	10,563	33,000	33,000
	4299	<i>Sub-total</i>				55,000	32,748	55,000	55,000
	4300								
	4301	Office rental - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			360,000	303,767	360,000	340,000
	4310	Office rental - Regions	Regional			151,000	158,061	151,000	179,000
	4399	<i>Sub-total</i>				511,000	461,828	511,000	519,000

	Bud Ln	Component	Location			CAP 2013 Approved ExCom 68	CAP 2013 Expenditures	CAP 2014 Approved ExCom 71	CAP 2015 Approved ExCom 73
	<b>4999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>606,000</b>	<b>513,286</b>	<b>606,000</b>	<b>614,000</b>
50		MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT							
	5100								
	5101	Rental and maintenance of office equipment - Paris and	Paris / ECA			22,000	22,461	22,000	22,000
	5110	Rental and maintenance of office equipment - Regions	Regional			33,000	14,949	33,000	33,000
	5199	<i>Sub-total</i>				<i>55,000</i>	<i>37,410</i>	<i>55,000</i>	<i>55,000</i>
	5200								
	5201	Reporting/reproduction costs	Paris / ECA			11,000	0	11,000	11,000
	5210	Translations - Regions	Regional			36,000	19,082	36,000	36,000
	5299	<i>Sub-total</i>				<i>47,000</i>	<i>19,082</i>	<i>47,000</i>	<i>47,000</i>
	5300								
	5301	Communication & dissemination - Paris and ECA	Paris / ECA			123,000	88,459	123,000	68,000
	5310	Communication - Regions	Regional			89,000	84,697	89,000	89,000
	5399	<i>Sub-total</i>				<i>212,000</i>	<i>173,156</i>	<i>212,000</i>	<i>157,000</i>
	<b>5999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>				<b>314,000</b>	<b>229,648</b>	<b>314,000</b>	<b>259,000</b>
	<b>99</b>	<b>TOTAL DIRECT PROJECT COST</b>				<b>9,158,000</b>	<b>8,025,408</b>	<b>9,338,000</b>	<b>9,459,000</b>
		<i>Programme support costs (8%)</i>				<i>732,640</i>	<i>642,033</i>	<i>747,040</i>	<i>756,720</i>
<b>90</b>	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>					<b>9,890,640</b>	<b>8,667,441</b>	<b>10,085,040</b>	<b>10,215,720</b>



## Annex XVII

### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (the "Country") and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A ("The Substances") to a sustained level of 66.30 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2018 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A ("The Targets, and Funding") in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A ("Funding Approval Schedule").
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A ("Format of Implementation Reports and Plans") covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the approved HPMP, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of an Annual Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
- (d) Any enterprise to be converted to non-HCFC technology included in the approved HPMP and that would be found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (i.e., due to foreign ownership or establishment post the 21 September 2007 cut-off date), will not receive assistance. This information would be reported to the Executive Committee as part of the Annual Implementation Plan;

- (e) The Country commits to examining the possibility of using pre-blended hydrocarbon systems instead of blending them in-house, for those foam enterprises covered under the umbrella project, should this be technically viable, economically feasible and acceptable to the enterprises; and
- (f) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reduction in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	62.0
HCFC-141b	C	I	16.0
Total			78.0

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substance (ODP tonnes)	78.00	70.20	70.20	70.20	70.20	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substance (ODP tonnes)	*	70.20	70.20	70.20	66.30	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US\$)	123,700	428,180	130,000	0	20,000	701,880
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	8,659	29,973	9,100	0	1,400	49,132
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	43,500	48,500	90,000	0	20,000	202,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	5,655	6,305	11,700	0	2,600	26,260
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	167,200	476,680	220,000	0	40,000	903,880
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	14,314	36,278	20,800	0	4,000	75,392
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	181,514	512,958	240,800	0	44,000	979,272
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						4.03
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)						57.97
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)						16.00
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)						n/a
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)						0.00

\* The Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea estimated a consumption of 80.00 ODP tonnes, which is above the HCFC baseline for compliance.

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the first meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The HPMP implementation will be performed with the assistance from the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA. The Project Management Unit (PMU) will be responsible for the coordination and the implementation of the HPMP activities. The PMU role will include: the preparation of the annual implementation plan; the implementation of the HPMP activities; the monitoring and coordination of the activities related to the refrigeration equipment production factory, refrigerant factory and foam factories; the implementation of the annual audit; the preparation of the annual progress report; and the support to be provided to Lead IA and the Cooperating IA for the verification of the HCFC consumption.

2. The HPMP will be implemented in line with UN Security Council Resolutions 1695, 1718, 1874, 2087 and 2094. The recommendations by the UN review panel on procedural changes will be taken into account for the transfer of equipment and technology to the country. The established procedures of the UN agencies in the country, in particular the modified UNDP procurement procedures for the supply of equipment and services, will be used to implement the HPMP activities under the responsibility of the Lead IA. The HPMP activities under the responsibility of the Cooperating IA, also in charge of the institutional strengthening (IS) project will be implemented using the methods of disbursement, organizational structures and procedural arrangements applied to the IS project.

3. The Country agrees with unhindered access to project sites by the Lead and Cooperating Agencies to the extent necessary for the implementation, monitoring and oversight of the project.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;

- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities;
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators;
- (e) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting; and
- (f) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$105 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.



### Annex XVIII

#### **AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF MEXICO AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH STAGE II OF THE HCFC PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLAN**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Mexico (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 373.36 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2022 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedule.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3, 4.2.3, 4.3.3, 4.4.3 and 4.5.3 (remaining consumption eligible for funding).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the stage II of the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) approved (“the Plan”). In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country has met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which there are no due country programme implementation reports at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted Tranche Implementation Reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent; and

- (d) That the Country has submitted a Tranche Implementation Plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous Tranche Implementation Plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in a Tranche Implementation Plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing Tranche Implementation Plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed Tranche Implementation Plan, or removal of an activity in the Tranche Implementation Plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved Tranche Implementation Plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent Tranche Implementation Report;
- (c) Should the Country decide during implementation of the Agreement to introduce an alternative technology other than that proposed in the Plan, this would require approval by the Executive Committee as part of a Tranche Implementation Plan or the revision of the approved plan. Any submission of such a request for change in technology would identify the associated incremental costs, the potential impact to the climate, and any differences in ODP tonnes to be phased out if applicable. The Country agrees that potential savings in incremental costs related to the change of technology would decrease the overall funding level under this Agreement accordingly;
- (d) Any enterprise to be converted to non-HCFC technology included in the Plan and that would be found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (i.e., due to foreign ownership or establishment post the 21 September 2007 cut-off date), will not receive assistance. This information would be reported to the Executive Committee as part of the Tranche Implementation Plan; and

- (e) Any remaining funds held by the implementing agencies or the country under the Plan will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector included in the Plan, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the relevant bilateral and/or implementing agencies will take into consideration decision 72/41 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNIDO has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and the Government of Germany, the Government of Italy and UNEP have agreed to be the cooperating implementing agencies (the “Cooperating IAs”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of the Lead IA and/or Cooperating IAs taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IAs to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IAs will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IAs have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IAs with the fees set out in rows 2.2, 2.4, 2.6 and 2.8 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IAs to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IAs with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of the Plan and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should at that time there still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the last Tranche Implementation Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion of the Plan will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion of the Plan unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	392.8
HCFC-141b	C	I	820.6
HCFC-142b	C	I	1.0
HCFC-123	C	I	0.3
HCFC-124	C	I	0.1
Total	C	I	1,214.8

**APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING**

Row	Particulars	2014	2015	2016	2018	2020	2022	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	1,148.80	1,033.92	1,033.92	1,033.92	746.72	746.72	n/a
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	1,148.80	1,033.92	1,033.92	746.72	574.40	373.36	n/a
2.1	Lead IA (UNIDO) agreed funding (US \$)	2,404,412	-	2,222,500	3,209,719	1,612,350	450,600	9,899,581
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	168,309	-	155,575	224,680	112,865	31,542	692,971
2.3	Cooperating IA (Germany) agreed funding (US \$)	325,000	-	325,000	-	-	-	650,000
2.4	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	40,750	-	40,750	-	-	-	81,500
2.5	Cooperating IA (Italy) agreed funding (US \$)	458,191	-	-	-	-	-	458,191
2.6	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	59,565	-	-	-	-	-	59,565
2.7	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	-	-	40,000	-	40,000	-	80,000
2.8	Support costs for Cooperating IA (US \$)	-	-	5,200	-	5,200	-	10,400
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	3,187,603	-	2,587,500	3,209,719	1,652,350	450,600	11,087,772
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	268,624	-	201,525	224,680	118,065	31,542	844,436
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	3,456,227	-	2,789,025	3,434,399	1,770,415	482,142	11,932,208
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							105.5
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							24.8
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)							262.5
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-141b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							428.1
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-141b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							392.5
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-141b (ODP tonnes)							-
4.3.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							-
4.3.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							-
4.3.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)							1.0
4.4.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-123 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							-
4.4.2	Phase-out of HCFC-123 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							-
4.4.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-123 (ODP tonnes)							0.3
4.5.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-124 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)							-
4.5.2	Phase-out of HCFC-124 to be achieved in previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)							-
4.5.3	Remaining eligible consumption for HCFC-124 (ODP tonnes)							0.1

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the second meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF TRANCHE IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Tranche Implementation Report and Plans for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Tranche Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) An independent verification report of the Plan results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the Tranche Implementation Plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).
2. In the event that in a particular year more than one stage of the HPMP are being implemented in parallel, the following considerations should be taken in preparing the Implementation Report and Plans:
- (a) The Implementation Report and Plans referred to as part of this Agreement, will exclusively refer to activities and funds covered by this Agreement;
  - (b) If the stages under implementation have different HCFC consumption targets in a particular year, the lower HCFC consumption target will be used as reference for compliance with the HPMP Agreements and for the independent verification.

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT) is in charge of the protection, restoration and conservation of all ecosystems, natural resources and environmental services in order to promote a sustainable development. It is also responsible for carrying out national policies regarding climate change and ozone layer protection. The National Ozone Unit (under SEMARNAT) monitors the consumption and production of all ozone depleting substances (ODS) through regional teams. Inspections at converted companies to non-ODS technologies are foreseen to ensure the non uses of ODS after project completion.

2. The Government of Mexico has offered and intends to offer continuity of activities and endorsement for the projects over the next years as specified in the institutional support component and the list of activities of the institutional strengthening project. This will guarantee the success of any activity approved for Mexico

3. Close monitoring of all activities and coordination between stakeholders is an essential element of the HPMP and key to reach compliance. There will be regular coordination meetings with industry stakeholders, HCFC importers, Government stakeholders (i.e., Ministries of Economy, Energy and Health), various industrial associations, and all sectors involved, in order to enact the necessary agreements and measures to carry out the investment and non-investment activities on time and in a coordinated manner. In the manufacturing sector the implementation process and the achievement of the phase-out will be monitored through site visits at enterprise level.

4. Yearly monitoring will be carried out through the ODS licensing and quota system. Verification site visits will be undertaken by independent international experts.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
- (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans as per Appendix 4-A;

- (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated tranche activities have been completed as indicated in the Tranche Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
- (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future Tranche Implementation Plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
- (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the Tranche Implementation Reports and Plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IAs;
- (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
- (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
- (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Tranche Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;
- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IAs, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IAs, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of the Lead IA and each Cooperating IA;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES**

1. The Cooperating IAs will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the Plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IAs, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

## **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$134 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met. In the event that the penalty needs to be applied for a year in which there are two Agreements in force (two stages of the HPMP being implemented in parallel) with different penalty levels, the application of the penalty will be determined on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the specific sectors related to the non-compliance. If it is not possible to determine a sector, or both stages are addressing the same sector, the penalty level to be applied would be the largest.



## Annex XIX

### **REVISED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF FIJI AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE REDUCTION IN CONSUMPTION OF HYDROCHLOROFLUOROCARBONS**

1. This Agreement represents the understanding of the Government of Fiji (the “Country”) and the Executive Committee with respect to the reduction of controlled use of the ozone-depleting substances (ODS) set out in Appendix 1-A (“The Substances”) to a sustained level of 3.75 ODP tonnes by 1 January 2020 in compliance with Montreal Protocol schedules.
2. The Country agrees to meet the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A (“The Targets, and Funding”) in this Agreement as well as in the Montreal Protocol reduction schedule for all Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A. The Country accepts that, by its acceptance of this Agreement and performance by the Executive Committee of its funding obligations described in paragraph 3, it is precluded from applying for or receiving further funding from the Multilateral Fund in respect to any consumption of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A as the final reduction step under this Agreement for all of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A, and in respect to any consumption of each of the Substances that exceeds the level defined in rows 4.1.3 and 4.2.3 (remaining eligible consumption).
3. Subject to compliance by the Country with its obligations set out in this Agreement, the Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the funding set out in row 3.1 of Appendix 2-A to the Country. The Executive Committee will, in principle, provide this funding at the Executive Committee meetings specified in Appendix 3-A (“Funding Approval Schedule”).
4. The Country agrees to implement this Agreement in accordance with the HCFC phase-out sector plans submitted. In accordance with sub-paragraph 5(b) of this Agreement, the Country will accept independent verification of the achievement of the annual consumption limits of the Substances as set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A of this Agreement. The aforementioned verification will be commissioned by the relevant bilateral or implementing agency.
5. The Executive Committee will not provide the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule unless the Country satisfies the following conditions at least eight weeks in advance of the applicable Executive Committee meeting set out in the Funding Approval Schedule:
  - (a) That the Country had met the Targets set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for all relevant years. Relevant years are all years since the year in which this Agreement was approved. Years for which no obligation for reporting of country programme data exists at the date of the Executive Committee meeting at which the funding request is being presented are exempted;
  - (b) That the meeting of these Targets has been independently verified, unless the Executive Committee decided that such verification would not be required;
  - (c) That the Country had submitted annual implementation reports in the form of Appendix 4-A (“Format of Implementation Reports and Plans”) covering each previous calendar year; that it had achieved a significant level of implementation of activities initiated with previously approved tranches; and that the rate of disbursement of funding available from the previously approved tranche was more than 20 per cent;

- (d) That the Country has submitted an annual implementation plan in the form of Appendix 4-A covering each calendar year until and including the year for which the funding schedule foresees the submission of the next tranche or, in case of the final tranche, until completion of all activities foreseen; and
- (e) That, for all submissions from the 68<sup>th</sup> meeting onwards, confirmation has been received from the Government that an enforceable national system of licensing and quotas for HCFC imports and, where applicable, production and exports is in place and that the system is capable of ensuring the Country's compliance with the Montreal Protocol HCFC phase-out schedule for the duration of this Agreement.

6. The Country will ensure that it conducts accurate monitoring of its activities under this Agreement. The institutions set out in Appendix 5-A (“Monitoring Institutions and Roles”) will monitor and report on implementation of the activities in the previous annual implementation plans in accordance with their roles and responsibilities set out in Appendix 5-A. This monitoring will also be subject to independent verification as described in paragraph 4 above.

7. The Executive Committee agrees that the Country may have the flexibility to reallocate the approved funds, or part of the funds, according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of consumption and phase-out of the Substances specified in Appendix 1-A:

- (a) Reallocations categorized as major changes must be documented in advance either in an annual implementation plan submitted as foreseen in sub-paragraph 5(d) above, or as a revision to an existing annual implementation plan to be submitted eight weeks prior to any meeting of the Executive Committee, for its approval. Major changes would relate to:
  - (i) Issues potentially concerning the rules and policies of the Multilateral Fund;
  - (ii) Changes which would modify any clause of this Agreement;
  - (iii) Changes in the annual levels of funding allocated to individual bilateral or implementing agencies for the different tranches; and
  - (iv) Provision of funding for programmes or activities not included in the current endorsed annual implementation plan, or removal of an activity in the annual implementation plan, with a cost greater than 30 per cent of the total cost of the last approved tranche;
- (b) Reallocations not categorized as major changes may be incorporated in the approved annual implementation plan, under implementation at the time, and reported to the Executive Committee in the subsequent annual implementation report; and
- (c) Any remaining funds will be returned to the Multilateral Fund upon completion of the last tranche foreseen under this Agreement.

8. Specific attention will be paid to the execution of the activities in the refrigeration servicing sub-sector, in particular:

- (a) The Country would use the flexibility available under this Agreement to address specific needs that might arise during project implementation; and
- (b) The Country and the bilateral and implementing agencies involved will take full account of the requirements of decisions 41/100 and 49/6 during the implementation of the plan.

9. The Country agrees to assume overall responsibility for the management and implementation of this Agreement and of all activities undertaken by it or on its behalf to fulfil the obligations under this Agreement. UNDP has agreed to be the lead implementing agency (the “Lead IA”) and UNEP has agreed to be the cooperating implementing agency (the “Cooperating IA”) under the lead of the Lead IA in respect of the Country’s activities under this Agreement. The Country agrees to evaluations, which might be carried out under the monitoring and evaluation work programmes of the Multilateral Fund or under the evaluation programme of any of the agencies taking part in this Agreement.

10. The Lead IA will be responsible for ensuring co-ordinated planning, implementation and reporting of all activities under this Agreement, including but not limited to independent verification as per sub-paragraph 5(b). This responsibility includes the necessity to co-ordinate with the Cooperating IA to ensure appropriate timing and sequence of activities in the implementation. The Cooperating IA will support the Lead IA by implementing the activities listed in Appendix 6-B under the overall co-ordination of the Lead IA. The Lead IA and Cooperating IA have reached consensus on the arrangements regarding inter-agency planning, reporting and responsibilities under this Agreement to facilitate a co-ordinated implementation of the Plan, including regular co-ordination meetings. The Executive Committee agrees, in principle, to provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with the fees set out in rows 2.2 and 2.4 of Appendix 2-A.

11. Should the Country, for any reason, not meet the Targets for the elimination of the Substances set out in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A or otherwise does not comply with this Agreement, then the Country agrees that it will not be entitled to the Funding in accordance with the Funding Approval Schedule. At the discretion of the Executive Committee, funding will be reinstated according to a revised Funding Approval Schedule determined by the Executive Committee after the Country has demonstrated that it has satisfied all of its obligations that were due to be met prior to receipt of the next tranche of funding under the Funding Approval Schedule. The Country acknowledges that the Executive Committee may reduce the amount of the Funding by the amount set out in Appendix 7-A (“Reductions in Funding for Failure to Comply”) in respect of each ODP kg of reductions in consumption not achieved in any one year. The Executive Committee will discuss each specific case in which the Country did not comply with this Agreement, and take related decisions. Once these decisions are taken, this specific case will not be an impediment for future tranches as per paragraph 5 above.

12. The Funding of this Agreement will not be modified on the basis of any future Executive Committee decision that may affect the funding of any other consumption sector projects or any other related activities in the Country.

13. The Country will comply with any reasonable request of the Executive Committee, the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA to facilitate implementation of this Agreement. In particular, it will provide the Lead IA and the Cooperating IA with access to the information necessary to verify compliance with this Agreement.

14. The completion of stage I of the HPMP and the associated Agreement will take place at the end of the year following the last year for which a maximum allowable total consumption level has been specified in Appendix 2-A. Should there at that time still be activities that are outstanding, and which were foreseen in the Plan and its subsequent revisions as per sub-paragraph 5(d) and paragraph 7, the completion will be delayed until the end of the year following the implementation of the remaining activities. The reporting requirements as per sub-paragraphs 1(a), 1(b), 1(d), and 1(e) of Appendix 4-A will continue until the time of the completion unless otherwise specified by the Executive Committee.

15. All of the conditions set out in this Agreement are undertaken solely within the context of the Montreal Protocol and as specified in this Agreement. All terms used in this Agreement have the meaning ascribed to them in the Montreal Protocol unless otherwise defined herein.

16. This revised Agreement supersedes the Agreement reached between the Government of Fiji and the Executive Committee at the 65<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Executive Committee.

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1-A: THE SUBSTANCES

Substance	Annex	Group	Starting point for aggregate reductions in consumption (ODP tonnes)
HCFC-22	C	I	5.73
HCFC-142b	C	I	0.04
Total			5.77

### APPENDIX 2-A: THE TARGETS, AND FUNDING

Row	Particulars	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
1.1	Montreal Protocol reduction schedule of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	8.44	8.44	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.6	5.49	N/A
1.2	Maximum allowable total consumption of Annex C, Group I substances (ODP tonnes)	N/A	N/A	5.77	5.77	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	3.75	N/A
2.1	Lead IA (UNDP) agreed funding (US \$)	71,800	0	0	37,900	0	59,850	0	0	0	19,950	189,500
2.2	Support costs for Lead IA (US \$)	6,462	0	0	3,411	0	5,387	0	0	0	1,795	17,055
2.3	Cooperating IA (UNEP) agreed funding (US \$)	47,900	0	0	24,400	0	41,650	0	0	0	11,550	125,500
2.4	Support costs for cooperating IA (US \$)	6,227	0	0	3,172	0	5,415	0	0	0	1,502	16,315
3.1	Total agreed funding (US \$)	119,700	0	0	62,300	0	101,500	0	0	0	31,500	315,000
3.2	Total support costs (US \$)	12,689	0	0	6,583	0	10,802	0	0	0	3,297	33,370
3.3	Total agreed costs (US \$)	132,389	0	0	68,883	0	112,302	0	0	0	34,797	348,370
4.1.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-22 agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											1.98
4.1.2	Phase-out of HCFC-22 to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											-
4.1.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-22 (ODP tonnes)											3.75
4.2.1	Total phase-out of HCFC-142b agreed to be achieved under this Agreement (ODP tonnes)											0.04
4.2.2	Phase-out of HCFC-142b to be achieved through previously approved projects (ODP tonnes)											-
4.2.3	Remaining eligible consumption of HCFC-142b (ODP tonnes)											0.00

### **APPENDIX 3-A: FUNDING APPROVAL SCHEDULE**

1. Funding for the future tranches will be considered for approval at the last meeting of the year specified in Appendix 2-A.

### **APPENDIX 4-A: FORMAT OF IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS AND PLANS**

1. The submission of the Implementation Report and Plan for each tranche request will consist of five parts:

- (a) A narrative report, with data provided by calendar year, regarding the progress since the year prior to the previous report, reflecting the situation of the Country in regard to phase out of the Substances, how the different activities contribute to it, and how they relate to each other. The report should include ODS phase-out as a direct result from the implementation of activities, by substance, and the alternative technology used and the related phase-in of alternatives, to allow the Secretariat to provide to the Executive Committee information about the resulting change in climate relevant emissions. The report should further highlight successes, experiences, and challenges related to the different activities included in the Plan, reflecting any changes in the circumstances in the Country, and providing other relevant information. The report should also include information on and justification for any changes vis-à-vis the previously submitted Annual Implementation Plan(s), such as delays, uses of the flexibility for reallocation of funds during implementation of a tranche, as provided for in paragraph 7 of this Agreement, or other changes. The narrative report will cover all relevant years specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement and can in addition also include information on activities in the current year;
- (b) A verification report of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement. If not decided otherwise by the Executive Committee, such a verification has to be provided together with each tranche request and will have to provide verification of the consumption for all relevant years as specified in sub-paragraph 5(a) of the Agreement for which a verification report has not yet been acknowledged by the Committee;
- (c) A written description of the activities to be undertaken until and including the year of the planned submission of the next tranche request, highlighting the interdependence of the activities, and taking into account experiences made and progress achieved in the implementation of earlier tranches; the data in the plan will be provided by calendar year. The description should also include a reference to the overall plan and progress achieved, as well as any possible changes to the overall plan that are foreseen. The description should cover the years specified in sub-paragraph 5(d) of the Agreement. The description should also specify and explain in detail such changes to the overall plan. This description of future activities can be submitted as a part of the same document as the narrative report under sub-paragraph (b) above;
- (d) A set of quantitative information for all annual implementation reports and annual implementation plans, submitted through an online database. This quantitative information, to be submitted by calendar year with each tranche request, will be amending the narratives and description for the report (see sub-paragraph 1(a) above) and the plan (see sub-paragraph 1(c) above), the annual implementation plan and any changes to the overall plan, and will cover the same time periods and activities; and

- (e) An Executive Summary of about five paragraphs, summarizing the information of the above sub-paragraphs 1(a) to 1(d).

#### **APPENDIX 5-A: MONITORING INSTITUTIONS AND ROLES**

1. The overall monitoring will be the responsibility of the National Ozone Unit (NOU).
2. The consumption will be monitored based on cross-checking the data collected from relevant government departments with data collected, as required, from the relevant importers, distributors and consumers.
3. The NOU will also be responsible for reporting and shall submit the following reports in a timely manner:
  - (a) Annual reports on consumption of substances to be submitted to the Ozone Secretariat;
  - (b) Annual reports on progress of implementation of this Agreement to be submitted to the Executive Committee of the Multilateral Fund; and
  - (c) Project-related reports to the Lead IA.

#### **APPENDIX 6-A: ROLE OF THE LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Lead IA will be responsible for a range of activities, including at least the following:
  - (a) Ensuring performance and financial verification in accordance with this Agreement and with its specific internal procedures and requirements as set out in the Country's HPMP;
  - (b) Assisting the Country in preparation of the Implementation Plans and subsequent reports as per Appendix 4-A;
  - (c) Providing independent verification to the Executive Committee that the Targets have been met and associated annual activities have been completed as indicated in the Implementation Plan consistent with Appendix 4-A;
  - (d) Ensuring that the experiences and progress is reflected in updates of the overall plan and in future annual implementation plans consistent with sub-paragraphs 1(c) and 1(d) of Appendix 4-A;
  - (e) Fulfilling the reporting requirements for the annual implementation reports, annual implementation plans and the overall plan as specified in Appendix 4-A for submission to the Executive Committee. The reporting requirements include the reporting about activities undertaken by the Cooperating IA;
  - (f) Ensuring that appropriate independent technical experts carry out the technical reviews;
  - (g) Carrying out required supervision missions;
  - (h) Ensuring the presence of an operating mechanism to allow effective, transparent implementation of the Implementation Plan and accurate data reporting;

- (i) Co-ordinating the activities of the Cooperating IA, and ensuring appropriate sequence of activities;
- (j) In case of reductions in funding for failure to comply in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, to determine, in consultation with the Country and the Cooperating IA, the allocation of the reductions to the different budget items and to the funding of each implementing or bilateral agency involved;
- (k) Ensuring that disbursements made to the Country are based on the use of the indicators; and
- (l) Providing assistance with policy, management and technical support when required.

2. After consultation with the Country and taking into account any views expressed, the Lead IA will select and mandate an independent entity to carry out the verification of the HPMP results and the consumption of the Substances mentioned in Appendix 1-A, as per sub-paragraph 5(b) of the Agreement and sub-paragraph 1(b) of Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 6-B: ROLE OF THE COOPERATING IMPLEMENTING AGENCY**

1. The Cooperating IA will be responsible for a range of activities. These activities are specified in the overall plan, including at least the following:

- (a) Providing assistance for policy development when required;
- (b) Assisting the Country in the implementation and assessment of the activities funded by the Cooperating IA, and refer to the Lead IA to ensure a co-ordinated sequence in the activities; and
- (c) Providing reports to the Lead IA on these activities, for inclusion in the consolidated reports as per Appendix 4-A.

#### **APPENDIX 7-A: REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY**

1. In accordance with paragraph 11 of the Agreement, the amount of funding provided may be reduced by US \$180 per ODP kg of consumption beyond the level defined in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A for each year in which the target specified in row 1.2 of Appendix 2-A has not been met.



Annex XX  
APPROVED 2014, 2015, 2016 AND 2017 BUDGETS OF THE FUND SECRETARIAT

		Approved 2014	Approved 2015	Approved 2016	Approved 2017	Comments 2014
10	PERSONNEL COMPONENT					
1100	Project Personnel (Title & Grade)					
	01 Chief Officer (D2)	251,635	259,184	266,960	274,969	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	02 Deputy Chief Officer (D1)	248,333	255,783	263,456	271,360	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	03 Programme Management Officer (P3)	164,585	169,522	174,608	179,846	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	04 Deputy Chief Officer on Financial and Economic Affairs (P5)	224,409	231,142	238,076	245,218	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	05 Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	224,409	231,142	238,076	245,218	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	06 Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	224,409	231,142	238,076	245,218	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	07 Senior Project Management Officer (P5)	224,409	231,142	238,076	245,218	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	08 Information Management Officer (P3)	198,426	204,379	210,510	216,826	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	09 Senior Administrative & Fund Management Officer (P5)*	201,342	207,383	213,604	220,012	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	10 Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (P5)	224,409	231,142	238,076	245,218	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	11 Programme Management Officer (P3)	164,585	169,522	174,608	179,846	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	12 Information Network Officer (P3)	137,917	142,055	146,316	150,706	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	13 Associate Human Resources Officer (P2)	-	-	-	-	Funded from Programme Support Cost and to be downgraded from P2 to G7
	14 Programme Management Officer (P3)	164,585	169,522	174,608	174,608	Based on standard salary cost and adjusted based on actual cost with a 3% annual increase
	15 Associate Finance Officer (P2)-former 1301 starting 2014	60,000	123,600	127,308	131,127	Upgraded as per decision 72/43(b)(i)
	16 Associate Database Officer (P2)-former 1310 starting 2014	60,000	123,600	127,308	131,127	Upgraded as per decision 72/43(b)(i)
	98 Prior Year					
1199	Sub-Total	2,773,455	2,980,259	3,069,667	3,156,518	
1200	Consultants					
	01 Projects and technical reviews etc.	54,100	75,000	75,000	75,000	Reduction of US \$12,500 as per decision 72/43(b)(i)
1299	Sub-Total	54,100	75,000	75,000	75,000	
1300	Administrative Support Personnel					
	01 Administrative Assistant (G7)	47,501	-	-	-	
	02 Meeting Services Assistant (G7)	94,591	97,429	100,352	103,362	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	03 Programme Assistant (G7)/(P2)	94,591	97,429	100,352	103,362	Post classifiable as P2 as per notice dated May 2014 as a result of the re-numbering exercise
	04 Programme Assistant (G5)	70,067	72,169	74,334	76,565	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	05 Programme Assistant (G5)	70,067	72,169	74,334	76,565	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	06 Computer Operations Assistant (G6)	89,504	92,189	94,955	97,803	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	07 Programme Assistant (G5)	74,054	76,276	78,564	80,921	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	08 Secretary/Clerk, Administration (G6)	79,441	81,825	84,279	86,808	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	09 Registry Clerk (G4)	60,527	62,343	64,213	66,139	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	10 Database Assistant (G7)	47,501	-	-	-	Upgraded G7 to P2 June 2014
	11 Programme Assistant, Monitoring & Evaluation (G5)	70,067	72,169	74,334	76,565	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	12 IMIS Assistant (G6)	-	-	-	-	Funded from Programme Support Costs (PSC)
	13 Programme Assistant (G5)	70,067	72,169	74,334	76,565	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	14 Programme Assistant (G5)	70,067	72,169	74,334	76,565	Based on actual cost including overtime with a 3% annual increase
	Sub-Total	938,046	866,235	892,222	918,989	
1330	Conference Servicing Cost					
1333	Meeting Services: ExCom	348,400	325,000	325,000	325,000	
1334	Meeting Services: ExCom	403,736	325,000	325,000	325,000	
1336	Meeting Services: ExCom					
1335	Temporary Assistance	31,282	18,782	18,782	18,782	Reduction of US \$12,500 as per decision 72/43(b)(i)
1337	Meeting Ozone					
	Sub-Total	783,418	668,782	668,782	668,782	
1399	TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	1,721,464	1,535,017	1,561,004	1,587,771	

\* Difference in cost between P4 and P5 is to be charged to BL 2101.

Note: Personnel costs under BLs 1100 and 1300 will be reduced by US \$503,617 based on 2013 actual cost differentials between staff cost in Montreal and staff cost in Nairobi covered by the Government of Canada.

		Approved 2014	Approved 2015	Approved 2016	Approved 2017	Comments 2014
1600	Travel on official business					
	01 Mission costs	137,650	208,000	208,000	208,000	Based on tentative a travel plan schedule
	02 Network meetings (4)	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	Allocation for 4 network meetings a year
<b>1699</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>187,650</b>	<b>258,000</b>	<b>258,000</b>	<b>258,000</b>	
<b>1999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>4,736,670</b>	<b>4,848,276</b>	<b>4,963,671</b>	<b>5,077,289</b>	
20	CONTRACTUAL COMPONENT					
2100	Sub-contracts					
	01 Treasury services (Decision 59/51(b))	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	Fixed fees per the agreement with the Treasurer (Decision 59/51(b))
	02 Corporate consultancies					
2200	Subcontracts					
	01 Various studies					
	02 Corporate contracts		-	-	-	
<b>2999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>500,000</b>	<b>500,000</b>	<b>500,000</b>	<b>500,000</b>	
30	MEETING PARTICIPATION COMPONENT					
3300	Travel and DSA for Art 5 delegates to Exutive Committee meetings					
	01 Travel of Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson	-	15,000	15,000	15,000	Covers travel other than attendance to Excom
	02 Executive Committee (2 in 2014)	166,614	150,000	150,000	150,000	2 ExCom taking place in Montreal
<b>3999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>166,614</b>	<b>165,000</b>	<b>165,000</b>	<b>165,000</b>	
40	EQUIPMENT COMPONENT					
4100	Expendables					
	01 Office stationery	12,285	12,285	12,285	12,285	Based on anticipated needs
	02 Computer expendable (software, accessories, hubs, switches, memory)***	10,530	10,530	10,530	10,530	Based on anticipated needs
<b>4199</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>22,815</b>	<b>22,815</b>	<b>22,815</b>	<b>22,815</b>	
4200	Non-Expendable Equipment					
	01 Computers, printers ***	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	Based on anticipated needs
	02 Other expendable equipment (shelves, furnitures)	5,850	5,850	5,850	5,850	Based on anticipated needs
<b>4299</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>18,850</b>	<b>18,850</b>	<b>18,850</b>	<b>18,850</b>	
4300	Premises					
	01 Rental of office premises**	870,282	870,282	870,282	870,282	Allocation to be reduced to US \$46,863. Balance to be covered by Govt. of Canada cost differential
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>870,282</b>	<b>870,282</b>	<b>870,282</b>	<b>870,282</b>	
<b>4999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>911,947</b>	<b>911,947</b>	<b>911,947</b>	<b>911,947</b>	
50	MISCELLANEOUS COMPONENT					
5100	Operation and Maintenance of Equipment					
	01 Computers and printers, etc.(toners, colour printer)**	8,100	8,100	8,100	8,100	Based on anticipated needs
	02 Maintenance of office premises	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	Based on anticipated needs
	03 Rental of photocopiers (office)	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	Based on anticipated needs
	04 Telecommunication equipment rental	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	Based on anticipated needs
	05 Network maintenance	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	Based on anticipated needs
<b>5199</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>49,100</b>	<b>49,100</b>	<b>49,100</b>	<b>49,100</b>	
5200	Reproduction Costs					
	01 Executive Committee meetings and reports to MOP	10,710	10,710	10,710	10,710	
<b>5299</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>10,710</b>	<b>10,710</b>	<b>10,710</b>	<b>10,710</b>	
5300	Sundries					
	01 Communications	58,500	58,500	58,500	58,500	Based on anticipated needs
	02 Freight charges	9,450	9,450	9,450	9,450	Based on anticipated needs
	03 Bank charges	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	Based on anticipated needs (no changes)
	05 Staff training	20,137	20,137	20,137	20,137	Based on anticipated needs (no changes)
<b>5399</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>92,587</b>	<b>92,587</b>	<b>92,587</b>	<b>92,587</b>	
5400	Hospitality and Entertainment					
	01 Hospitality costs	16,800	16,800	16,800	16,800	Amount to cover two Excom meetings
<b>5499</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>16,800</b>	<b>16,800</b>	<b>16,800</b>	<b>16,800</b>	
<b>5999</b>	<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>169,197</b>	<b>169,197</b>	<b>169,197</b>	<b>169,197</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>6,484,428</b>	<b>6,594,420</b>	<b>6,709,815</b>	<b>6,823,433</b>	
	Programme support costs (9%)	334,035	346,184	356,570	366,796	PSC 9%
<b>COST TO MULTILATERAL FUND</b>		<b>6,818,463</b>	<b>6,940,604</b>	<b>7,066,385</b>	<b>7,190,229</b>	
	Previous budget schedule	6,818,463	6,940,604	7,066,385	-	
	Increase/decrease	-	-	-	7,190,229	

\*\*Rental of premises will be offset by US \$742,993, (based on 2013) being covered by cost differential with Government of Canada leaving US \$46,863 to be charged to the MLF.

\*\*\*Balance of 2013 (US \$22,395) to be rephased to 2014 to complete 2013 purchase plan

## MONITORING AND EVALUATION BUDGET

			Approved	Approved		
			2014	2015	2016	2017
<b>1200</b>	01	Completion of the evaluation of the phase-out of HCFC in the foam sector	119,700	46,371		
	02	Desk study of RAC manufacturing projects		12,000		
	03	Evaluation of pilot demonstration projects on ODS disposal and destruction		12,000		
<b>1299</b>		<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>119,700</b>	<b>70,371</b>		
<b>1600</b>	01	Staff travel	25,000	16,914		
<b>1699</b>		<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>16,914</b>		
<b>1999</b>		<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>		<b>87,285</b>		
<b>5300</b>	01	Miscellaneous	4,000	4,000		
<b>5999</b>		<b>COMPONENT TOTAL</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>4,000</b>		
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>			<b>148,700</b>	<b>91,285</b>		



**Annex XXI**

**DRAFT FORMAT FOR HCFC PRODUCTION SECTOR PROGRESS REPORTS AND ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

**Narrative text of the progress report**

The progress report should follow the “GUIDE FOR THE PREPARATION OF TRANCHES OF HCFC PRODUCTION PHASE-OUT MANAGEMENT PLANS” (Guide). In addition to the information in the Guide, the following data is specifically required for the production sector. The format for HCFC production sector progress reports and annual implementation plans (the format) consists of two parts. Part I presents the data for progress reports; part II presents the information requirements for annual implementation plans.

**Part I: Progress report data**

**1. Information on overall target and production level of stage X**

As required by the Guide, the progress report should contain narrative observations/explanations as relevant on any significant increase/decrease of the production level, change of stock level, or other related information. It should also explain any deviations of actual HCFC production reductions of the reporting year from the planned reductions and adjustment of reduction strategy (if any) for the next year.

**Table 1: Overall Control Targets and Actual HCFC production for ODS use for China by chemical**

Year	2013				2014				2015				
	Planned		Actual		Planned		Actual		Planned		Actual		
	MT	ODP	MT	ODP	MT	ODP	MT	ODP	MT	ODP	MT	ODP	
HCFC-22													
HCFC-141b													
HCFC-142b													
HCFC-123													
HCFC-124													

**Table 2: Reduction amounts of HCFCs by chemical in ODP (example provided below is for stage I; subsequent stages will have different reduction amounts)**

Year	2013		2015		Total for stage 1	Reduction per HCFC as percentage of overall reductions
	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual		
HCFC-22						
HCFC-141b						
HCFC-142b						
HCFC-123						
HCFC-124						
Total						

## 2. HCFC Production sector baseline information; capacity, 2010 and 2013, HCFC production

**Table 3a-e: xxxx baseline, Production capacity, 2010 and e.g. for 2013-2015 production for ODS and feedstock use**

Ref No	Plants	Line No.	Production Capacity	2010 production		2013 HCFC production		2014 HCFC Production		2015 HCFC Production		Operational status
				ODS use	Feedstock use	ODS use	Feedstock use	ODS use	Feedstock use	ODS use (a)	Feedstock use	
				MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
	Total											

\* Please specify any change in ownership (in brackets) from the enterprise names listed in the addendum to the production sector agreement and indicate in the observations/explanations what percentage ownership resulting from the change is for transnational corporations.

\*\* Operational status means operational and producing (OP), operational but temporarily closed (T-CI), retired and dismantled (R&D), or partially retired and partially dismantled (P-R&D).

\*\*\* The data for these plants will be verified in the audit of 2013 production by the World Bank per decision 72/44(d).

## 3. ODS Production quotas issued

**Table 4a-e: xxxx ODS Production quota for [chemical]**

Ref No	HCFC-22 Plants	Production Capacity	2010 production		Initial quota		Quota trading in xxx		Final quotas for xxx		xxx HCFC production		
			ODS use	Feedstock use	ODS Production	Domestic use	ODS Production	Domestic use	ODS Production	Domestic use	Total	ODS use	Feedstock use
			MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y	MT/y
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)
	Total												

\* Please specify any change in ownership (in brackets) from the enterprise names listed in the addendum to the production sector agreement and indicate in the observations/explanations what percentage ownership resulting from the change is for transnational corporations.

\*\* Operational status means operational and producing (OP), operational but temporarily closed (T-CI), retired and dismantled (R&D), or partially retired and partially dismantled (P-R&D).

## 4. HCFC quota reduction contracts and production closure contracts

**Table 5a-e: xxxx Quota reduction and closure contracts**

Ref. No.	Enterprise Name	Production in 2009 (MT)	Production in 2010 (MT)	Baseline (MT)	Reduction under contract (MT)	Contract value (US\$)	Contract No.	Project No.
	Total							

**Table 6: Overview on producers with quota reduction and/or production line closure contracts**

Ref. No.	HCFC Producers	2013	2014	2015
	HCFC-22	No contracts	Quota Reduction Contract	Closure contract

**5. Information on policies and regulations**

**Table 7: Policies relevant to the HCFC production Phase-out- planned and status of implementation**

Policy and regulation	Status of implementation	Date of effectiveness	Comments

**6. Technical Assistance Activities**

**a. Information on technical assistance activities**

When planning technical assistance activities, the annual implementation plan should include narrative text which clearly describes the rationale, objective, scope of activities, time frame, expected out comes and impact of the TA programme. It should also design mile stones for each TA to measure progress and the associated funding disbursements. For example, for “Research and study on pyrolysis technology of HFC-23”, these can be defined as 30% payment when awarding the contract, 50% payment when submitting the draft report and 20% payment when receiving the final report. Progress on each TA needs to be measured against the milestones.

**Table 8: xxxx TA activities: Overview, ONGOING AND COMPLETED TA**

Name of TA	Contractor	Contract date	Planned Completion date	Actual completion date	Contract amount	Interim milestones and deliveries		Delivery of final report	Expected outcome and use in the HPPMP
						MS 1	MS 2		
TA-1									
TA-2									
TA-3									

Comments: For each training activities, the number of participants should to be specified under the milestones.

**7. Financial reporting**

Observations/explanations as relevant:

**Table 9: Financial report**

Year	2013 Tranche	2014 Tranche	2015 Tranche	Total
	(US\$)	(US\$)	(US\$)	(US\$)

**Table 10: Financial report on technical assistance activities**

TA Activity	Time frame	Contract amount (US\$)	Disbursements (US\$)	% of contract	Date	Disbursed in reporting year (US\$)	Accumulated disbursement (US\$)	Remaining Balance As of [Date] (US\$)
<b>2013 TA Activities</b>								
			1 <sup>st</sup> disb.					
			2 <sup>nd</sup> disb.					
			3 <sup>rd</sup> disb.					
			4 <sup>th</sup> disb.					
Total								

**Table 11: Financial status of quota reduction closure contracts at enterprise level**

Ref No	Producer	Type of HCFC	Type of contract	HCFC reduction amount by contract (MT)	Contract amount (USD)	Contract date	Planned Financial completion date	Actual financial completion date	Disbursement in previous reporting year (US\$)	Disbursement in reporting year (US\$)	Accumulated disbursement (US\$)	Remaining balance (US\$)
		HCFC-22 contracts										
		HCFC-141b contracts										
		HCFC-123 contracts										
		HCFC-124 contracts										

\*Quota reduction contract, (RED), Plant Capacity Reduction Contract, (CRC), Plant Closure Contract, (PCLO), HCFC Producer Closure Contract (CLO)

## 8. Information on climate impact of reporting period (Section I.4.3 of the Guide)

The progress report should include a brief description on the method used for the calculation of the climate impact, specifying alternative technologies/reduction method used for each production line of enterprises.

**Table 12: Climate impact of the reporting year (2013) To be update based on 2013, 2014 and 2015 verification results.**

Substance	Actual production reduction 2013 compared to 2010		Method of reduction	Climate impact
	MT	ODP		(million tons CO <sub>2</sub> eq)
HCFC-22			For example: Actual production in 2013 per HCFC compared to 2010 HCFC production [Quota reduction; Converted to HCFC production; Closed and dismantled];	
HCFC-141b				
HCFC-142b				
HCFC-123				
HCFC-124				
Total				

**Part II: Annual implementation plan**

A detailed description of the activities to be implemented including regulations and project monitoring should be included. Quantifiable information should be included to the extent possible. The milestones and level of funding associated with each proposed activity should be clearly indicated.

In line with the flexibility clause to reallocate approved funds according to the evolving circumstances to achieve the smoothest reduction of production and phase-out of HCFCs in relevant agreements between the Government concerned and the Executive Committee, major changes must be documented. Also, any enterprise that has been included in the HPPMP for closure and which is found to be ineligible under the guidelines of the Multilateral Fund (e.g., due to foreign ownership), will not receive assistance. This information should be included as part of the annual implementation plan.

-----