



**United Nations
Environment
Programme**

Distr.
GENERAL

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
11 June 2013

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF
THE MULTILATERAL FUND FOR THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MONTREAL PROTOCOL
Seventieth Meeting
Bangkok, 1-5 July 2013

OVERVIEW OF ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING PROJECT REVIEW

Introduction

1. This document consists of the following sections:
 - (a) An analysis of the number of projects and activities submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies to the 70th meeting;
 - (b) Issues identified during the project review process;
 - (c) Projects and activities submitted for blanket approval; and
 - (d) Investment projects for individual consideration.

Projects and activities submitted by bilateral and implementing agencies

2. Bilateral and implementing agencies submitted 65 funding requests for tranches of approved multi-year agreements and projects and activities, amounting to US \$8,641,899, including agency support costs where applicable. The funding requests covered:
 - (a) Second/third/fourth tranches of approved HCFC phase-out management plans (HPMP) for 28 countries;
 - (b) One tranche of an approved methyl bromide (MB) phase-out project;
 - (c) Twenty-one renewal requests for institutional strengthening projects; and
 - (d) HPMP preparation activities for two countries.
3. Following the project review process, 58 projects and activities totalling US \$6,135,580 including support costs are recommended for blanket approval and one third tranche of stage I HPMP (with two funding components) totalling US \$377,632 is being forwarded for individual consideration by the Executive Committee. Together, the projects for blanket approval and that for individual consideration represent a total amount of US \$6,513,212 in funding being requested at this meeting.
4. Third tranches (with two funding components) of stage I HPMP (Islamic Republic of Iran) at a total cost of US \$622,711 as originally submitted and one second tranche (with two funding components) of stage I HPMP (Nigeria) at a total cost of US \$1,235,176 as originally submitted, were withdrawn by relevant agencies, since the levels of disbursement of the previous tranches were below 20 per cent. One work programme amendment for UNIDO (funding for preparation of stage II HPMP for Mexico) was withdrawn, as the Executive Committee has not concluded its discussion on the draft guidelines for funding the preparation of stage II of HPMPs¹
5. As advised in the document on the “Status of contributions and disbursements” (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/3), the total level of resources available for approval by the Executive Committee at the 70th meeting is US \$69,487,827 as of 27 May 2013. This amount is higher than that being requested for projects and activities at the same meeting.

¹ At its 70th meeting, the Executive Committee will discuss the draft guidelines as contained in document UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/51).

Issues identified during project review

6. No policy issues were identified during the project review process for the 70th meeting.
7. In reviewing the tranche requests submitted to the 70th meeting, the Secretariat noted, however, that in several Article 5 countries hydrocarbon refrigerants (pure as R-290 or in blends), are being used for retrofitting, operating and/or filling HCFC-22-based refrigeration and air-conditioning equipment. It appears that market conditions may be favourable for this practice, which is taking place independently of the activities being implemented under the HPMPs. The Secretariat has concerns regarding the safe use of hydrocarbon-based refrigerants in systems designed for non-flammable ones, where policies, regulations, education and awareness allowing their safe use do not appear to be in place; where the technical capacity for properly servicing and maintaining hydrocarbon-based equipment is limited with associated risks to technicians and end-users (for almost all sub-sectors currently discussed, alternatives to HCFC-22 with a substantially lower GWP than HCFC-22 are flammable). The Secretariat is of the view that it is meaningful that Article 5 countries consider adopting standards on storage, installation, operation, maintenance and disposal of flammable refrigerants and equipment based on such alternatives, and properly training technicians and key stakeholders on their safe handling and use.
8. During the project review process, relevant bilateral and implementing agencies indicated that they are already providing assistance to a number of Article 5 countries on this issue, ensuring proper introduction of flammable refrigerants and technologies as alternatives to HCFC-22. This issue is further analyzed in the discussion paper on minimizing the adverse climate impact of HCFC phase-out in the refrigeration servicing sector (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/53).

Verification reports for low-volume consuming (LVC) countries

9. In its decision 61/46(c), the Committee requested the Secretariat to provide the Executive Committee at the first meeting of each year, starting in 2013, with a list of all countries with an HCFC consumption baseline of 360 metric tonnes (mt) and below that had an approved HPMP, and an indicative sample of 20 per cent of countries from that list to enable the Executive Committee to approve such a sample for the purposes of verification of that country's compliance with the HPMP agreement for that year.
10. In line with decision 61/46(c), the list of the 86 Article 5 countries with a baseline consumption below 360 mt is contained in Annex I to the present document. From the list, the Secretariat selected a sample of 17 countries, as listed in Table 1 below, for the purpose of verifying compliance with the HPMP agreement, using the following criteria:
- (a) Geographical distribution of the countries;
 - (b) Level of HCFC consumption among countries (i.e., seven countries with an HCFC consumption baseline below 100 mt; six countries with a consumption baseline between 101 and 200 mt, and four countries with a consumption baseline over 200 mt);
 - (c) Countries with HCFC consumption only in the servicing sector (12) and also countries with consumption in the manufacturing sector (5);
 - (d) Countries with potential consumption data issues (based on the review of the HPMPs) (12) and without potential data issues (5); and

- (e) Participation of all relevant bilateral and implementing agencies (one each for France and Germany, nine for UNEP, and three each for UNDP and UNIDO).

Table 1. Sample of Article 5 countries for verification of compliance with their HPMP agreement

No.	Country	Baseline (mt)	Lead	Cooperating
1	Albania	107.31	UNIDO	UNEP
2	Bahamas (the)	88.00	UNEP	UNIDO
3	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	102.15	Germany	UNDP
4	Central African Republic (the)	217.94	UNEP	UNIDO
5	Cuba	283.62	UNDP	
6	Fiji	152.79	UNDP	UNEP
7	Gambia (the)	25.70	UNEP	UNIDO
8	Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	41.93	UNEP	France
9	Malawi	196.30	UNEP	UNIDO
10	Mongolia	23.73	UNEP	Japan
11	Niger (the)	290.39	UNIDO	UNEP
12	Saint Lucia	3.96	UNEP	UNIDO
13	Sao Tome and Principe	39.0	UNEP	
14	Serbia	151.11	UNIDO	UNEP
15	Sri Lanka	235.20	UNDP	UNEP
16	Turkmenistan	124.10	UNIDO	
17	Uganda	2.67	UNEP	UNIDO

11. During the implementation of the terminal phase-out management plans (TPMP), funding of US \$30,000 plus agency support costs was approved for verification reports. On this basis, the Executive Committee might wish to request relevant bilateral and implementing agencies to include in their respective amendments to the work programmes for submission to the 71st meeting, funding for verification reports for stage I HPMPs for the Article 5 countries listed in Table 1.

Projects and activities submitted for blanket approval

12. Annex II to this document, lists 58 projects and activities totalling US \$6,135,580 including support costs that are recommended for blanket approval. The approval of these projects by the Executive Committee would include the relevant conditions or provisions in the corresponding project evaluation sheets as well as the approval of implementation programmes associated with the relevant tranches of multi-year projects.

Investment projects for individual consideration

13. After a review by the Secretariat, only the HCFC phase-out management plan (HPMP) (stage I, third tranche) for Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) is proposed for individual consideration (UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/48). The main issues are related to the status of the licence and quota system; the level of disbursement; and the overall progress on implementation of the HPMP.

ANNEX I

List of LVC countries with an approved stage I HPMP

No.	Country	Baseline (mt)	Lead	Cooperating
1	Albania	107.31	UNIDO	UNEP
2	Angola	290.00	UNDP	
3	Antigua and Barbuda	5.51	UNEP	
4	Armenia	126.69	UNDP	UNEP
5	Bahamas (the)	87.55	UNEP	UNIDO
6	Barbados	66.73	UNEP	UNDP
7	Belize	49.86	UNEP	UNDP
8	Bhutan	5.62	UNEP	UNDP
9	Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	102.15	Germany	UNDP
10	Bosnia and Herzegovina	70.65	UNIDO	
11	Brunei Darussalam	110.62	UNEP	UNDP
12	Burundi	129.20	UNEP	UNIDO
13	Cambodia	272.21	UNEP	UNDP
14	Cape Verde	18.50	UNEP	
15	Central African Republic	217.94	UNEP	UNIDO
16	Chad	292.70	UNEP	UNIDO
17	Comoros (the)	2.48	UNEP	
18	Congo (the)	160.65	UNEP	UNIDO
19	Cook Islands (the)	0.86	UNEP	
20	Costa Rica	224.94	UNDP	
21	Croatia	72.73	UNIDO	Italy
22	Cuba	283.62	UNDP	
23	Djibouti	11.73	UNEP	
24	Dominica	7.24	UNEP	
25	El Salvador	186.51	UNDP	UNEP
26	Equatorial Guinea	114.36	UNEP	UNIDO
27	Eritrea	19.71	UNEP	UNIDO
28	Ethiopia	100.00	UNEP	UNIDO
29	Fiji	152.79	UNDP	UNEP
30	Gambia (the)	25.70	UNEP	UNIDO
31	Georgia	93.00	UNDP	
32	Grenada	15.10	UNEP	
33	Guatemala	143.79	UNIDO	UNEP
34	Guinea-Bissau	26.00	UNEP	UNIDO
35	Guyana	31.02	UNEP	UNDP
36	Haiti	66.00	UNEP	UNDP
37	Honduras	344.65	UNIDO	UNEP
38	Jamaica	263.68	UNDP	UNEP
39	Kiribati	0.97	UNEP	
40	Kyrgyzstan	66.61	UNEP	UNEP
41	Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)	41.93	UNEP	France
42	Lesotho	62.68	Germany	
43	Liberia	95.45	Germany	
44	Malawi	196.30	UNEP	UNIDO
45	Maldives	76.47	UNEP	UNDP
46	Mali	272.25	UNEP	UNDP
47	Marshall Islands (the)	3.99	UNEP	
48	Mauritius	144.98	Germany	

No.	Country	Baseline (mt)	Lead	Cooperating
49	Micronesia (Federated States of)	2.56	UNEP	
50	Mongolia	23.73	UNEP	Japan
51	Montenegro	13.88	UNIDO	
52	Mozambique	118.18	UNEP	UNIDO
53	Myanmar	77.80	UNEP	UNIDO
54	Namibia	149.76	Germany	
55	Nauru	0.18	UNEP	
56	Nepal	20.00	UNEP	UNDP
57	Nicaragua	118.36	UNEP	UNIDO
58	Niger	290.39	UNIDO	UNEP
59	Niue	0.15	UNEP	
60	Palau	2.97	UNEP	
61	Papua New Guinea	58.71	Germany	
62	Paraguay	333.06	UNEP	UNDP
63	Republic of Moldova	17.00	UNDP	
64	Rwanda	74.77	UNEP	UNIDO
65	Saint Kitts and Nevis	8.92	UNEP	UNDP
66	Saint Lucia	3.96	UNEP	UNIDO
67	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	5.13	UNEP	UNIDO
68	Samoa	4.60	UNEP	
69	Sao Tome and Principe	38.95	UNEP	
70	Serbia	151.11	UNIDO	UNEP
71	Seychelles	24.89	Germany	
72	Sierra Leone	30.37	UNEP	UNIDO
73	Solomon Islands	35.05	UNEP	
74	Sri Lanka	235.20	UNDP	UNEP
75	Suriname	35.92	UNEP	UNIDO
76	Swaziland	81.74	UNEP	UNDP
77	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	32.78	UNIDO	
78	Timor-Leste	9.08	UNEP	UNDP
79	Tonga	2.55	UNEP	
80	Turkmenistan	124.14	UNIDO	
81	Tuvalu	1.64	UNEP	
82	Uganda	2.67	UNEP	UNIDO
83	United Republic of Tanzania	30.86	UNEP	UNIDO
84	Vanuatu	5.11	UNEP	
85	Zambia	89.95	UNEP	UNIDO
86	Zimbabwe	314.94	Germany	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)		C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	
AFGHANISTAN					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
Total for Afghanistan			\$150,000		\$150,000
ALBANIA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 6.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 5.4 ODP tonnes and 6.5 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNEP	0.2	\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 6.0 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 5.4 ODP tonnes and 6.5 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNIDO	0.4	\$92,000	\$8,280	\$100,280
Total for Albania			0.6	\$112,000	\$10,880
BENIN					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 23.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 23.6 ODP tonnes and 24.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNIDO	1.0	\$40,000	\$3,000	\$43,000
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche) <i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 23.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 23.6 ODP tonnes and 24.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>	UNEP	1.4	\$85,000	\$11,050	\$96,050
Total for Benin			2.4	\$125,000	\$14,050

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
BHUTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNDP		\$42,000	\$3,780	\$45,780	
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNEP		\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
		Total for Bhutan	\$112,000	\$12,880	\$124,880	
BURKINA FASO						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	2.0	\$120,000	\$15,600	\$135,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 28.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 26.7 ODP tonnes and 31.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
		Total for Burkina Faso	2.0	\$120,000	\$15,600	\$135,600
CAMBODIA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNDP	0.6	\$200,000	\$15,000	\$215,000	
<i>oted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 15 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 17.1 ODP tonnes and 12.8 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	UNEP	0.9	\$100,000	\$13,000	\$113,000	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 15 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 17.1 ODP tonnes and 12.8 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII:1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$112,667	\$0	\$112,667	
		Total for Cambodia	1.5	\$412,667	\$28,000	\$440,667

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
CHAD						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	1.6	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance and the revised funding level, that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 16.1 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 26.0 ODP tonnes and 6.2 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, and that the revised funding level for stage I of the HPMP was US\$560,000, in accordance with decision 60/44(f)(xii).</i>						
Total for Chad		1.6	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
COLOMBIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 11/2013-10/2015)	UNDP		\$275,600	\$19,292	\$294,892	
Total for Colombia			\$275,600	\$19,292	\$294,892	
COMOROS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Comoros			\$95,000	\$4,550	\$99,550	
CONGO, DR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	2.9	\$116,000	\$10,440	\$126,440	
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the Meeting of the Parties made a decision on the request for change of the baseline, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>						

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	2.9	\$116,500	\$15,145	\$131,645	
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, once the Meeting of the Parties made a decision on the request for change of the baseline, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the figures for maximum allowable consumption and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>						
Total for Congo, DR		5.8	\$232,500	\$25,585	\$258,085	
COSTA RICA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$168,000	\$12,600	\$180,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 32.21 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 14.2 ODP tonnes and 14 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 18.11 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre-blended</i>						
Total for Costa Rica			\$168,000	\$12,600	\$180,600	
DJIBOUTI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Djibouti			\$60,000		\$60,000	
ECUADOR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.4	\$20,000	\$2,600	\$22,600	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 44.16 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 25.74 ODP tonnes and 21.24 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre blended polyol systems. Noted that the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) would continue until December 2013. UNEP was requested to return the remaining balance by that date to the Fund and to report to the Executive Committee at its 72nd meeting on the completion of the implementation of the NPP.</i>						

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO	1.8	\$86,500	\$6,488	\$92,988	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 44.16 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 25.74 ODP tonnes and 21.24 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, plus 20.67 ODP tonnes of HCFC-141b contained in imported pre blended polyol systems. Noted that the implementation of the national CFC phase-out plan (NPP) would continue until December 2013. UNEP was requested to return the remaining balance by that date to the Fund and to report to the Executive Committee at its 72nd meeting on the completion of the implementation of the NPP.</i>						
Total for Ecuador		2.2	\$106,500	\$9,088	\$115,588	
GUINEA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Guinea			\$60,000		\$60,000	
HONDURAS						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO	1.2	\$90,000	\$6,750	\$96,750	
<i>Approved on the understanding that funding of the third tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan could be submitted after the project completion report of the terminal phase-out management plan for Honduras had been submitted to the Executive Committee.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.8	\$50,000	\$6,500	\$56,500	
<i>Approved on the understanding that funding of the third tranche of stage I of the HCFC phase-out management plan could be submitted after the project completion report of the terminal phase-out management plan for Honduras had been submitted to the Executive Committee.</i>						
Total for Honduras		2.0	\$140,000	\$13,250	\$153,250	
JAMAICA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$18,000	\$2,340	\$20,340	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	4.7	\$100,000	\$7,500	\$107,500	
Total for Jamaica		4.9	\$118,000	\$9,840	\$127,840	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
KIRIBATI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Kiribati		\$60,000		\$60,000	
LEBANON						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP		\$745,589	\$55,919	\$801,508	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 73.5 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 58.4 ODP tonnes and 88.6 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
	Total for Lebanon		\$745,589	\$55,919	\$801,508	
MACEDONIA, FYR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (phase I, fourth tranche)	UNIDO		\$148,000	\$11,100	\$159,100	
	Total for Macedonia, FYR		\$148,000	\$11,100	\$159,100	
MADAGASCAR						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.9	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
	Total for Madagascar	0.9	\$70,000	\$9,100	\$79,100	
MALAWI						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 10.8 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 8.6 ODP tonnes and 13.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
	Total for Malawi	0.7	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
MALAYSIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase X: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNDP		\$279,500	\$19,565	\$299,065	
	Total for Malaysia		\$279,500	\$19,565	\$299,065	
MALI						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,677	\$0	\$60,677	
	Total for Mali		\$60,677		\$60,677	
MARSHALL ISLANDS						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Marshall Islands		\$60,000		\$60,000	
MONGOLIA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of the institutional strengthening project (phase VIII: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Mongolia		\$60,000		\$60,000	
MOZAMBIQUE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$80,800	\$0	\$80,800	
	Total for Mozambique		\$80,800		\$80,800	
NIUE						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
	Total for Niue		\$60,000		\$60,000	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
PAKISTAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$200,000	\$26,000	\$226,000	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 247.4 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 239.8 ODP tonnes and 255.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNIDO		\$80,000	\$6,000	\$86,000	
Total for Pakistan			\$280,000	\$32,000	\$312,000	
PALAU						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Palau			\$60,000		\$60,000	
PANAMA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.7	\$26,600	\$3,458	\$30,058	
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	1.8	\$100,907	\$7,568	\$108,475	
Total for Panama			2.5	\$127,507	\$11,026	\$138,533
PHILIPPINES						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase IX: 1/2014-12/2015)	UNEP		\$181,133	\$0	\$181,133	
Total for Philippines			\$181,133		\$181,133	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)		C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	
RWANDA					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$40,000	\$5,200	\$45,200
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 4.1 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 3.8 ODP tonnes and 4.4 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of the institutional strengthening project (phase V: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Total for Rwanda		0.2	\$100,000	\$5,200	\$105,200
SAMOA					
SEVERAL					
Ozone unit support					
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VII: 11/2013-10/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Total for Samoa			\$60,000		\$60,000
SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP		\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
<i>The Fund Secretariat was requested, in the event that the baseline consumption for compliance was amended based on the revised HCFC consumption data reported under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol, to update Appendix 2-A to the Agreement to include the revised figures for maximum allowable consumption, and to notify the Executive Committee of the resulting change in the levels of maximum allowable consumption and of any potential related impact on the eligible funding level, with any adjustments needed being made when the next tranche was submitted.</i>					
Total for Sao Tome and Principe			\$35,000	\$4,550	\$39,550
SEYCHELLES					
PHASE-OUT PLAN					
HCFC phase out plan					
HCFC phase-out management plan (second tranche)	Germany	0.4	\$160,000	\$20,267	\$180,267
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 1.4 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 1.4 ODP tonnes and 1.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>					

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Seychelles		0.4	\$220,000	\$20,267	\$240,267	
SIERRA LEONE						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.1	\$23,000	\$2,990	\$25,990	
Total for Sierra Leone		0.1	\$23,000	\$2,990	\$25,990	
SOLOMON ISLANDS						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Solomon Islands			\$60,000		\$60,000	
SOUTH SUDAN						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
Preparation of a HCFC phase-out management plan	UNEP		\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
Total for South Sudan			\$30,000	\$3,900	\$33,900	
SRI LANKA						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNDP	0.4	\$60,000	\$4,500	\$64,500	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 13.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 13.4 ODP tonnes and 14.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.5	\$24,000	\$3,120	\$27,120	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 13.9 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 13.4 ODP tonnes and 14.3 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Sri Lanka		1.0	\$84,000	\$7,620	\$91,620	

List of projects recommended for blanket approval

UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/70/18
Annex II

Project Title	Agency	ODP (tonnes)	Funds recommended (US\$)			C.E. (US\$/kg)
			Project	Support	Total	
SWAZILAND						
PHASE-OUT PLAN						
HCFC phase out plan						
HCFC phase-out management plan (stage I, second tranche)	UNEP	0.2	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
<i>Noted that the Agreement was updated based on the established HCFC baseline for compliance, and that the revised starting point for sustained aggregate reduction in HCFC consumption was 7.3 ODP tonnes, calculated using consumption of 9.5 ODP tonnes and 5.0 ODP tonnes reported for 2009 and 2010, respectively, under Article 7 of the Montreal Protocol.</i>						
Total for Swaziland		0.2	\$55,000	\$7,150	\$62,150	
TONGA						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Renewal of institutional strengthening project (phase V: 12/2013-11/2015)	UNEP		\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000	
Total for Tonga			\$60,000		\$60,000	
TURKEY						
SEVERAL						
Ozone unit support						
Extension of institutional strengthening project (phase VI: 7/2013-6/2015)	UNIDO		\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
Total for Turkey			\$260,000	\$18,200	\$278,200	
VIETNAM						
FUMIGANT						
Methyl bromide						
National phase-out plan of methyl bromide (fourth tranche)	IBRD	20.0	\$58,284	\$4,371	\$62,655	
Total for Vietnam		20.0	\$58,284	\$4,371	\$62,655	
GRAND TOTAL		48.9	\$5,730,757	\$404,823	\$6,135,580	